Wayne and Michael, we got a number of questions that we can ask you.

Quite a few people, Michael,

are very interested in the accessibility checker,

and we certainly use it an awful lot.

A few people have highlighted,

and I think something you mentioned yourself,

that it doesn't necessarily check absolutely everything.

A few people are interested in the road-map and

plans for where you might be going next with it.

The way you almost have to see the road-map is that getting it across

all products has been, and making it similar across all products,

you think that's evident,

but it's not necessarily because our software grows as well.

What we have seen with the Cloud,

it's easier to make changes and faster to deploy.

But I think, in this sense, it is definitely

key to get more feedback from the public on the usage and what they want changing.

There I would say, aka.ms/uservoice is definitely a place to go

to provide that feedback so we know what you want to change.

As I pointed out to,

I think, a lady there,

I'm making an assumption here,

sorry, to a person there, was that,

what we didn't show is that you can start from an accessible template, and

that's how I create my conditional content.

I start from something that I know.

As soon as I start changing things and adding things,

then I can see that I will be needing to use the accessibility checker.

With regards to headings,

I think that should become part of that.

I don't know what the road map is there,

but looking at where you see the reading or the comment,

because we have that functionality,

I could see that that could be a next thing to do

because if we can identify the blocks in your text,

then we can definitely start flagging on,

is this what you meant to do?

We definitely do recommend using headers for so many reasons.

In fact, I dare say we've always done that, even for non-accessible reasons,

because I don't know if you know,

if you use headers as we propose it to do,

Header 1, Header 2, Header 3,

out of that, you can build an automatically table of contents.

That's how the research works.

We want it in big papers because that's where it matters.

Don't we want a table of contents?

Anyway, the only thing we want you to do is use the products as they were

meant to be used and then they will be accessible default.

But hey, I know that's a bit of a cop-out, I'm saying that,

but by bringing structure in your documents,

you're making it easier for everybody really.

But I can see that accessibility checker.

I can see where AI will go more and more to help us in those elements.

That will help people with disabilities.

But also us when the time poor, we're just like,

'Can you just please do this AI for us?' Absolutely.

Brilliant. So many extension to that,

it relates that people are talking about,

it's a lot of love for the accessibility checker.

I don't know why it's full of people are nitpicking because

it is an amazing thing to have embedded.

I think anything where we get accessibility so centrally embedded,

I don't think we're far away from it becoming like another spellchecker

that people in the same breath and it's done as part of their business as usual,

whether they're in accessibility, professional or not.

I think it's huge what Microsoft are doing.

Someone's asked about the ability to check multiple documents at the same time.

For example, in the SharePoint libraries,

is that something that could be thought about?

That's a demand that is coming more and more.

How can we do this in a batch way?

I tackled the request a bit in the chat here.

Again, we love to hear your requests and so we can prioritize,

aka.ms/uservoice, put your requests in there. That's for sure.

I hear that often,

the batch processing, I have seen already movement in that direction.

I've seen it also for our Accessibility Insights product

because currently, it checks one page at a time.

To check multiple pages,

which you can actually already do in a programmatical way

because we put everything on GitHub,

so you could do this yourself if you wanted to.

I'm not trying to sell it off to you.

But yeah, I think that we will be seeing that.

But again, nothing about us without us, inside by the people,

inside by disabled talent or

consultation of people with disabilities is really key with this.

We can't just rely on AI to make all of those decisions for us.

It shouldn't be. I'd like to think about accessibility that, yes,

it's everywhere, it's ubiquitous.

But there's still a part of me that,

I shouldn't be saying this,

but it's my heart speaking now,

there's a little part of me that want you to be a bit intentional

about this as well.

Just a little bit, at least.

Yeah. Fair enough.

We need to be more inclusive.

Did you know that N-Words also is an inclusive language checker?

The Evoy, the editor?

Yeah. Where it will check whether you're using inclusive language.

Probably, I bet, a lot of people didn't know that.

Again, we need more people to use that because then we see that

it is useful and then fabulous. Thank you, Phil.

It's genuinely great. Thank you, Michael.

I think your demonstration of it was fab, so hopefully,

I think if people weren't already aware of the accessibility checker,

I think you've knocked a few socks off this afternoon

because I think people, if they didn't know it was already there,

it's going to absolutely take them leaps and bounds forward.

Wayne, I don't know if you can hear me,

there's an interesting question for you about,

how does your education experience differ

using assistive technology as opposed to

when you didn't have to use assistive technology?

Can you pick out some of the key things that are different?

Well, I don't know,

such I feel quite oldie such a long time ago.

I think a lot of it is time,... expand - where do you want me to go?

Well, I guess it's just, like you say,

I can imagine that time would be a big issue.

The different way of almost learning.

If you're receiving information in a different way,

it helps that changed your approach to study, I suppose.

I think that's the question.

Well, the Internet as well,

it's research is done differently.

Obviously, the biggest thing for me is time

because I wasn't that bright at school.

I think with the readers, it gives me a better chance,

if you understand what I'm saying. Hello?

Sorry, Wayne. I can take this off of me.

I'm up at the university campus.

Typically, I haven't been here for a while,

but they've decided to cut down a tree,

seemingly right outside my office.

That always happens, doesn't it?

I'm muting in-between to try and save you from that.

Thank you, Wayne.

I think, in your talk, you made it very clear

that providing people with accessible information and then

the tools to make the most of that is absolutely critical to securing

their independence and their ability to go about it and build their confidence,

which I think you made that point beautifully.

A lot of people are very supportive of that approach.

Thank you, Wayne. We've still got time for some more questions.

Let me have another rummage in my question thing.

Michael, a lot of questions relate to Microsoft's road-map.

But the thing about when you create

an accessible Word document using the accessibility checker as a guide,

the moment, in a lot of versions of Word,

you have to tell it that you want the accessible goodness

to be brought across to the PDF.

Is there not a way that we could just make that default?

That's interesting. That's a flippant answer.

Maybe you need to get the right version of Word.

Normally, as far as I could say,

when I save to PDF,

I see that whatever you made accessible is pervasive.

Which does bring me back

to the title and header things,

which I fully support and fully agree on that.

This is where you have to think about in how much does, it's not Clippy.

I see you're writing a document.

Shall I help with that?

If anybody is old enough to remember that,

the accessibility checker doesn't necessarily know you're writing a document.

So it doesn't necessarily know that you need headers,

that you'll be needing a header or this should be a header and things like that.

That's where the challenge lies a bit and that may be why it's later in a road-map.

Bring back Clippy, why not?

I think there is a bit elements.

But I think when the demand is big enough that people say,

'Okay, let's see how we can make some kind of way in this,'

where we then say, 'Okay,

I am going to write a document with headers and footers and all of that kind of stuff.

Can you help me on this?'

Somebody hated Clippy there.

Well, maybe we should do poll.

Then the accessibility checker can go into that mode.

I will now check whether you are respecting a certain header document structure.

A screen reader could make sense of it.

Actually, it could just make sense from a visual perspective as well

because I want to play on to what somebody was asking there.

I once had an engagement.

This was public sector where they went also because of accessibility reasons,

we should take away all visual aspects in a document and just make sure.

I said no, because that would create barriers for people

who want that visual aspect of a document.

It's not an or thing.

We want to be inclusive,

but that doesn't mean we're going to then be exclusive to the people who use vision.

That's why you then make those choices to point out

that something is decorative to a screen reader, for example.

By all means, keep that richness if it supports.

A picture can tell 1,000 words,

or a picture can actually help with the moods.

That's where you need to,

don't go overboard in one direction.

Think of all your audiences.

Brilliant. Thanks.

Did I answer the question?

I think you did and you're mention of Clippy has started something.

There's a movement to bring him back.

You've created amongst them, Michael.