Institution Application Silver Award

Public version, redacted

## University of <br> Kent

## ATHENA SWAN BRONZE INSTITUTION AWARDS

Recognise a solid foundation for eliminating gender bias and developing an inclusive culture that values all staff.

This includes:
= an assessment of gender equality in the institution, including quantitative (staff data) and qualitative (policies, practices, systems and arrangements) evidence and identifying both challenges and opportunities
= a four-year plan that builds on this assessment, information on activities that are already in place and what has been learned from these
= the development of an organisational structure, including a self-assessment team, to carry proposed actions forward

## ATHENA SWAN SILVER INSTITUTION AWARDS

Recognise a significant record of activity and achievement by the institution in promoting gender equality and in addressing challenges in different disciplines. Applications should focus on what has improved since the Bronze institution award application, how the institution has built on the achievements of award-winning departments, and what the institution is doing to help individual departments apply for Athena SWAN awards.

## COMPLETING THE FORM

DO NOT ATTEMPT TO COMPLETE THIS APPLICATION FORM WITHOUT READING THE ATHENA SWAN AWARDS HANDBOOK.

This form should be used for applications for Bronze and Silver institution awards. You should complete each section of the application applicable to the award level you are applying for.

Additional areas for Silver applications are highlighted throughout the form: 5.2, 5.4, 5.5(iv)

If you need to insert a landscape page in your application, please copy and paste the template page at the end of the document, as per the instructions on that page. Please do not insert any section breaks as to do so will disrupt the page numbers.

## WORD COUNT

The overall word limit for applications are shown in the following table.

There are no specific word limits for the individual sections, and you may distribute words over each of the sections as appropriate. At the end of every section, please state how many words you have used in that section.

We have provided the following recommended word counts as a guide.

| Institution application | Bronze | Silver |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Word limit | $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Recommended word count |  |  |
| 1.Letter of endorsement | 500 | 500 |
| 2.Description of the institution | 500 | 500 |
| 3. Self-assessment process | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| 4. Picture of the institution | 5,000 | 3,000 |
| 5. Supporting and advancing women's careers | 500 | 6,000 |
| 6. Supporting trans people | 500 | 500 |
| 7. Further information |  | 500 |

- The expanded/ post-May institutional word count has increased by 500 words
- E-mail of $\mathbf{1 5} / \mathbf{1 0}$ /2020 granting $\mathbf{5 0 0}$ extra words:

Dear Anne-Marie,

We are happy to grant an additional 500 words to account for discussion of the recent restructure (we usually allow up to 500 extra words for restructures)

Please include this email in your submission as confirmation and state in the submission where the extra words have been used (please note, section by section word counts are suggested but the total word count may be used across the submission as appropriate).

With best wishes,
Lizzy

Dr Lizzy Allman
Equality Charters Adviser

The 500 extra words have been used in the following sections:
Section 2 Description of the Institution: 'A time of transformational change' and at various points in the application when referencing the future organisational structure.

Total word count allowance: 13,000 + additional 1000 from Minor Revisions request.
Actual word count: 13,304

GLOSSARY

| ACM | Academic Career Map |
| :---: | :---: |
| AS | Athena SWAN |
| CCCU | Canterbury Christ Church University |
| CEDARS | Culture Employment and Development in Academic Research Survey |
| CROS | Careers in research on-line survey |
| EAP | Employee Assistance Program |
| ECR | Early Career Researcher |
| EDI | Equality, Diversity and Inclusivity |
| EG | The University's Executive Group |
| EHRC | Equality and Human Rights Commission |
| EPA | Equal Pay Audit |
| F | Legal sex is female/identifies as female |
| FPC | Faculty Promotions Committee |
| FTE | Full Time Equivalent |
| GMH | Guaranteed minimum hours |
| GRC | Graduate Researcher College |
| HE | Higher Education |
| HPL | Hourly Paid Lecturer |
| HoS | Head of School |
| HRER | HR Excellence in Research |
| IMD | International Men's Day |
| IWD | International Women's Day |
| ISAT | Institutional self-assessment team |
| KIE | Kent Innovation and Enterprise |
| KIT | Keeping in Touch |
| KMMS | Kent and Medway Medical School |


| KPI | Key performance indicator |
| :---: | :---: |
| L\&OD | Learning and Organisational Development |
| M | Legal sex is male/identifies as male |
| M \& P | Managerial and Professorial pay scale |
| NLW | National Living Wage |
| OPERA | Opportunity, Productivity, Engagement, Reducing Barriers, Achievement. Project to embed accessibility |
| PDRA | Post-doctoral research assistant |
| PG | Postgraduate |
| PGR | Post Graduate Researcher |
| PI | Principle Investigator on a research project |
| PS | Professional Services |
| RAE | Research Assessment Exercise |
| REF | Research Excellence Framework |
| RO | Research Only: academics on a research contract |
| R\&T | Research and Teaching: academics on an Education and Research contract |
| REC | Race Equality Charter |
| REEP | Recognising Excellence in Education Project |
| RPD | Reflect, Plan, Develop |
| SAT | Self-assessment team |
| SMSAS | School of Mathematics, Statistics and Actuarial Science |
| SPC | School Promotions Committee |
| SPL | Shared Parental Leave |
| T\&F | Task and Finish |
| TO | Teaching Only: Academics on an Education, Scholarship and Professional Practice contract |
| UG | Undergraduate |
| UOG | University of Greenwich |


| UPC | University Promotions Committee |
| :--- | :--- |
| VC | Vice-Chancellor |
| WP | Widening Participation |

## Notes on data and references in application

- headcount data is used throughout
- staff gender data is as of the census date of 01 October from 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019
- staff ethnicity data is of 01 October 2020
- staff ethnicity and gender specific data added in May 2021 after Minor Revisions request
- data relates to staff on substantive contracts only unless specified otherwise
- student data is of census date 01 December 2019
- benchmark data are for the UK HE sector and taken from Advance HE Statistical Reports 2019
- where the narrative mentions specific data in the tables, the data is highlighted
- the Bronze action plan (section 8.1) consisted of 8 Objectives underpinned by a set of actions
- specific actions are cited in the narrative by presenting the action reference as a superscript text (e.g. ${ }^{3.6}$ )
- progress against the overarching Objectives is referenced in the narrative


## Redacted version

Redactions have been made in this public version of the submission in order to protect confidentiality.

Narrative, images data or tables revealing sensitive, unpublished information that could be linked to an individual have been removed.

| Name of institution | University of Kent |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Date of application | November 2020 |  |
| Award Level |  | Silver |
| Date joined Athena SWAN | 1 July 2010 |  |
| Current award | Date: <br> November 2017 | Bronze |
| Contact for application | Georgina Ransley de <br> Moura |  |
| Email | G.R.de- <br> Moura@kent.ac.uk |  |
| Telephone | 01227 823898 |  |

## 1. LETTER OF ENDORSEMENT FROM THE HEAD OF INSTITUTION

Recommended word count: Bronze: 500 words | Silver: 500 words
An accompanying letter of endorsement from the vice-chancellor or principal should be included. If the vice-chancellor is soon to be succeeded, or has recently taken up the post, applicants should include an additional short statement from the incoming vice-chancellor.

Note: Please insert the endorsement letter immediately after this cover page.

Office of the Vice-Chancellor
Professor Karen Cox
Vice-Chancellor and President

T: +44 (0)1227 823201
E: vicechancellor@kent.ac.uk

Dr Ruth E Gilligan
Assistant Director - Equality Charters
Advance HE
Napier House
24 High Holborn
London WC1V 6AZ
$23^{\text {rd }}$ November 2020

Dear Ruth,
It is with great pleasure that I write in support of the University of Kent's submission for an Athena SWAN institutional Silver award.

When appointed in 2017, as the second female Vice-Chancellor, I brought my personal commitment to gender equality by being both a member of the Institutional Self-Assessment Team and also the Executive Group equality champion for gender and LGBT+. One of my first priorities was to meet women in academic and professional services roles to hear about their experiences. We have given a voice to those previously unheard and, through the senior membership of the ISAT have ensured their voice is heeded in the right places; including involving more men in the gender equality conversation.

This principle of personal consultation and engagement has underpinned the approach to our work on AS ever since.

We have come a long way since 2017 when only five of our schools held Bronze awards and we had been unsuccessful in our institutional renewal. We responded by committing resource to a central AS team, accelerating progress so that we renewed our institutional Bronze, supported 20 Schools across all subject areas to establish SATs, 18 of which have submitted applications with 13 now holding awards.

We are also in a position to present our institutional Silver application. I am particularly proud of the following impact from delivering our Bronze action plan:

- proportion of female professors has increased from $27.8 \%$ to $33.8 \%$
- number of readers and professors on Teaching contracts has increased from 1 to 9
- senior commitment to AS has informed design of key institutional initiatives including an Academic Career Map and Reward Strategy. Gender equality considerations are now everyday considerations
- consultations with colleagues who are parents led to sector-leading changes to our family friendly offering including additional paid leave for parents of premature babies

Outside of the action plan I particularly welcome changes recognising professional services colleagues. I personally championed their inclusion in the graduation procession ceremony and we have changed the deep-rooted practice of using the term 'non-academic'. Our new organisational structure will allow us to further embed EDI considerations giving us a stronger base to face the challenges ahead in areas such as increasing the number of women in STEMM and making sure that new ways of working, precipitated by COVID 19, are equally available to and suitable for all.

Shining a light on gender equality naturally illuminates a wider EDI agenda and our approach is appropriately intersectional. During 2019 I asked the AS lead to conduct a review of future EDI strategy and structures. To support the resulting recommendations, I have appointed a member of our Executive Group to be our EDI lead. Under her steer, we have recently committed to the Race Equality Charter.

Finally, my greatest thanks go to all those in the Self-Assessment Team in outlining an approach which is both ambitious and attainable. I would also like to thank Rob Bell at Imperial College for being our 'critical friend'.

Yours sincerely,


The information presented in this application (including qualitative and quantitative data) is an honest, accurate and true representation of the institution.

## 2. DESCRIPTION OF THE INSTITUTION

## Recommended word count: Bronze: 500 words | Silver: 500 words

Please provide a brief description of the institution, including any relevant contextual information. This should include:
(i) information on where the institution is in the Athena SWAN process
(ii) information on its teaching and its research focus
(iii) the number of staff. Present data for academic and professional services separately
(iv) the total number of departments and total number of students
(v) list and sizes of science, technology, engineering, maths and medicine (STEMM) and arts,
humanities, social science, business and law (AHSSBL) departments. Present data for academic and
professional services separately
(i) information on where the institution is in the Athena SWAN process

Kent joined the AS charter in 2010 and achieved Bronze in 2013. Application for renewal in 2016 was unsuccessful and a new approach was needed.

EG approved a bid to create a centralised AS team, Table 2.1, investing $£ 625,000$ including a yearly budget of $£ 40,000$.

With this new structure in place, we renewed Bronze in 2017.

| Central Athena SWAN team |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Role | Responsibilities |
| Academic lead <br> 0.2 FTE 3.5 years | Institutional sponsor of Athena SWAN, bringing gender equality issues to key committees within the university |
| Post-Doctoral Research Associate <br> 1 FTE 3 years | Undertaking qualitative and quantitative research pertaining to gender equality and intersectionality within the institution |
| Data Analyst <br> 1 FTE 3 years | Interrogating institutional data streams to provide data-based evidence for action, support quantitative data research at School and institutional level ${ }^{5.6 .18}$ |
| Adviser <br> 1 FTE Permanent | Supporting Schools in their Athena SWAN work, capturing and sharing best practice ${ }^{5.6 .16}$ |
| Project Manager <br> 1 FTE 3 years | Identifying and implementing policy, process and structural changes at university level |

Table 2.1: Roles and responsibilities of the central Athena SWAN team

Established in 1965, Kent started with 500 students and 150 staff at the Canterbury campus.


Figure 2.1: First graduation ceremony, 1968

A second UK campus in Medway, study centre in Tonbridge and postgraduate centres in Athens, Brussels, Paris and Rome have been established.


Figure 2.2: Locations of Kent's UK campuses, study centre and European Centres

We partnered with UoG to open a School of Pharmacy in Medway in 2004 and with CCCU to open the first Medical School in the county in 2020.


Figure 2.3: Opening of the Kent and Medway Medical School, 2020

Across these multi-locations, staff are based permanently in Canterbury, Medway and Brussels. All are invited to take part in AS consultations and we ensure that AS events are held at both Canterbury and Medway campuses.

22 Schools are organised within 3 Faculties: Sciences, Humanities and Social Sciences.

IMPACT: The central AS team has led a rapid engagement with AS: 20 out of 22 Schools now have SATs. 18 have applied for awards and since 2016 the number of award holders has increased from 5 to 13


Figure 2.4: Kent's academic Schools and their Bronze/Silver Athena SWAN award status. $\mathrm{S}=$ Silver, $\mathrm{B}=$ Bronze, $\mathrm{U}=$ previous unsuccessful submission, $\mathrm{P}=$ pending first submission in 2021


Figure 2.5: Timeline of successful School Athena SWAN applications since 2016. The total number of Schools holding awards has increased from 5 in 2016 to 13 in $2020 . \quad(R)=$ renewal


Figure 2.6: Maths, Statistics and Actuarial Science collecting Kent's first Silver award and History a first award for the Faculty of Humanities, 2018
(ii) information on its teaching and research focus

Since 1965, we have continually developed our research and teaching activities, ranking $17^{\text {th }}$ in the UK for research intensity in the latest REF and being among the 20\% of HE providers awarded TEF Gold in 2017.
(iii) the number of staff. Present data for academic and professional services separately

Whilst the overall number of staff has remained relatively stable since 2016, there has been an increase in academics and researchers and a decrease in PS staff, Table 2.2.

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | \% Change <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Academic and Research | 1,093 | 1,118 | 1,173 | 1,210 | $10.7 \%$ |
| Professional Services | 1,973 | 2,003 | 2,077 | 1,942 | $-1.6 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 , 0 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 1 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 2 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 1 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 8 \%}$ |

Table 2.2: Number of staff 2016-2019
(iv) the total number of departments and total number of students
(v) list and sizes of science, technology, engineering, maths and medicine (STEMM) and arts, humanities, social science, business and law (AHSSBL) departments. Present data for academic and professional services separately

AHSSBL dominates in terms of the number of staff and students compared to STEMM and the majority of PS occupy centralised roles $(1,489)$ compared to School roles $(453)$, Table 2.3.

| School | Academics and Research | Professional Services | Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Biosciences | 70 | 42 | 950 |
| Computing | 66 | 27 | 1,082 |
| Engineering and Digital Arts | 46 | 28 | 563 |
| Maths, Statistics and Actuarial Science | 55 | 13 | 686 |
| Medical School | 7 | 8 | 0 |
| Physical Sciences | 59 | 32 | 968 |
| Sport and Exercise Sciences (M) | 20 | 9 | 397 |
| Pharmacy (M) | 36 | UoG | UoG |
| Faculty of Sciences/STEMM Total | 359 | 159 | 4,646 |
| Architecture | 27 | 15 | 478 |
| Arts | 38 | 23 | 790 |
| English | 57 | 16 | 600 |
| European Culture and Languages | 91 | 23 | 1,425 |
| History | 38 | 19 | 676 |
| Music and Audio Technology (M) | 8 | 10 | 93 |
| Faculty of Humanities Total | 259 | 106 | 4,062 |
| Anthropology and Conservation | 53 | 15 | 523 |
| Business (m) | 108 | 40 | 2,265 |
| Economics | 41 | 12 | 781 |
| Journalism (M) | 8 | 3 | 74 |
| Law (b) | 83 | 29 | 1,626 |
| Politics and International Relations (b) | 42 | 17 | 1,082 |
| Psychology | 70 | 23 | 1,135 |
| Social Policy, Sociology and Social Research ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{b}$ ) | 160 | 49 | 1,625 |
| Faculty of Social Sciences Total | 565 | 188 | 9,111 |
| AHSSBL Total | 824 | 294 | 13,173 |
| Academics in PS roles not assigned to a specific School | 27 |  |  |
| PS staff in central roles |  | 1,489 |  |
| Students not assigned to a specific School |  |  | 832 |
| TOTAL | 1,210 | 1,942 | 18,651 |

Table 2.3: Number of academic and research staff, professional services staff and students by School, Faculty and STEMM, AHSSBL grouping. $(M)=$ wholly based in Medway $(m)=$ presence in Medway, $(b)=$ presence in Brussels. UoG = for Pharmacy, the partnership with University of Greenwich means the professional services staff and total student numbers are not assigned to Kent.

STEMM Schools have an under-representation of female academic and research staff and students. AHSSBL Schools have a balanced academic and research staff profile but a higher proportion of female professional services, Table 2.4.

| School | Academic and Research Staff |  |  | Professional Services Staff |  |  | Students |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | F | M | \%F | F | M | \%F | F | M | \%F |
| Biosciences | 28 | 42 | 40.0\% | 28 | 14 | 66.7\% | 553 | 397 | 58.2\% |
| Computing | 13 | 53 | 19.7\% | 17 | 10 | 63.0\% | 151 | 931 | 14.0\% |
| Engineering and Digital Arts | 8 | 38 | 17.4\% | 15 | 13 | 53.6\% | 141 | 422 | 25.0\% |
| Maths, Statistics and Actuarial Science | 16 | 39 | 29.1\% | 11 | 2 | 84.6\% | 270 | 416 | 39.4\% |
| Medical School | 3 | 4 | 42.9\% | 6 | 2 | 75.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% |
| Physical Sciences | 17 | 42 | 28.8\% | 17 | 15 | 53.1\% | 396 | 572 | 40.9\% |
| Sport and Exercise Sciences | 8 | 12 | 40.0\% | 3 | 6 | 33.3\% | 102 | 295 | 25.7\% |
| Pharmacy | 20 | 16 | 55.6\% | UoG | UoG | - | UoG | UoG | - |
| Faculty of Sciences/STEMM Total | 113 | 246 | 31.5\% | 97 | 62 | 61.0\% | 1,613 | 3,033 | 34.7\% |
| Architecture | 7 | 20 | 25.9\% | 7 | 8 | 46.7\% | 257 | 221 | 53.8\% |
| Arts | 21 | 17 | 55.3\% | 12 | 11 | 52.2\% | 477 | 313 | 60.4\% |
| English | 32 | 25 | 56.1\% | 14 | 2 | 87.5\% | 461 | 138 | 76.8\% |
| European Culture and Languages | 49 | 42 | 53.8\% | 15 | 8 | 65.2\% | 945 | 478 | 66.3\% |
| History | 16 | 22 | 42.1\% | 14 | 5 | 73.7\% | 243 | 369 | 35.9\% |
| Music and Audio Technology | 1 | 7 | 12.5\% | 7 | 3 | 70.0\% | 31 | 62 | 33.3\% |
| Faculty of Humanities Total | 126 | 133 | 48.6\% | 69 | 37 | 65.1\% | 2,414 | 1,581 | 59.4\% |
| Anthropology and Conservation | 20 | 33 | 37.7\% | 13 | 2 | 86.7\% | 385 | 138 | 73.6\% |
| Business | 40 | 68 | 37.0\% | 30 | 10 | 75.0\% | 965 | 1,300 | 42.6\% |
| Economics | 10 | 31 | 24.4\% | 10 | 2 | 83.3\% | 226 | 555 | 28.9\% |
| Journalism | 2 | 6 | 25.0\% | 2 | 1 | 66.7\% | 40 | 34 | 54.1\% |
| Law | 50 | 33 | 60.2\% | 22 | 7 | 75.9\% | 1,097 | 529 | 67.5\% |
| Politics and International Relations | 12 | 30 | 28.6\% | 13 | 4 | 76.5\% | 561 | 521 | 51.8\% |
| Psychology | 38 | 32 | 54.3\% | 16 | 7 | 69.6\% | 935 | 200 | 82.4\% |
| Social Policy, Sociology and Social Research | 110 | 50 | 68.8\% | 42 | 7 | 85.7\% | 1,289 | 336 | 79.3\% |
| Faculty of Social Sciences Total | 282 | 283 | 49.9\% | 148 | 40 | 78.7\% | 5,498 | 3,613 | 60.3\% |
| AHSSBL Total | 408 | 416 | 49.5\% | 217 | 77 | 73.8\% | 7,912 | 5,194 | 60.1\% |
| TOTAL | 521 | 662 | 44.0\% | 314 | 139 | 69.3\% | 9,525 | 8,227 | 53.5\% |

Table 2.4: Gender breakdown of academic and research staff, professional services staff and students by School, Faculty and STEMM, AHSSBL grouping. UoG = for Pharmacy, the partnership with University of Greenwich means the professional services staff and total student numbers are not assigned to Kent.

Our student base at $28.6 \%$ BAME is more diverse than either our academic ( $17.5 \%$ BAME) or professional services ( $7.7 \%$ BAME) population. This under-representation of BAME staff is a key reason for signing up to the REC in 2020.

## A time of transformational change

A major transformation of academic Schools and PS functions is in progress. From November 2020, Schools will organise into 6 Divisions replacing the Faculties, supported by new PS directorates and structures, some of which will be devolved from their current central functions.

Progression of AS work and applications will continue at School level and the Divisional structure will provide opportunities for closer working and sharing of best practice.

We are also establishing a new university-wide EDI structure that has been informed by the work of an EDI T\&F Group, chaired by the AS academic lead ${ }^{3.6}$. This work began as a piece of analysis to support signing up to REC, informed by our learnings from AS and revealed the need for wider organisational change to best support EDI in future.

For 2020/21 there is now an institutional EDI lead in place and new Deputy Director of Division roles have been established with EDI in their remit and the overall reorganisation programme is being supported by an on-going Equality Analysis. The creation of a central EDI team was approved by Senate in November 2020.

We will have the organisational structure required to advance our on-going institutional AS work, our commitment to REC and the wider EDI agenda noting the importance of an inclusive environment as set out in our 2025 strategy: 'Our university is based on equality, diversity, respect and we value each other'.

## 3. THE SELF-ASSESSMENT PROCESS

Recommended word count: Bronze: 1000 words | Silver: 1000 words
Describe the self-assessment process. This should include:
(i) a description of the self-assessment team
(ii) an account of the self-assessment process
(iii) plans for the future of the self-assessment team
(i) Description of the self-assessment team

ISAT membership reflects its role as a steering group for institutional AS activities with several senior roles within the organisation represented, including the VC and the new academic lead for EDI.

We have drawn on ISAT members' experience of delivering strategic culture change and their connections to ensure that AS principles and issues raised have a voice across the institution's decision-making forums ${ }^{5.6 .10}$ and within the HE sector.

| Name | Job Title | Job Type | Gender | Experience and concurrent membership of other groups, committees and networks during ISAT tenure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sarah Vickerstaff <br> Chair | Professor of Work and Employment (AHSSBL) | Academic | Female | Chair of Athena SWAN working Group <br> Chair of EDI Task and Finish Group <br> Member of University, Faculty, Schools and Promotions Committees, Staff Policy Committee, REF Steering Group, Honorary Degrees Committee, Academic Career Map Project, Women's Network <br> Member of HE sector Network of EDI Academic Leaders (NEDIAL) |
| Simon Kirchin <br> Deputy Chair | Director of the <br> Division of Arts and Humanities, formerly Dean of Faculty of Humanities <br> (AHSSBL) | Academic | Male | Deputy Chair of ASWG <br> Member of University Promotions Committee, REF Steering Group, Joint Staff Negotiating and Consultation Committee |


| Rice Chancellor and |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Academic | Female |
| :--- |
| President |



| Athena SWAN |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Postdoctoral |
| Research Associate | Fostdoctoral | Female |
| :--- |
| (AHSSBL) |

Figure 3.1: Members of the Institutional self-assessment team 2019/2020
Membership has been regularly reviewed and new members are recruited directly by the chair to ensure gender balance, strategic leadership and representation of STEMM and AHSSBL, PS, academics and ECRs ${ }^{3 \cdot 1,3 \cdot 2}$.

Excluding the 5 ex-officio members of the central AS team, there is a balance of 6 men and 6 women.
(ii) The self-assessment team process

ISAT members bring a range of experience in terms of parenting, caring and being in minority groups and are also committed to the principle of consulting with colleagues to better understand their experiences via different AS activities, Table 3.1. (Data redacted)

|  | Year | Participants |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | F | M |
| Events |  |  |  |  |
| AS Awareness Day Canterbury | 2017 | 34 |  |  |
| AS Awareness Day Medway | 2018 | 13 |  |  |
| IWD/Parents Discussion | 2019 | 70 |  |  |
| PS Progressing Together | 2019 | 78 |  |  |
| Menopause Café | 2020 | 21 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Focus Groups |  |  |  |  |
| Parents at Kent Focus Group | 2018 | 47 |  |  |
| Part Time Focus Group | 2019 | 8 |  |  |
| IMD Focus Group | 2019 | 29 |  |  |
| PDRA Focus Group | 2019 | 13 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Surveys* |  |  |  |  |
| Keeping in Touch Day (KIT) Survey | 2017 | 33 |  |  |
| International Men's Day Survey | 2018 | 68 |  |  |
| International Women's Day Survey | 2019 | 86 |  |  |
| Part -Time Working Survey | 2019 | 465 |  |  |
| Keeping in Touch Day (KIT) Survey | 2020 | 74 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1:1 Interviews |  |  |  |  |
| Law | 2019 | 13 |  |  |
| Journalism | 2019 | 11 |  |  |
| Art | 2019 | 11 |  |  |
| Business School | 2019 | 12 |  |  |
| PDRAs | 2019 | 5 |  |  |
| Research Services | 2019 | 4 |  |  |
| HE sector EDI academic leads | 2020 | 4 |  |  |
| Total |  | 1099 | 824 | 256 |

Table 3.1: Athena SWAN consultation events and participants 2017-2020.
*Gender analysis of survey responses is based on 'Female' and 'Male' gender identity responses only and therefore does not always reflect the total number of responses.

University networks and groups were also consulted on issues and updated on the progress of the institutional AS work, as were those responsible for governing and implementing changes.
Consultation
Gender equality issues and ideas

Figure 3.2: Consultation, governance and delivery structure for gender equality changes

IMPACT: Self-assessment process focused on individual consultation and led to changes beyond the Bronze action plan that otherwise would not have happened, including:

- additional pay and leave for parents of premature babies (section 5.5)
- professional services processing at graduation (section 5.6i)
- improving the parental entitlements for PGRs on Kent scholarships (section 5.5)
- the creation of a Women in Research network, WREN (section 7)

ISAT members personally influenced strategic initiatives including the new Academic Career Map (ACM, 5.1iii) and Pay and Reward Strategy (4.1iv) to ensure consideration of gender equality.

The AS team engaged with HEIs across the sector via the Network of EDI Academic Leads (NEDIAL) and the South East regional AS meetings to share ideas.

The assessment process also drew on the responses of 2 surveys run in 2019, Table 3.2:

- staff survey: launched following an initial pilot for a subset of staff run in 2018
- Vitae's CROS, targeted at ECRs

| Survey | Responses | F | M | \%F | \%M |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Staff survey | 1,754 | 888 | 599 | $59.7 \%$ | $40.3 \%$ |
| Careers in Research on-line survey (CROS) <br> aimed at early career researchers | 104 | 54 | 40 | $57.4 \%$ | $42.6 \%$ |

Table 3.2: Responses to 2019 staff survey and Vitae CROS Gender analysis of survey responses is based on 'Female' and 'Male' gender identity responses only and therefore does not reflect the total number of responses.

Specific results are referenced throughout the application. In addition the ISAT carried out a broader EDI analysis of the staff survey which has been used as discussion points with representative staff networks and to build the case for signing up to REC, Table 3.3.

| Staff Survey Question\Responses | Total | GENDER |  | RACE |  | sexual ORIENTATION |  | DISABILITY |  | RELIGION |  | AGE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | F | M | BAME | White | Hetero | LGB+ | No | Yes | Christian | Other | Under 40 | 40-50 | Over 50 |
| Meetings and social activities take place at times when those with caring responsibilities can attend | 79\% | 79\% | 82\% | 77\% | 81\% | 81\% | 78\% | 80\% | 74\% | 79\% | 78\% | 80\% | 79\% | 79\% |
| My school/department uses women as well as men as visible role models | 94\% | 94\% | 95\% | 87\% | 96\% | 95\% | 91\% | 95\% | 88\% | 94\% | 91\% | 94\% | 95\% | 94\% |
| The University's policies on equality are respected and promoted in my school/department | 86\% | 86\% | 90\% | 80\% | 90\% | 88\% | 85\% | 88\% | 80\% | 88\% | 82\% | 88\% | 88\% | 87\% |

Table 3.3: EDI analysis of a subset of staff survey questions. Figures show the proportion of positive responses ('Agree' and 'Strongly Agree') of particular groups compared to the total.

Further insight for the SAT came from the findings of an external 'Review of Research Staff Support at the University of Kent' commissioned in 2018 by the Graduate School (5.3.iii).

Since 2017, ISAT's remit has expanded to include:-

- supporting delivery of the action plan, monitoring progress against objectives and reviewing evidence of impact
- approving new actions arising from ideas/issues across the institution
- promoting AS events, focus groups and surveys
- encouraging colleagues to become trained AS panellists (increasing numbers from 14 to $18^{5.6 .19}$ ) and to sit on internal mock panels, provided for all Schools
- embedding AS and wider EDI considerations within key committees, working groups and networks

The ISAT meets termly with dates published in the university diary ${ }^{3.3}$. The team receives an update on progress against the Bronze action plan along with proposals for new initiatives to be considered. For matters arising in-between meetings and in the lead-up to the Silver submission, the AS lead has carried out more frequent communications as needed.

ISAT minutes are shared with the ASWG, constituted by a SAT member from each School, EDI representatives from PS departments, the President of the Student Union, a staff Union representative and chairs of our LGBT+ and Disability networks ${ }^{3.1}$. The ASWG also meets termly, and with over 30 members supports the ISAT by raising gender equality issues and ideas from broader communities across the university.

The SAT leads network ${ }^{5.6 .17}$ has helped share best practice, particularly across STEMM and AHSSBL particularly in the promotions process (5.1iii) and supporting maternity returners (5.5ii).

Through the AS budget, ISAT funded bursaries for 9 female journalism students around the country to attend the Worldwide Congress of Women Journalists and Writers, held in the UK and co-hosted by Kent.


Figure 3.3 The Athena SWAN Women in Journalism bursary winners, 2018.

Links to other working groups have allowed the alignment of action plans; the Silver plan is co-created with HR's EDI strategy, Gender Pay Gap, HR Excellence in Research and Technician Commitment.

The work of ISAT is held to account by Council through a wider annual review of EDI progress ${ }^{3.4}$.
(iii) Future plans for the SAT

The ISAT will continue to meet termly and in 2020/21 the deputy chair will replace the current chair as academic AS lead, reviewing other changes of membership as required as the new organisational structure is established.

Deputy Directors of Divisions will have EDI within their remit and the ISAT chair will brief them on AS work.

There will be increased integration between ISAT and the emerging EDI structures and it is important that AS is embedded in institution-wide EDI groups to maintain our progress.

ACTION 1: Review membership of the institutional self-assessment team in light of new organisational structures

ACTION 2: Ensure Athena SWAN representation within new university EDI governance and operational structures

ACTION 3: Brief the new network of Divisional Deputy Directors on gender equality issues and initiatives and opportunities to share best practice across their constituent Schools

ISAT will be able to advise on the membership and processes required to support the REC SAT.

ACTION 4: Support and advice on the creation of the Race Equality Charter selfassessment team and its integration into wider university EDI structures and networks

Different types of surveys will be used in future to capture staff feedback on different themes or initiatives as they happen (Action 61) and the ISAT will influence the content and analyse the results of these.

Schools will continue to progress their AS action plans and submissions with the ISAT supporting them through the co-ordination of the SAT leads network and mock panels, together with determining the funding and support provided at a Divisional level.

Staff and students will be updated on future AS news through news items, social media, the AS website and an 'Equality Matters' blog page ${ }^{3.5}$ which has generated over 1,800 page views within its first 6 months of launch and has contributions on age, race, mental health as well as gender.


Figure 3.4: Examples of Athena SWAN communications: Kent staff weekly newsletter and the 'Equality Matters' blog page

## 4. A PICTURE OF THE INSTITUTION

Recommended word count: Bronze: 2000 words | Silver: 3000 words

### 4.1. Academic and research staff data

(i) Academic and research staff by grade and gender

Look at the career pipeline across the whole institution and between STEMM and AHSSBL subjects. Comment on and explain any differences between women and men, and any differences between STEMM and AHSSBL
subjects. Identify any issues in the pipeline at particular grades/levels

IMPACT: Since 2016:
Proportion of female professors increased from 27.8\% to 33.8\%
Proportion of female lecturers increased from $45.7 \%$ to $48.5 \%$
Overall female academic population increased from 42.1\% to 44.1\%

Since 2016 the proportion of female academics has increased to $44.1 \%$ closer to the benchmark of $45.9 \%$, Table 4.1.

|  | Total | F | M | \% F | \%M |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 1,210 | 534 | 676 | $44.1 \%$ | $55.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 1,173 | 509 | 664 | $43.4 \%$ | $56.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | 1,118 | 471 | 647 | $42.1 \%$ | $57.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | 1,093 | 460 | 633 | $42.1 \%$ | $57.9 \%$ |

Table 4.1: Gender profile of academic staff population 2016-2019

Gender profile by role has changed over this time, Table 4.2.

| INSTITUTION | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | No. F | No. M | \% F | \% M |
| Researcher | $\mathbf{2 0 3}$ | 114 | 89 | $56.2 \%$ | $43.8 \%$ |
| Lecturer | $\mathbf{3 9 6}$ | 192 | 204 | $48.5 \%$ | $51.5 \%$ |
| Senior Lecturer | $\mathbf{2 6 9}$ | 112 | 157 | $41.6 \%$ | $58.4 \%$ |
| Reader | $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ | 35 | 74 | $32.1 \%$ | $67.9 \%$ |
| Professor | $\mathbf{2 2 5}$ | 76 | 149 | $33.8 \%$ | $66.2 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clinical Academics | $\mathbf{8}$ | 5 | 3 | $62.5 \%$ | $37.5 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 2 1 0}$ | 534 | 676 |  |  |


| INSTITUTION | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | No. F | No. M | \% F | \% M |
| Researcher | $\mathbf{2 0 1}$ | 109 | 92 | $54.2 \%$ | $45.8 \%$ |
| Lecturer | $\mathbf{3 6 0}$ | 175 | 185 | $48.6 \%$ | $51.4 \%$ |
| Senior Lecturer | $\mathbf{2 7 5}$ | 115 | 160 | $41.8 \%$ | $58.2 \%$ |
| Reader | $\mathbf{9 9}$ | 34 | 65 | $34.3 \%$ | $65.7 \%$ |
| Professor | $\mathbf{2 2 7}$ | 71 | 156 | $31.3 \%$ | $68.7 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clinical Academics | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 5 | 6 |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 1 7 3}$ | 509 | 664 |  |  |


| INSTITUTION | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | No. F | No. M | \% F | \% M |
| Researcher | $\mathbf{1 9 1}$ | 106 | 85 | $55.5 \%$ | $44.5 \%$ |
| Lecturer | $\mathbf{3 5 1}$ | 158 | 193 | $45.0 \%$ | $55.0 \%$ |
| Senior Lecturer | $\mathbf{2 6 0}$ | 104 | 156 | $40.0 \%$ | $60.0 \%$ |
| Reader | $\mathbf{9 4}$ | 33 | 61 | $35.1 \%$ | $64.9 \%$ |
| Professor | $\mathbf{2 1 3}$ | 65 | 148 | $30.5 \%$ | $69.5 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clinical Academics | $\mathbf{9}$ | 5 | 4 |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 1 1 8}$ | 471 | 647 |  |  |


| INSTITUTION | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | No. F | No. M | \% F | \% M |
| Researcher | $\mathbf{1 8 7}$ | 102 | 85 | $54.5 \%$ | $45.5 \%$ |
| Lecturer | $\mathbf{3 4 8}$ | 159 | 189 | $45.7 \%$ | $54.3 \%$ |
| Senior Lecturer | $\mathbf{2 4 9}$ | 102 | 147 | $41.0 \%$ | $59.0 \%$ |
| Reader | $\mathbf{8 6}$ | 32 | 54 | $37.2 \%$ | $62.8 \%$ |
| Professor | $\mathbf{2 1 2}$ | 59 | 153 | $\mathbf{2 7 . 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 . 2 \%}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clinical Academics | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 6 | 5 | $54.5 \%$ | $45.5 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 0 9 3}$ | 460 | 633 |  |  |

Table 4.2: Gender analysis of the institutional academic career pipeline



Figure 4.1: Representation of the changes to the gender profile of the academic career pipeline between 2019 and 2016 for the institution

An increase in the proportion of female lecturers from $45.7 \%$ to $48.5 \%$ meets Bronze Objective 1.

This has been in part due to the gender balanced outcomes of the project to move lecturers from timesheet to substantive contracts ${ }^{4.2}$ and also due to actions underpinning Objective 3 - to increase the proportion of job applications from women to $40 \%$ - which for lecturers is now $40.6 \%$.

The proportion of female professors has increased from $27.8 \%$ to $33.8 \%$, against benchmark 25.5\%. This has been driven by continual review and improvement of the promotions process with a particular focus on those on Teaching Contracts (5.1iii).

Promotion is the main process affecting the size and gender balance of the professor pool with 45 promotions in the last 3 years compared to 9 recruitments. Female applicants for professorial promotion have had a higher success rate than male applicants since 2016.

Against these positive movements there has been a decline in the female proportion of readers from $37.2 \%$ to $32.1 \%$.

This is concerning for the applicant pool for future professorships: even though promotion success rates are strong we need a growing and gender balanced reader pool. Sections 5.1i and 5.1iii set out actions to improve recruitment and promotion processes.

The majority of academics are in AHSSBL subjects, $68.3 \%(827 / 1,210)$ and the profile has moved towards being gender balanced with $49.5 \%$ female representation. The gender profile in STEMM still shows an under-representation of women at 31.1\%, Table 4.3.

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\%$ F | $\% \mathbf{M}$ |
| University | $\mathbf{1 , 2 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 6}$ | $44.1 \%$ | $55.9 \%$ |
| AHSSBL | 827 | 409 | 418 | $49.5 \%$ | $50.5 \%$ |
| STEMM | 354 | 110 | 244 | $31.1 \%$ | $68.9 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not aligned to STEMM or AHSSBL | 21 | 10 | 11 | $47.6 \%$ | $52.4 \%$ |
| Academics in Clinical posts | 8 | 5 | 3 | $62.5 \%$ | $37.5 \%$ |


|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | F | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\%$ F | $\% \mathbf{M}$ |
| University | $\mathbf{1 , 1 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 4}$ | $43.4 \%$ | $56.6 \%$ |
| AHSSBL | 800 | 397 | 403 | $49.6 \%$ | $50.4 \%$ |
| STEMM | 350 | 102 | 248 | $29.1 \%$ | $70.9 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not aligned to STEMM or AHSSBL | 12 | 5 | 7 | $41.7 \%$ | $58.3 \%$ |
| Academics in Clinical posts | 11 | 5 | 6 | $45.5 \%$ | $54.5 \%$ |


|  | 2017 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | F | M | $\%$ F | $\%$ M |
| University | $\mathbf{1 , 1 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 7}$ | $42.1 \%$ | $57.9 \%$ |
| AHSSBL | 759 | 360 | 399 | $47.4 \%$ | $52.6 \%$ |
| STEMM | 338 | 102 | 236 | $30.2 \%$ | $69.8 \%$ |
| Not aligned to STEMM or AHSSBL |  |  |  |  |  |
| Academics in Clinical posts | 12 | 4 | 8 | $33.3 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ |
|  | 9 | 5 | 4 | $55.6 \%$ | $44.4 \%$ |


|  | 2016 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | F | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\%$ F | $\% \mathbf{M}$ |
| University | $\mathbf{1 , 0 9 3}$ | 460 | 633 | $42.1 \%$ | $57.9 \%$ |
| AHSSBL | 731 | 346 | 385 | $47.3 \%$ | $52.7 \%$ |
| STEMM | 331 | 99 | 232 | $29.9 \%$ | $70.1 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not aligned to STEMM or AHSSBL | 20 | 9 | 11 | $45.0 \%$ | $55.0 \%$ |
| Academics in Clinical posts | 11 | 6 | 5 | $54.5 \%$ | $45.5 \%$ |

Table 4.3: Gender analysis of the University's academic population including clinical posts and those roles not aligned to STEMM/AHSSBL

AHSSBL has an under-representation of men at researcher level but this in itself is not a progression barrier: with over 3 times as many male AHSSBL professors (98) as there are researchers (32). Rather, the data suggests barriers at SL and reader level that are disproportionately inhibiting women, roles that have seen a drop in the proportion of women since 2016, Table 4.4.

Leavers data highlights an issue for AHSSBL SLs as the proportion over the last 3 years, $57.7 \%$ is higher than the 2016 female SL population, $47.1 \%$, explored and actioned in 4.1iv.

| AHSSBL | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | No. F | No. M | \% F | \% M |
| Researcher | $\mathbf{1 1 3}$ | 81 | 32 | $71.7 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 3} \%$ |
| Lecturer | $\mathbf{2 9 1}$ | 150 | 141 | $51.5 \%$ | $48.5 \%$ |
| Senior Lecturer | $\mathbf{1 7 8}$ | 80 | 98 | $44.9 \%$ | $55.1 \%$ |
| Reader | $\mathbf{7 9}$ | 30 | 49 | $38.0 \%$ | $62.0 \%$ |
| Professor | $\mathbf{1 6 6}$ | 68 | 98 | $41.0 \%$ | $59.0 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 8}$ | $49.5 \%$ | $50.5 \%$ |


| AHSSBL | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | No. F | No. M | \% F | \% M |
| Researcher | $\mathbf{1 1 6}$ | 83 | 33 | $71.6 \%$ | $28.4 \%$ |
| Lecturer | $\mathbf{2 5 7}$ | 135 | 122 | $52.5 \%$ | $47.5 \%$ |
| Senior Lecturer | $\mathbf{1 9 1}$ | 88 | 103 | $46.1 \%$ | $53.9 \%$ |
| Reader | $\mathbf{7 6}$ | 30 | 46 | $39.5 \%$ | $60.5 \%$ |
| Professor | $\mathbf{1 6 0}$ | 61 | 99 | $38.1 \%$ | $61.9 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 3}$ | $49.6 \%$ | $50.4 \%$ |


| AHSSBL | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | No. F | No. M | \% F | $\%$ M |
| Researcher | $\mathbf{1 0 4}$ | 72 | 32 | $69.2 \%$ | $30.8 \%$ |
| Lecturer | $\mathbf{2 5 1}$ | 121 | 130 | $48.2 \%$ | $51.8 \%$ |
| Senior Lecturer | $\mathbf{1 8 2}$ | 81 | 101 | $44.5 \%$ | $55.5 \%$ |
| Reader | $\mathbf{7 2}$ | 29 | 43 | $40.3 \%$ | $59.7 \%$ |
| Professor | $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ | 57 | 93 | $38.0 \%$ | $62.0 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 9}$ | $47.4 \%$ | $52.6 \%$ |


| AHSSBL | 2016 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | No. F | No. M | \% F | \% M |
| Researcher | $\mathbf{9 2}$ | 63 | 29 | $68.5 \%$ | $31.5 \%$ |
| Lecturer | $\mathbf{2 5 1}$ | 124 | 127 | $49.4 \%$ | $50.6 \%$ |
| Senior Lecturer | $\mathbf{1 7 2}$ | 81 | 91 | $47.1 \%$ | $52.9 \%$ |
| Reader | $\mathbf{6 7}$ | 28 | 39 | $41.8 \%$ | $58.2 \%$ |
| Professor | $\mathbf{1 4 9}$ | 50 | 99 | $33.6 \%$ | $66.4 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 5}$ | $47.3 \%$ | $52.7 \%$ |

Table 4.4 Gender analysis of the academic career pipeline for AHSSBL, excluding academics in clinical posts or roles not aligned to AHSSBL

Positively, $41.0 \%$ of AHSSBL professors are women compared to benchmark of $32.1 \%$.


Figure 4.2: Representation of the changes to the gender profile of the academic career pipeline between 2019 and 2016 for AHSSBL

The difference between STEMM and AHSSBL pipelines is stark: STEMM continues to show a female under-representation at every level although there have been improvements at lecturer, SL and professorial level - still only $12.0 \%$ compared to $21.3 \%$ benchmark, Table 4.5 .

| STEMM | 2019 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | No. F | No. M | \% F | \% M |
| Researcher | $\mathbf{8 9}$ | 32 | 57 | $36.0 \%$ | $64.0 \%$ |
| Lecturer | $\mathbf{9 9}$ | 38 | 61 | $38.4 \%$ | $61.6 \%$ |
| Senior Lecturer | $\mathbf{8 7}$ | 30 | 57 | $34.5 \%$ | $65.5 \%$ |
| Reader | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | 4 | 25 | $13.8 \%$ | $86.2 \%$ |
| Professor | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 6 | 44 | $12.0 \%$ | $88.0 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 4}$ | $31.1 \%$ | $68.9 \%$ |


| STEMM | 2018 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | No. F | No. M | \% F | \% M |
| Researcher | $\mathbf{8 9}$ | 28 | 61 | $31.5 \%$ | $68.5 \%$ |
| Lecturer | $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ | 38 | 64 | $37.3 \%$ | $62.7 \%$ |
| Senior Lecturer | $\mathbf{8 2}$ | 26 | 56 | $31.7 \%$ | $68.3 \%$ |
| Reader | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | 3 | 19 | $13.6 \%$ | $86.4 \%$ |
| Professor | $\mathbf{5 5}$ | 7 | 48 | $12.7 \%$ | $87.3 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 1 \%}$ | $70.9 \%$ |


| STEMM | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | No. F | No. M | \% F | \% M |
| Researcher | $\mathbf{9 0}$ | 36 | 54 | $40.0 \%$ | $60.0 \%$ |
| Lecturer | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | 37 | 63 | $37.0 \%$ | $63.0 \%$ |
| Senior Lecturer | $\mathbf{7 6}$ | 21 | 55 | $\mathbf{2 7 . 6 \%}$ | $72.4 \%$ |
| Reader | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | 3 | 18 | $\mathbf{1 4 . 3} \%$ | $85.7 \%$ |
| Professor | $\mathbf{5 1}$ | 5 | 46 | $9.8 \%$ | $90.2 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 2 \%}$ | $69.8 \%$ |


| STEMM | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | No. F | No. M | \% F | \% M |
| Researcher | $\mathbf{9 5}$ | 39 | 56 | $41.1 \%$ | $58.9 \%$ |
| Lecturer | $\mathbf{9 3}$ | 33 | 60 | $\mathbf{3 5 . 5 \%}$ | $64.5 \%$ |
| Senior Lecturer | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | 19 | 56 | $\mathbf{2 5 . 3} \%$ | $74.7 \%$ |
| Reader | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | 3 | 15 | $16.7 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ |
| Professor | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 5 | 45 | $\mathbf{1 0 . 0} \%$ | $90.0 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 . 1 \%}$ |

Table 4.5: Gender analysis of the academic career pipeline for STEMM, excluding academics in clinical posts or roles not aligned to STEMM


Figure 4.3: Representation of the changes to the gender profile of the academic career pipeline between 2019 and 2016 for STEMM

Positive movement at SL level has been helped by the KMMS recruitment approach ${ }^{5.1 .2}$ (5.1i) with 5 female and 3 male SLs appointed. Also, the success rate for women applying for promotion to STEMM SL is strong with 20 out of 23 successful applications since 2016.

In contrast to AHSSBL, where issues in the career pipeline manifest at SL level and above, for STEMM the problem remains much earlier with female under-representation at researcher and lecturer level. We have seen a drop in the proportion of female STEMM researchers from 41.1\% to 36.0\%.

STEMM researcher recruitment has shown an increase in the proportion of female applicants over the last 3.5 years from $26.4 \%$ to $45.4 \%$, averaging out at $32.1 \%$ exceeding the $30 \%$ Objective 2 target. However this is not enough to change the overall gender balance of the pool: the $32.1 \%$ of female applications resulted in $35.0 \%$ of STEMM researcher appointments being female which will serve only to maintain the gender profile of the pool at its current level given that the gender balance of STEMM researchers leaving over the last 3 years has been proportional to the pool (16 out of 46, 34.8\%).

Recruitment is also key to addressing the under-representation of female STEMM lecturers, but in this case focussing on the appointment of our own researchers. We rely heavily on external recruitment of lecturers: in one year only $4.9 \%$ of lecturer appointments in STEMM were from internal candidates ( $6.9 \%$ for AHSSBL).

Actions to further increase the proportion of female applicants to STEMM researcher positions and promote the appointments of our own researchers to lecturers have been identified (5.1i).

This work will also benefit our AHSSBL researchers as there is a drop in the proportion of women between researcher level (71.7\%) and lecturer level (51.5\%), Table 4.5.

Additionally the AHSSBL researchers leaving are 50\% female compared to their 2016 population of $68.5 \%$ suggesting a 'sticky floor' scenario where more female researchers are recruited in and are more likely to stay in role.

## Academic Staff and Ethnicity

In terms of ethnicity by role, there is a lower proportion of BAME staff at both researcher and professor level, Table 4.6, and of the total professorial population only $8.8 \%$ are BAME men and $3.5 \%$ BAME women. We are above the sector average ( $7.7 \%$ and $2.3 \%$ respectively) but there is still much work needed and REC will add further support to this.

| INSTITUTION |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | BAME | White | \% BAME | \% White |
| Researcher | 24 | 145 | $13.9 \%$ | $17.8 \%$ |
| Lecturer | 71 | 273 | $41.0 \%$ | $33.6 \%$ |
| Senior Lecturer | 41 | 196 | $23.7 \%$ | $24.1 \%$ |
| Reader | 16 | 76 | $9.2 \%$ | $9.3 \%$ |
| Professor | 21 | 123 | $12.1 \%$ | $15.1 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 1 3}$ |  |  |

Table 4.6: Ethnicity of academic staff, excluding academics in clinical posts and where ethnicity is unknown


Figure 4.4 Representation of the changes to the gender profile of the academic career pipeline between 2019 and 2016 for BAME

The Academic BAME Pipeline (Figure 4.4) follows similar trend to the overall Institutional Academic Pipeline (Figure 4.1), however the gap doesn't seem to be closing as quickly. As seen in below BAME men's career progression follows similar pattern to their White counterparts (similar proportion at Researcher and Professor Level), however BAME females' career progressions seems to stall at SL level. (Table 4.4, Table 4.7). (Table redacted)

Fable-4.7:-Academic BAME Gender analysis of the institutional career pipeline 2016 vs 2019

ACTION 6: Consult with BAME staff network and learn from their survey to pinpoint BAME staff experience of academic career progression and feed these understandings into the new University EDI committee and promotion processes at all levels

ACTION 7: Undertake research on BAME staff recruitment to elicit views from existing staff about the decision to apply and accept positions at Kent and review recruitment and selection processes in the light of this.

ACTION 8: University Promotions Committee to continue collecting data on the gender, ethnicity and age profiles of applicants and success rates and take action to address identified disadvantaged groups.

## Part-time working

Objective 4 was to better understand the impact of part-time working. Since 2016 the proportion of academics working part-time has increased overall but tracks below the $33.6 \%$ benchmark, Table 4.7. Women are consistently over-represented although to a lesser degree than the $40.5 \%$ benchmark of female academics working part-time.

|  | Overall |  | Female |  | Male |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2019 | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% |
| Full-time | 993 | 82.1\% | 405 | 75.8\% | 588 | 87.0\% |
| Part-time | 217 | 17.9\% | 129 | 24.2\% | 88 | 13.0\% |
| Total | 1,210 |  | 534 |  | 676 |  |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full-time | 972 | 82.9\% | 393 | 77.2\% | 579 | 87.2\% |
| Part-time | 201 | 17.1\% | 116 | 22.8\% | 85 | 12.8\% |
| Total | 1,173 |  | 509 |  | 664 |  |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full-time | 946 | 84.6\% | 374 | 79.4\% | 572 | 88.4\% |
| Part-time | 172 | 15.4\% | 97 | 20.6\% | 75 | 11.6\% |
| Total | 1,118 |  | 471 |  | 647 |  |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full-time | 927 | 84.8\% | 365 | 79.3\% | 562 | 88.8\% |
| Part-time | 166 | 15.2\% | 95 | 20.7\% | 71 | 11.2\% |
| Total | 1,093 |  | 460 |  | 633 |  |

Table 4.8: Proportion of academic staff on full-time and part-time contracts

Part-time contracts are used across all academic roles with $59.4 \%$ of them filled by women, Table 4.8.

Two populations of part-time workers show a gender imbalance: female researchers and male professors with the likelihood that part-time work is more advantageous to the latter group at the end of their career and potentially detrimental to the former's career progression.

Women being more likely to work part-time, and at lower levels in the organisation also contributes to the gender pay gap.

| 2019 | Part-time contracts |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | F | $\mathbf{M}$ | \% F | \% M |
| Researcher | 47 | 34 | $` 13$ | $72.3 \%$ | $27.7 \%$ |
| Lecturer | 82 | 58 | 24 | $70.7 \%$ | $29.3 \%$ |
| Senior Lecturer | 29 | 15 | 14 | $51.7 \%$ | $48.3 \%$ |
| Reader | 12 | 6 | 6 | $50.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ |
| Professor | 42 | 13 | 29 | $31.0 \%$ | $69.0 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 . 6 \%}$ |

Table 4.9: Distribution of part-time contracts across academic roles, excluding clinical roles

Across disciplines there are a lower proportion of part time roles in STEMM and these are disproportionately filled by women, Table 4.9.

|  | Overall |  | Female |  | Male |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2019 | No | $\%$ | No | $\%$ | No | $\%$ |
| Full-time | 993 | $82.1 \%$ | 405 | $75.8 \%$ | 588 | $87.0 \%$ |
| Part-time | 217 | $17.9 \%$ | 129 | $24.2 \%$ | 88 | $13.0 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 2 1 0}$ |  | 534 |  | 676 |  |
| AHSSBL: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full-time | 669 | $80.7 \%$ | 309 | $75.2 \%$ | 360 | $86.1 \%$ |
| Part-time | 160 | $19.3 \%$ | 102 | $24.8 \%$ | 58 | $13.9 \%$ |
| Total | 829 |  | 411 |  | 418 |  |
| STEMM: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full-time | 307 | $85.3 \%$ | 86 | $76.1 \%$ | 221 | $89.5 \%$ |
| Part-time | 53 | $14.7 \%$ | 27 | $23.9 \%$ | 26 | $10.5 \%$ |
| Total | 360 |  | $\mathbf{1 1 3}$ |  | 247 |  |

Table 4.10: Proportion of academic staff on full-time and part-time contracts in 2019 by gender and by AHSSBL and STEMM, excludes roles not aligned to STEMM or AHSSBL

This part-time working data made the 2019 qualitative research, a survey and focus group ${ }^{4.3}$, Table 3.1, investigating the impact on career progression all the more important.


Figure 4.11: Promoting the Athena SWAN part-time survey, 2019
A gender analysis of the academic response to the quantifiable questions of the part-time survey is shown in Table 4.10. The response of part time academics for 'feeling left out' was lower than the total staff response but higher for male academics, as was 'feeling less valued'.

| Part-time Survey 2019 | Total Staff |  | Academics |  | Female Academics |  | Male Academics |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agreeing with the question: | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Did/do you ever feel 'left out' when working part-time? | 188 | 62.2\% | 57 | 57.6\% | 37 | 53.6\% | 14 | 60.1\% |
| Has working part-time made you feel less valued compared to your full time colleagues? | 133 | 44.2\% | 43 | 43.0\% | 70 | 37.0\% | 13 | 56.5\% |
| Have you ever had to decline development opportunities because of working part-time? | 144 | 47.8\% | 47 | 47.0\% | 33 | 47.1\% | 10 | 43.5\% |

Table 4.12: Number and \% of responses from part-time academic staff agreeing to the quantitative parttime survey questions, 2019

This points to the need for male academics working part-time to visibly share their experiences.

The qualitative responses revealed that for all staff childcare was the main reason for working part-time with positive aspects being able to spend more time with children and a better work-life balance.

For academics there were reports of still working full time hours but on part-time pay and a fear of this prevented applications for part-time work.

Responses pointed towards an academic culture where long hours are expected and those that work them are celebrated, at the same time creating a flexibility stigma where working part-time due to parenthood is not considered career-focussed.
"a request for part-time working is a clear signal that I am not taking my career seriously""
"... academia is and should be feast or famine and part time work does not lend itself to this"

2019 Part-time survey academic responses


Our understanding of the academic part-time experience is now advanced and together with raising the findings with new Deputy Divisional Directors (Action 3) we will take action to share part-time experiences.

ACTION 9: Publicise senior role models and male parents working part-time to share their experiences through Athena SWAN events and communication channels such as the Equality Matters blog

Our research will be shared more widely via a paper 'Guilt and Stigma of part-time and flexible work in Higher Education'.
(ii) Academic and research staff on fixed-term, open-ended/permanent and zero-hour contracts by gender

Comment on the proportions of men and women on these contracts. Comment on what is being done to ensure continuity of employment and to address any other issues, including redeployment schemes.

The proportion of FTC academics has remained constant over the last 4 years and is currently $22.9 \%$, lower than the $33.5 \%$ benchmark ${ }^{4.1}$. Female academics have been consistently more likely to be on FTC than their male colleagues albeit below the $35.6 \%$ benchmark, Table 4.11.

|  | Overall |  | Female |  | Male |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2019 | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% |
| Open ended | 933 | 77.1\% | 386 | 72.2\% | 547 | 80.9\% |
| Fixed term | 277 | 22.9\% | 148 | 27.8\% | 129 | 19.1\% |
| Total | 1,210 |  | 534 |  | 676 |  |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open ended | 893 | 76.1\% | 365 | 71.7\% | 528 | 79.5\% |
| Fixed term | 280 | 23.9\% | 144 | 28.3\% | 136 | 20.5\% |
| Total | 1,173 |  | 509 |  | 664 |  |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open ended | 858 | 76.7\% | 342 | 72.6\% | 516 | 79.8\% |
| Fixed term | 260 | 23.3\% | 129 | 27.4\% | 131 | 20.2\% |
| Total | 1,118 |  | 471 |  | 647 |  |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open ended | 846 | 77.4\% | 342 | 74.3\% | 504 | 79.6\% |
| Fixed term | 247 | 22.6\% | 118 | 25.7\% | 129 | 20.4\% |
| Total | 1,093 |  | 460 |  | 633 |  |

Table 4.13: Proportion of academic staff on fixed term vs open-ended contracts by gender


Figure 4.6 The gender profile of fixed term academic staff 2016-2020

FTCs are a feature of research project funding and the majority, $60.4 \%$ are found at researcher level, Table 4.12. For teaching posts, FTCs are used to provide specialist knowledge/industry skills for part of a module or to cover teaching due to others being on leave (e.g. maternity cover) or bought out on research grants.

The prevalence of FTCs is at early career levels where the majority of women are employed ${ }^{4.1}$. 92.8\% of FTCs are across researcher and lecturer roles where $57.9 \%$ of female academics are found compared to $43.5 \%$ of male academics.

| 2019 | Distribution of Fixed Term contracts |  | Distribution of all female academics |  | Distribution of all male academics |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% |
| Researcher | 166 | 60.4\% | 114 | 21.6\% | 89 | 13.2\% |
| Lecturer | 89 | 32.4\% | 192 | 36.3\% | 204 | 30.3\% |
| Senior Lecturer | 4 | 1.5\% | 112 | 21.2\% | 157 | 23.3\% |
| Reader | 3 | 1.1\% | 35 | 6.6\% | 74 | 11.0\% |
| Professor | 13 | 4.7\% | 76 | 14.4\% | 149 | 22.1\% |
| Total | 275 |  | 529 |  | 673 |  |

Table 4.14: Distribution of Fixed Term Contracts vs female and male academics across academic roles 2019, excludes clinical roles

Across departments, there is an increased presence of FTCs within STEMM at 26.1\% but is more gender balanced, Table 4.13.

|  | Overall |  | Female |  | Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | No | $\%$ | No | $\%$ | No | $\%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open ended | 933 | $77.1 \%$ | 386 | $72.3 \%$ | 547 | $80.9 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed term | 277 | $22.9 \%$ | 148 | $27.7 \%$ | 129 | $19.1 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 2 1 0}$ |  | 534 |  | 676 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AHSSBL: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open ended | 651 | $78.5 \%$ | 297 | $72.3 \%$ | 354 | $84.7 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed term | 178 | $21.5 \%$ | 114 | $27.7 \%$ | 64 | $15.3 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 829 |  | 411 |  | 418 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STEMM: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open ended | 266 | $73.9 \%$ | 80 | $70.8 \%$ | 186 | $75.3 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed term | 94 | $26.1 \%$ | 33 | $29.2 \%$ | 61 | $24.7 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 6 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 1 3}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 4 7}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.15: Proportion of academic staff on fixed term vs open-ended contracts in 2019 by gender and AHSSBL and STEMM excludes roles not aligned to AHSSBL or STEMM

Increasing the proportion of female STEMM researchers may result in more women on FTCs but hopefully this will be counteracted by the actions to mobilise more of our own researchers to internal permanent lecturer positions (5.1.i).

Anyone who has been employed for 2 years has access to the same redeployment process as those on open-ended contracts: suitable alternative employment opportunities are identified and the staff member is added to a redeployment register whereby they can apply for vacancies before they are advertised externally.

For researchers, Pls are alerted to upcoming contracts ends and they are encouraged to signpost career development support in place.
"Kent is clearly trying to improve career options for fixed term research staff. Thank you!"

CROS 2019 respondent

There is a variety of roles on a timesheet basis including invigilators, temporary work for students and HPLs. As with teaching FTCs, HPLs are used for temporary cover, providing specialist knowledge and also as training opportunities for PDRAs.

There has been particular focus on HPLs. In 2018 a project was established to review all HPL contracts with a view to moving them to substantive contracts, giving more employment security and ensuring that educational activities were more routinely supported.

HPL contracts were either i) moved to substantive academic Teaching contracts ii) enhanced to be GMH contracts or iii) left as-is.

Table 4.14 shows how the HPL population and female profile has changed as a result of this work. The number of HPL roles has decreased from 423 to 23 and the impact on gender has been positive with the HPL population now very close to being gender balanced ( $49.1 \%$ female) ${ }^{4.2}$.

|  | 2016 |  |  | 2019 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Female |  | Total | Female |  |
|  |  | No | $\%$ |  | No | $\%$ |
| GMH HPLs | 89 | 47 | $52.8 \%$ | 313 | 154 | $49.2 \%$ |
| HPL | 423 | 225 | $53.2 \%$ | 23 | 11 | $47.8 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 . 1 \%}$ |

Table 4.16: Comparison of the HPL population and female proportion before and after the HPL project

## IMPACT:

The work to transfer staff from hourly paid lecturers to substantive academic contracts awarded runner-up in the 2020 Organisational Development and Culture Change awards by Universities HR (UHR)
(iii) Academic staff by contract function and gender: research-only, research and teaching, and teaching-only

Comment on the proportions of men and women on these contracts and by job grade.
The majority of academics are on R\&T contracts (59.5\%) and this has been the case since 2016 ${ }^{4.4}$, Table 4.15. In the last year, TO contracts have become the second-highest contract type (19.2\%) with RO at $16.8 \%$. The HPL project has contributed to the increased proportion of TO contracts.

Men are more likely to be on R\&T contracts and 'other' contracts (which tend to reflect senior appointments such as HoS) and less likely to be on RO or TO contracts.

Since 2016 the likelihood of women being on TO contracts has grown (from 16.1\% to $24.2 \%$ ) and they have consistently and increasingly become more likely than men to be on these contracts although at a lower level than the $32.7 \%$ benchmark. This increase in the proportion of women on TO contracts has been accompanied by a recent decrease in those on R\&T contracts to 50.9\%.

A consistent 21-22\% of women have been employed on RO contracts since 2016 compared to $13-14 \%$ of men, reflecting the fact that women are also more likely to be on FTCs, a feature of research roles.

|  | Overall |  | Female |  | Male |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% |
| Research and Teaching | 720 | $59.5 \%$ | 272 | $50.9 \%$ | 448 | $66.3 \%$ |
| Research Only | 203 | $16.8 \%$ | 115 | $21.5 \%$ | 88 | $13.0 \%$ |
| Teaching Only | 232 | $19.2 \%$ | 129 | $24.2 \%$ | 103 | $15.2 \%$ |
| Other contract | 55 | $4.5 \%$ | 18 | $3.4 \%$ | 37 | $5.5 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 2 1 0}$ |  | 534 |  | 676 |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Research and Teaching | 751 | $64.0 \%$ | 297 | $58.3 \%$ | 454 | $68.4 \%$ |
| Research Only | 203 | $17.3 \%$ | 110 | $21.6 \%$ | 93 | $14.0 \%$ |
| Teaching Only | 167 | $14.2 \%$ | 88 | $17.3 \%$ | 79 | $11.9 \%$ |
| Other contract | 52 | $4.4 \%$ | 14 | $2.8 \%$ | 38 | $5.7 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 1 7 3}$ |  | 509 |  | 664 |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Research and Teaching | 708 | $63.3 \%$ | 268 | $56.9 \%$ | 440 | $68.0 \%$ |
| Research Only | 194 | $17.4 \%$ | 108 | $22.9 \%$ | 86 | $13.3 \%$ |
| Teaching Only | 170 | $15.2 \%$ | 85 | $18.0 \%$ | 85 | $13.1 \%$ |
| Other contract | 46 | $4.1 \%$ | 10 | $2.1 \%$ | 36 | $5.6 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 1 1 8}$ |  | 471 |  | 647 |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Research and Teaching | 698 | $63.9 \%$ | 265 | $57.6 \%$ | 433 | $68.4 \%$ |
| Research Only | 188 | $17.2 \%$ | 103 | $22.4 \%$ | 85 | $13.4 \%$ |
| Teaching Only | 149 | $13.6 \%$ | 74 | $16.1 \%$ | 75 | $11.8 \%$ |
| Other contract | 58 | $5.3 \%$ | 18 | $3.9 \%$ | 40 | $6.3 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 0 9 3}$ |  | 460 |  | $\mathbf{6 3 3}$ |  |

Table 4.17: Distribution of academic contract types by gender

The pattern of women being more likely to be on TO contracts was raised in our Bronze application due to the likely implications on career progression: at the time there were no readers on a TO contract and only 1 Professor.

The 2017 Recognising Excellence in Education Project (REEP) set out to review the way achievements in teaching were recognised in the promotion process ${ }^{5.1 .12}$ (5.1iii).

## IMPACT:

Number of reader and professor roles filled by colleagues on Teaching-Only contracts increased from 1 in 2016 to 9 in 2019

Alongside this a change in the references policy was introduced in 2019 such that applications based on excellence in education could include internal as well as external referees to avoid any detriment to those with more local teaching-focussed contributions.

The positive movement for those on TO contracts into more senior roles is shown in Tables 4.16, 4.17. (Data redacted)

| 2016 |  |  | Research and <br> Reaching |  | Teaching Only |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | $\%$ | No. | $\%$ | No. | $\%$ |
| Researcher |  | $100.0 \%$ |  | $0.0 \%$ |  | $0.0 \%$ |
| Lecturer |  | $0.0 \%$ |  | $69.8 \%$ |  | $30.2 \%$ |
| Senior Lecturer |  | $0.0 \%$ |  | $85.0 \%$ |  | $15.0 \%$ |
| Reader |  | $0.0 \%$ |  | $100.0 \%$ |  | $0.0 \%$ |
| Professor |  | $0.0 \%$ |  | $99.4 \%$ |  | $0.6 \%$ |

Table 4.18: Number and distribution of contract types across academic roles, 2016

| 2019 | Research Only |  | Research and Teaching |  | Teaching Only |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Researcher |  | $100.0 \%$ |  | $0.0 \%$ |  | $0.0 \%$ |
| Lecturer |  | $0.0 \%$ |  | $56.2 \%$ |  | $43.8 \%$ |
| Senior Lecturer |  | $0.4 \%$ |  | $81.6 \%$ |  | $18.0 \%$ |
| Reader |  | $0.0 \%$ |  | $95.3 \%$ |  | $4.7 \%$ |
| Professor |  | $0.0 \%$ |  | $97.8 \%$ |  | $2.2 \%$ |

Table 4.19: Number and distribution of contract types across academic roles, 2019


Figure 4.7: Distribution of contract types by academic job roles, 2016


Figure 4.8: Distribution of contract types by job roles, 2019

Across departments, there is a higher proportion of RO contracts in STEMM ( $24.4 \%$ vs $13.8 \%$ in AHSSBL) but this is gender balanced, Table 4.18 .

In AHSSBL, men are more likely to be on R\&T and less likely to be on RO contracts than either their female AHSSBL or STEMM colleagues. This can be attributed to the gender imbalance at AHSSBL researcher level: $71.7 \%$ women vs $28.3 \%$ men, Table 4.4 .

|  | Overall |  | Female |  | Male |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | No | $\%$ | No | $\%$ | No | $\%$ |
| Research and Teaching | 720 | $59.5 \%$ | 272 | $50.9 \%$ | 448 | $66.3 \%$ |
| Research Only | 203 | $16.8 \%$ | 115 | $21.5 \%$ | 88 | $13.0 \%$ |
| Teaching Only | 232 | $19.2 \%$ | 129 | $24.2 \%$ | 103 | $15.2 \%$ |
| Other contract | 55 | $4.5 \%$ | 18 | $3.4 \%$ | 37 | $5.5 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 2 1 0}$ |  | 534 |  | 676 |  |
| AHSSBL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Research and Teaching | 511 | $61.6 \%$ | 215 | $52.3 \%$ | 296 | $70.8 \%$ |
| Research Only | 114 | $13.8 \%$ | 82 | $20.0 \%$ | 32 | $7.7 \%$ |
| Teaching Only | 169 | $20.4 \%$ | 100 | $24.3 \%$ | 69 | $16.5 \%$ |
| Other contract | 35 | $4.2 \%$ | 14 | $3.4 \%$ | 21 | $5.0 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 2 9}$ |  | 411 |  | 418 |  |
| STEMM |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Research and Teaching | 204 | $56.7 \%$ | 54 | $47.8 \%$ | 150 | $60.7 \%$ |
| Research Only | 88 | $24.4 \%$ | 32 | $28.3 \%$ | 56 | $22.7 \%$ |
| Teaching Only | 56 | $15.6 \%$ | 25 | $22.1 \%$ | 31 | $12.6 \%$ |
| Other contract | 12 | $3.3 \%$ | 2 | $1.8 \%$ | 10 | $4.0 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 6 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 1 3}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 4 7}$ |  |

Table 4.20: Distribution of academic contract types in 2019 by gender and AHSSBL and STEMM, excludes roles not aligned to AHSSBL or STEMM
(iv) Academic leavers by grade and gender

Comment on the reasons academic staff leave the institution. Comment on and explain any differences between men and women, and any differences in schools or departments.

220 academics have left since 2016. Leaver numbers have decreased over this time and the latest rate of leavers (number of leavers per academic population as at the start of the year) is $5 \%$, down from $8.5 \%$.

Leaving rate by gender is consistent with the overall rate, Table 4.1.9.

| LEAVERS | Overall |  |  | Female |  |  | Male |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Pop'n | Rate | No. | Pop'n | Rate | No. | Pop'n | Rate |
| $2018 / 19$ | 59 | 1,173 | $5.0 \%$ | 23 | 509 | $4.5 \%$ | 36 | 604 | $6.0 \%$ |
| $2017 / 18$ | 68 | 1,118 | $6.1 \%$ | 26 | 471 | $5.5 \%$ | 42 | 647 | $6.5 \%$ |
| $2016 / 17$ | 93 | 1,093 | $8.5 \%$ | 35 | 460 | $7.6 \%$ | 58 | 633 | $9.2 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 2 0}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{8 4}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{1 3 6}$ |  |  |

Table 4.21: Number and rate of leavers within the academic population

The proportion of female SLs leaving over the last 3 years has been higher compared to the female population at the start of 2016 and for men the proportion of researchers and lecturers leaving is higher, Table 4.20. (Data redacted)

This pattern is consistent for both AHSSBL and STEMM and departments, Tables 4.21, 4.22. (Data redacted)

|  | Leavers 2016-2019 |  |  |  |  | Population 2016 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | No. | F | M | \%F | \%M | \%F | \%M |
| Researcher | 72 |  |  | $40.3 \%$ | $59.7 \%$ | $54.5 \%$ | $45.5 \%$ |
| Lecturer | 71 |  |  | $35.2 \%$ | $64.8 \%$ | $45.7 \%$ | $54.3 \%$ |
| Senior Lecturer | 32 |  |  | $53.1 \%$ | $46.9 \%$ | $41.0 \%$ | $59.0 \%$ |
| Reader | 8 |  |  | $37.5 \%$ | $62.5 \%$ | $37.2 \%$ | $62.8 \%$ |
| Professor | 37 |  |  | $27.0 \%$ | $73.0 \%$ | $27.8 \%$ | $72.2 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 2 0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.22: Proportion of institution leavers 2016-2019 by grade and gender compared to population as of 2016.

| AHSSBL | Leavers 2016-2019 |  |  |  | Population 2016 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | F | M | \%F | \%M | \%F | \%M |
| Researcher | 26 |  |  | $50.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $68.5 \%$ | $31.5 \%$ |
| Lecturer | 48 |  |  | $47.9 \%$ | $52.1 \%$ | $49.4 \%$ | $50.6 \%$ |
| Senior Lecturer | 26 |  |  | $57.7 \%$ | $42.3 \%$ | $47.1 \%$ | $52.9 \%$ |
| Reader | 7 |  |  | $42.9 \%$ | $57.1 \%$ | $41.8 \%$ | $58.2 \%$ |
| Professor | 24 |  |  | $29.2 \%$ | $70.8 \%$ | $33.6 \%$ | $66.4 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 3 1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.23: Proportion of AHSSBL leavers 2016-2019 by grade and gender compared to population as of 2016, excludes leavers not assigned to AHSSBL/STEMM

| STEMM | Leavers 2016-2019 |  |  |  | Population 2016 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | F | M | \%F | \%M | \%F | \%M |
| Researcher | 46 |  |  | $34.8 \%$ | $65.2 \%$ | $41.1 \%$ | $58.9 \%$ |
| Lecturer | 23 |  |  | $8.7 \%$ | $91.3 \%$ | $35.5 \%$ | $64.5 \%$ |
| Senior Lecturer | 6 |  |  | $33.3 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ | $25.3 \%$ | $74.7 \%$ |
| Reader | 1 |  |  | $0.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ |
| Professor | 9 |  |  | $11.1 \%$ | $88.9 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $90.0 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.24: Proportion of STEMM leavers 2016-2019 by grade and gender compared to population as of 2016, excludes leavers not assigned to AHSSBL/STEMM

The majority of leavers do so through one of two processes: FTC ending or resignation, Table 4.25. (Data redacted)

|  | Leavers | Resignation | End of FTC | Voluntary Redundancy | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Researcher | 72 |  |  |  |  |
| Lecturer | 71 |  |  |  |  |
| Senior Lecturer | 32 |  |  |  |  |
| Reader | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Professor | 37 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 220 | 95 | 69 | 27 | 29 |

Table 4.25: Leaving processes for academics 2016-2019
The 'other' category contains 10 retirements, only $4.5 \%$ of the total number of leavers which is not unexpected as there is no mandatory retirement age for academics.

The loss of women SLs is a particular issue highlighted by the AHSSBL career pipeline and of the 19 resignations, 14 were women. We explored the theory that female SLs are likely to resign if they are unsuccessful in promotion but the data did not support this. Instead we will take a qualitative approach to better understand motivations for resignation through encouraging the use of exit questionnaires and interviews, Actions 8-10 and by proactively seeking the views of our female SL population. More generally, we will look to review staff surveys to capture reasons behind any leaving intentions.

ACTION 10: Capture the views of our female senior lecturer population on the high proportion of resignations via targeted focus group discussion

ACTION 11: Review staff survey questions to capture reasons behind any leaving intentions

The high proportion of male researchers leaving either through resignation or FTC ending suggests they have relatively more mobility and that women are more likely to stay in post. This 'sticky floor' concept is supported by analysis of the researcher pool: of the 2016 researchers still in post in 2019, 41 were women compared to 23 men. Actions to address this through better supporting our researchers to develop an academic career at Kent are presented in 5.1i.

The same pattern is seen at lecturer level: of the 38 resignations, 28 were from men. As above, insights from exit questionnaires and interviews will be beneficial in understanding the motivations behind this.

It is not surprising that the FTC ending is the main reason for researchers leaving however it is also a significant reason for professors too, Table 4.23, because there is a small population of professors on FTC. In the case of the 9 leavers, these were all men on parttime FTCs.

Staff interviews exploring culture in the Business School highlighted that the high number of leavers ( 23 since 2016) was a concern, Table 4.24. (Data redacted) 15 were resignations with 6 at SL level, 5 of them women. As part of their Bronze action plan, these insights
have been shared with all Business School staff at an open forum and will inform their on-going AS work.

Biosciences had the highest number of leavers at 28 of which 15 were researchers at the end of their FTC ( 9 male, 6 female).

| School | Leavers | Resignations |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Biosciences |  |  |
| Computing |  |  |
| Engineering and Digital Arts |  |  |
| Maths, Statistics and Actuarial Science |  |  |
| Medical School |  |  |
| Physical Sciences |  |  |
| Sport and Exercise Sciences | $\mathbf{8 5}$ |  |
| Pharmacy |  |  |
| Faculty of Sciences/STEMM Total |  |  |
| Architecture |  |  |
| Arts |  |  |
| English |  |  |
| European Culture and Languages |  |  |
| History |  |  |
| Music and Audio Technology |  |  |
| Faculty of Humanities Total |  |  |
| Anthropology and Conservation |  |  |
| Business |  |  |
| Economics |  |  |
| Journalism |  |  |
| Law | $\mathbf{8 1}$ |  |
| Politics and International Relations | $\mathbf{1 3 1}$ |  |
| Psychology | $\mathbf{5 2 0}$ |  |
| Social Policy, Sociology and Social Research |  |  |
| Faculty of Social Sciences Total |  |  |
| AHSSBL Total |  |  |
| Academics in PS roles |  |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |

Table 4.26 Number of Leavers and Resignations by School, Faculty and STEM/ASSHBL grouping

Resignation is a process by which staff leave but does not give the underlying reasons why people resign. We have established an exit questionnaire offered to all leavers along with the opportunity for an interview with their HR business partner. Launched in 2017, the questionnaire has only had 83 responses out of 801 leavers since then (127 academic).

There is scope to improve the effectiveness of the questionnaire by increasing awareness and take-up and reviewing its structure to be in line with future organisational design.

ACTION 12: Review the design of the exit questionnaire and align with new organisational structure

ACTION 13: Create and launch a communications plan for launch of re-designed questionnaire

ACTION 14: Retain the ability to give the option of the exit interview to be run by someone not linked to their School/Department once new organisational structures are in place
(v) Equal pay audits/reviews

Comment on the findings from the most recent equal pay audit and identify the institution's top three priorities to address any disparities and enable equality in pay.

EPAs were carried out in 2008 and 2015.
Key finding from 2015 was a 6.6\% pay gap in favour of men at the M\&P pay scale including both academic and PS roles.

The gap for professorial roles was $6.9 \%$ in favour of men, driven in part by differences in salaries between newly promoted professors compared to external recruitment of professors with established track records.

With the M\&P PS roles, there was a bigger gap in favour of men at $9.2 \%$. Underlying reasons were inconsistencies in the relation between pay and the size and profile of roles and also the impact of differing market rates.

## IMPACT:

Gender issues in starting salaries and pay progression addressed by a new Reward Strategy

Gender pay gap reduced from 17.9\% mean, 13.7\% median in 2018 to 14.4\% mean and 8.2\% median in 2020.

These findings shaped Objective 5: to understand and address any gendered outcomes of pay and reward procedures. Supporting actions were progressed by the Reward Strategy Group whose remit was to change the pay scales and bring increased
transparency and consistency to reward strategies. Emerging options were analysed for gender impact.

The Reward Strategy has addressed the findings of the 2015 EPA through:

- implementation of new pay scales ${ }^{4.9}$
- previous unbanded M\&P scale with 60 pay points replaced by
- two new professorial pay scale segmenting early career from established professors
- two new senior PS pay scales reflecting differing sizes and profiles of roles
- new pay points within the lower grades reserved to accommodate future NLW increases, benefiting women who make up $63.8 \%$ of the lower hourly rate-of-pay quartile
- all pay scales more clearly related to competitive market rates
- increased transparency of pay and reward procedures ${ }^{4.10}$
- AS team supported the proposal for self-nomination of rewards as this is less prone to bias

The 2018 gender pay gap figures were a $17.9 \%$ mean and $13.7 \%$ median pay gap in favour of men. Our narrative highlighted the cause being the same issue that AS seeks to address: the under-representation of women in senior roles, particularly in academia.

Speed of progression within the professorial grade was analysed ${ }^{4.8}$ finding no significant gender difference with female professors progressing at 0.85 spine points per year and men at 0.88 . However, when looking at professors holding a HoS position the rate increases to 1.1 spine point per year for both genders.

Therefore increasing the proportion of female HoS would help address the gender pay gap. As a result of actions taken in this area (section 5.6 iii$)$ we have seen an increase in the proportion of female HoS from $18.2 \%$ (4/22) to $31.8 \% ~(7 / 22)$ and our 2020 pay gap figures have shown positive movement from 2018 with the mean gap reduced to $14.3 \%$ (from 17.9\%) and the median to $8.2 \%$ (from 13.7\%).

Review of salary data is embedded in the processes of reward committees: a senior salary committee monitors the relative salaries of senior staff and EG members are tasked to investigate any gender gaps and recommend adjustments. A summary of the salary committee is given to the remuneration committee to monitor consistent application of reward processes ${ }^{4.6}$.

For starting salaries, the policy is that new appointees start on the lowest pay point of their role's grade. Any exceptions require written justification.

Starting salaries were analysed for any gendered difference ${ }^{4.7}$ and of 915 recent appointments, $64.7 \%$ were on the lowest pay point however this was gendered with the figure being $40.3 \%$ for women versus $24.2 \%$ for men.

In most cases, justification is based on individuals being on a higher salary externally and therefore importing the difference. In these cases, candidates are required to provide evidence of their pay, rather than relying on verbal negotiation which runs the risk of being gendered.

The new pay scales have a maximum of 4 automatic annual increments in a grade (compared to up to 7 previously) and so salaries will now equalise more quickly.

The top 3 priorities remaining in this area are:

- carry out an EPA in 2021
- continue benchmarking external pay
- use the ethnicity analysis within the EPAs to inform work on REC


### 4.2 PROFESSIONAL SERVICES STAFF DATA

(i) Professional services staff by grade and gender

Look at the career pipeline across the whole institution and between STEMM and AHSSBL subjects. Comment on and explain any difference between women and men, and any differences between STEMM and AHSSBL subjects. Identify any issues at particular grades/levels.

Overall PS is predominantly female (62.8\%) in line with $62.7 \%$ benchmark, Table 4.25, but this is higher in School roles (69.3\%) than in central roles (60.8\%), Tables 4.26, 4.27. A consistent profile over the last 4 years, although this may change with the new 2021 organisational structure which is likely to see several central roles devolved.

| All PS | Total | Female |  | Male |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | No. | $\%$ | No. | $\%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 1,942 | 1,220 | $62.8 \%$ | 722 | $37.2 \%$ |
| 2018 | 2,077 | 1,324 | $63.7 \%$ | 753 | $36.3 \%$ |
| 2017 | 2,003 | 1,270 | $63.4 \%$ | 733 | $36.6 \%$ |
| 2016 | 1,973 | 1,231 | $62.4 \%$ | 742 | $37.6 \%$ |


| Schools | Total | Female |  | Male |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | No. | $\%$ | No. | $\%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 453 | 314 | $69.3 \%$ | 139 | $30.7 \%$ |
| 2018 | 492 | 346 | $70.3 \%$ | 146 | $29.7 \%$ |
| 2017 | 482 | 339 | $70.3 \%$ | 143 | $29.7 \%$ |
| 2016 | 469 | 330 | $70.4 \%$ | 139 | $29.6 \%$ |


| Central | Total | Female |  | Male |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | No. | $\%$ | No. | $\%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 1,489 | 906 | $60.8 \%$ | 583 | $39.2 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 1,585 | 978 | $61.7 \%$ | 607 | $38.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | 1,521 | 931 | $61.2 \%$ | 590 | $38.8 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | 1,504 | 901 | $59.9 \%$ | 603 | $40.1 \%$ |

Table 4.27 Distribution and gender profile of professional services staff over time and between Schools and Central functions

In certain areas, there are high levels of female staff over-representation. Tables 4.26, 4.27. (Data redacted)

| School PS roles | Total | Female |  | Male |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Biosciences |  |  | 66.7\% |  | 33.3\% |
| Computing |  |  | 63.0\% |  | 37.0\% |
| Engineering and Digital Arts |  |  | 53.6\% |  | 46.4\% |
| Maths Statistics and Actuarial Science |  |  | 84.6\% |  | 15.4\% |
| Medical School |  |  | 75.0\% |  | 25.0\% |
| Physical Sciences |  |  | 54.5\% |  | 45.5\% |
| Sport and Exercise Sciences |  |  | 33.3\% |  | 66.7\% |
| Pharmacy |  |  | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |
| Faculty of Sciences/STEMM Total | 159 | 97 | 61.3\% | 62 | 38.8\% |
| Architecture |  |  | 46.7\% |  | 53.3\% |
| Arts |  |  | 52.2\% |  | 47.8\% |
| English |  |  | 87.5\% |  | 12.5\% |
| European Culture and Languages |  |  | 65.2\% |  | 34.8\% |
| History |  |  | 73.7\% |  | 26.3\% |
| Music and Audio Technology |  |  | 70.0\% |  | 30.0\% |
| Faculty of Humanities Total | 106 | 69 | 65.1\% | 37 | 34.9\% |
| Anthropology and Conservation |  |  | 86.7\% |  | 13.3\% |
| Business |  |  | 75.0\% |  | 25.0\% |
| Economics |  |  | 83.3\% |  | 16.7\% |
| Journalism |  |  | 66.7\% |  | 33.3\% |
| Law |  |  | 75.9\% |  | 24.1\% |
| Politics and International Relations |  |  | 76.5\% |  | 23.5\% |
| Psychology |  |  | 69.6\% |  | 30.4\% |
| Social Policy, Sociology and Social Research |  |  | 85.7\% |  | 14.3\% |
| Faculty of Social Sciences Total | 188 | 148 | 78.7\% | 40 | 21.3\% |
| AHSSBL Total | 294 | 217 | 73.8\% | 77 | 26.2\% |
| TOTAL | 453 | 314 | 69.3\% | 139 | 30.7\% |

Table 4.28: Gender profile of professional services roles in Schools

| Central PS roles | Overall | Female |  | Male |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | No. | No. | $\%$ | No. | $\%$ |


| Commercial Services | 344 |  | $58.7 \%$ |  | $41.3 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Academic Division | 268 |  | $80.6 \%$ |  | $19.4 \%$ |
| Estates | 251 |  | $33.1 \%$ |  | $66.9 \%$ |
| Information Services | 185 |  | $44.3 \%$ |  | $55.7 \%$ |
| Student Services | 109 |  | $74.3 \%$ |  | $25.7 \%$ |
| Finance | 87 |  | $65.5 \%$ |  | $34.5 \%$ |
| Human Resources | 72 |  | $90.3 \%$ |  | $9.7 \%$ |
| Research Services | 30 |  | $63.3 \%$ |  | $36.7 \%$ |
| Kent Innovation and Enterprise | 29 |  | $62.1 \%$ |  | $37.9 \%$ |
| Corporate Communications | 27 |  | $70.4 \%$ |  | $29.6 \%$ |
| VC office and Senate secretariat | 17 |  | $76.5 \%$ |  | $23.5 \%$ |
| Safety, Health and Environment Unit | 13 |  | $69.2 \%$ |  | $30.8 \%$ |
| Faculty and School Support Staff | 7 |  | $57.1 \%$ |  | $42.9 \%$ |
| Graduate School | 7 |  | $85.7 \%$ |  | $14.3 \%$ |
| Other | 43 |  | $71.8 \%$ |  | $28.2 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 , 4 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 . 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 . 2 \%}$ |

Table 4.29: Gender profile of professional services roles in central roles
A new recruitment system launched in 2019 (5.1i) carries out blind shortlisting for PS roles by default and embeds the use of positive action statements for all roles. Although too early to cite evidence, the impact of these features on the PS gender balance will be tracked.

ACTION 15: Track the impact of positive action statements on all roles and blind shortlisting on the gender balance of professional services roles

PS roles are graded on a scale of 1 through 10 to M\&P and each grade has its own pay scale. There is a good representation of women in the three most senior grades, Table 4.28. Grades 4 and 5 show the highest proportion of women.

| Grade | Example role | Total | Female |  | Male |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | No. | No. | $\%$ | No. |
|  |  |  | 107 | $61.5 \%$ | 67 | $38.5 \%$ |
| 1 | Domestic assistant |  | 33 | $48.5 \%$ | 35 | $51.5 \%$ |
| 2 | Maintenance assistant |  | 101 | $51.3 \%$ | 96 | $48.7 \%$ |
| 3 | Receptionist |  | 204 | $74.2 \%$ | 71 | $25.8 \%$ |
| 4 | Senior Chef |  | 200 | $71.4 \%$ | 80 | $28.6 \%$ |
| 5 | Laboratory Technician | 302 | 188 | $62.3 \%$ | 114 | $37.7 \%$ |
| 6 | Student support officer | 377 | 237 | $62.9 \%$ | 140 | $37.1 \%$ |
| 7 | Web developer | 166 | 96 | $57.8 \%$ | 70 | $42.2 \%$ |
| 8 | School Administration Manager | 57 | 30 | $52.6 \%$ | 27 | $47.4 \%$ |
| 9 | Head of Team | 25 | 11 | $44.0 \%$ | 14 | $56.0 \%$ |
| 10 | Assistant Director | 21 | 13 | $61.9 \%$ | 8 | $38.1 \%$ |
| M\&P | Director | $\mathbf{1 , 9 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 . 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 . 2 \%}$ |
|  | TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.30: Professional services roles by grade and gender

In central roles the highest proportion are staff are in grade 7 roles and this is the same for women and men, Table 4.29.

The picture is different for School roles where the highest proportion of roles is at grade 5 for both AHSSBL and STEMM. Men are more likely to be in higher graded roles: grade 6 in AHSSBL and grade 7 for STEMM. (Data redacted)

| CENTRAL | Total |  | Female |  | Male |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 173 | $11.6 \%$ | 106 | $11.5 \%$ | 67 | $11.7 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 61 | $4.1 \%$ | 31 | $5.1 \%$ | 30 | $3.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 173 | $11.6 \%$ | 81 | $15.8 \%$ | 92 | $8.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 207 | $13.9 \%$ | 147 | $10.3 \%$ | 60 | $16.2 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 155 | $10.4 \%$ | 103 | $8.9 \%$ | 52 | $11.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 209 | $14.0 \%$ | 131 | $13.4 \%$ | 78 | $14.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 283 | $19.0 \%$ | 179 | $17.8 \%$ | 104 | $19.8 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 132 | $8.9 \%$ | 76 | $9.6 \%$ | 56 | $8.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 50 | $3.4 \%$ | 28 | $3.8 \%$ | 22 | $3.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 25 | $1.7 \%$ | 11 | $2.4 \%$ | 14 | $1.2 \%$ |
| M\&P | 21 | $1.4 \%$ | 13 | $1.4 \%$ | 8 | $1.4 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 4 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

Table 4.31: Distribution of central and School professional services roles by gender
Over-representation of women at grades 4 and 5, together with a gender difference within Schools for roles graded 6 and 7 have been important evidence when justifying changes to the criteria cited in job descriptions for PS roles (5.2ii).

## Professional Services and Ethnicity

In terms of ethnicity, the overall PS population is $92.3 \%$ white and by grade there is higher proportion of BAME staff at the lowest grade and no representation at the two highest grades, Table 4.30, a profile that we intend the commitment to REC will improve. (Table redacted)

Fable-4.32: Distribution of central and School professional services roles by ethnicity, excludes staff where ethnicity is not known.


Figure 4.9 Representation of the changes to the gender profile of the Professional Services career pipeline between 2019 and 2016 for BAME

There is no BAME staff, male or female, in grades 9,10 and M\&P at Kent. BAME female staff is over-represented in grades 1, 5, 7 and 8 ( $59 \%, 78 \%, 71 \%$ and $90 \%$ ) compared to BAME male staff. (Table 4.33) (Table redacted)

Fable-4.33 Distribution of central and School professional services roles by gender and ethnicity 2016 vs 2019

Our student base at $\mathbf{2 8 . 6 \%}$ BAME is more diverse than either our academic (17.5\% BAME) or professional services ( $7.7 \%$ BAME) population. REC and working with the BAME and other staff networks will increase our understanding of this disparity in staff representation. It is important for our students and our staff that we address these issues and this is one of the priorities for REC, Athena SWAN and the broader EDI efforts at Kent.

ACTION 16: : Undertake research on BAME staff recruitment to elicit views from existing staff about the decision to apply and accept professional services positions at Kent and review recruitment and selection processes in the light of this.

ACTION 17: Consult with BAME staff network and learn from their survey to pinpoint BAME staff experience of professional services career progression and feed these understandings into the new University EDI committee and development processes at all levels.

ACTION 18: Investigate using Apprentice Levy to help increase the proportion of BAME staff at all levels (both internal and external candidates)

## Part-time working

The proportion of PS working part-time has remained steady since 2016 at around $31 \%$ (benchmark 31.5\%) as has the gender imbalance with currently 39\% of the female population working part-time compared to $19.3 \%$ of the male population, Table 4.31, both in line with benchmarks of $40.1 \%$ and $20.3 \%$.

|  | Overall |  | Female |  | Male |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | No | $\%$ | No | $\%$ | No | $\%$ |
| Full time | 1,327 | $68.3 \%$ | 744 | $61.0 \%$ | 583 | $80.7 \%$ |
| Part-time | 615 | $31.7 \%$ | 476 | $39.0 \%$ | 139 | $19.3 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 9 4 2}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 , 2 2 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{7 2 2}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full time | 1,428 | $68.8 \%$ | 805 | $60.8 \%$ | 623 | $82.7 \%$ |
| Part-time | 649 | $31.2 \%$ | 519 | $39.2 \%$ | 130 | $17.3 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 , 0 7 7}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 , 3 2 4}$ |  | $\mathbf{7 5 3}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full time | 1,389 | $69.3 \%$ | 782 | $61.6 \%$ | 607 | $82.8 \%$ |
| Part-time | 614 | $30.7 \%$ | 488 | $38.4 \%$ | 126 | $17.2 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 , 0 0 3}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 , 2 7 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{7 3 3}$ |  |


| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Full time | 1,358 | $68.8 \%$ | 747 | $60.7 \%$ | 611 | $82.3 \%$ |
| Part-time | 615 | $31.2 \%$ | 484 | $39.3 \%$ | 131 | $17.7 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 9 7 3}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 , 2 3 1}$ |  | $\mathbf{7 4 2}$ |  |

Table 4.34: Proportion of professional services staff on full-time and part-time contracts
Part-time contracts dominate at the lower grades with relatively few above Grade 8, Table 4.32. At all levels up to Grade 10 women are far more likely to have part-time contracts than men and overall hold $77.4 \%$ of part-time roles. (Table redacted)

Fable-4.35: Distribution of part-time contracts across professional services grades
PS work tends to be more fixed within a structured environment and the part-time survey data, Table 4.33, and comments highlighted that the main impacts were around feeling left out and that these were true for both men and women.

| Part Time Survey 2019 | Total |  | PS |  | Female PS |  | Male PS |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | \% | No. | $\%$ | No. | $\%$ | No. | $\%$ |
| Did/do you ever feel 'left <br> out' when working part- <br> time? | $\mathbf{1 8 8}$ | 62.2 <br> $\%$ | 11 <br> 7 | 63.6 <br> $\%$ | 104 | $63.8 \%$ | 12 | 63.2 <br> $\%$ |
| Has working part-time <br> made you feel less valued <br> compared to your full time <br> colleagues? | $\mathbf{1 3 3}$ | 44.2 <br> $\%$ | 79 | 43.4 <br> $\%$ | 73 | $45.1 \%$ | 6 | 33.3 <br> $\%$ |
| Have you ever had to <br> decline development <br> opportunities because of <br> working part-time? | $\mathbf{1 4 4}$ | 47.8 <br> $\%$ | 87 | 47.5 <br> $\%$ | 83 | $50.9 \%$ | 3 | 16.7 <br> $\%$ |

Table 4.36: Number and \% of responses from part-time professional services staff agreeing to the quantitative part-time survey questions, 2019
"Jokes about leaving "early" (when in fact leaving on time) to collect children from school/nursery. This is generally done light heartedly but it still affects your sense of worth"
"I feel very much that I move in a snail's pace compared to my colleagues. I spend a lot of time "catching up" and I feel like I can just do the most essential parts of my job. I have no time for professional development"

## 2019 Part-time survey professional services responses

"When I worked Mon/Tue and Wed morning I missed general information over the second half of the week. There was no realisation from other members of the team that I may have missed this
"I think you are perceived as not as present, not as much a part of the team"

These insights will be shared with the new Deputy Directors of Divisions (Action 3) together with increasing the visibility of the experience of part-time colleagues in senior roles (Action 5).
(ii) Professional services staff on fixed-term, open-ended/permanent and zero-hour contracts by gender

Comment on the proportions of men and women on these contracts. Comment on what is being done to ensure continuity of employment and to address any other issues, including redeployment schemes.

The overall proportion of PS on FTCs has increased over the last 4 years from $11.7 \%$ to 12.7 \% but is still below benchmark of 14.6\%, Table 4.34.

Every FTC post has to be justified against criteria for employing on a FTC basis e.g. cover for parental/sickness leave, or in response to uncertain operational demand.

Female PS staff have been increasingly more likely to be on FTCs than their male colleagues but at a level lower than $15.1 \%$ benchmark.

|  | Overall |  | Female |  | Male |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | No | $\%$ | No | $\%$ | No | $\%$ |
| Open Ended | 1,695 | $87.3 \%$ | 1,042 | $85.4 \%$ | 653 | $90.4 \%$ |
| Fixed Term | 247 | $12.7 \%$ | 178 | $14.6 \%$ | 69 | $9.6 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 9 4 2}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 , 2 2 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{7 2 2}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open Ended | 1,835 | $88.3 \%$ | 1,148 | $86.7 \%$ | 687 | $91.2 \%$ |
| Fixed Term | 242 | $11.7 \%$ | 176 | $13.3 \%$ | 66 | $8.8 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 , 0 7 7}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 , 3 2 4}$ |  | $\mathbf{7 5 3}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open Ended | 1,782 | $89.0 \%$ | 1,118 | $88.0 \%$ | 664 | $90.6 \%$ |
| Fixed Term | 221 | $11.0 \%$ | 152 | $12.0 \%$ | 69 | $9.4 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 , 0 0 3}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 , 2 7 0}$ |  | 733 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open Ended | 1743 | $88.3 \%$ | 1,082 | $87.9 \%$ | 661 | $89.1 \%$ |
| Fixed Term | 230 | $11.7 \%$ | 149 | $12.1 \%$ | 81 | $10.9 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 9 7 3}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 , 2 3 1}$ |  | $\mathbf{7 4 2}$ |  |

Table 4.37: Proportion of professional services staff on fixed term vs open-ended contracts by gender
Figure 5.0: The gender profile of professional services staff on fixed term contracts


There is an over-representation of women on FTCs particularly at Grades 4-7 where most of the FTC are found, Table 4.35, above the $62.8 \%$ overall female representation, Table 4.25. (Table redacted)

Fable-4.38: Distribution of professional services fixed term contracts by grade and gender
Applications for 173 fixed term roles between Grade 4 and 8 had 66.5\% female applicants possibly indicative of a wider gender dynamic in the labour market whereby women are more likely than men to apply for fixed term roles.

We will be able to assess the impact of blind shortlisting and positive action statements on the gender profile of FTC roles (Action 11).

In order to strengthen the career development support for PS on FTC, we will investigate new, targeted approaches for training and redeployment:

ACTION 19: Investigate options to ensure development opportunities are available to staff on fixed term contracts e.g. guaranteeing a place on the Pathways career development programme (5.4i) for professional services

ACTION 20: Investigate establishing a new category of re-deployment status for professional services staff coming to an end a fixed term contract allowing them to be considered ahead of external candidates where they meet the essential criteria for the role

## (iii) Professional services staff leavers by grade and gender

Comment on the reasons staff leave the institution. Comment on and explain any differences between men
and women, and any differences in schools or departments.

854 PS staff have left since 2016. The leaving rate has decreased for male staff compared to 2016 but increased for female staff. Table 4.36.

| LEAVERS | Overall |  |  | Female |  |  | Male |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Pop'n | Rate | No. | Pop'n | Rate | No. | Pop'n | Rate |
| 2018/19 | 341 | 2,077 | $16.4 \%$ | 238 | 1,324 | $18.0 \%$ | 103 | 753 | $13.7 \%$ |
| 2017/18 | 240 | 2,003 | $12.0 \%$ | 148 | 1,270 | $11.7 \%$ | 92 | 733 | $12.6 \%$ |
| $2016 / 17$ | 273 | 1,973 | $13.8 \%$ | 156 | 1,231 | $12.7 \%$ | 117 | 742 | $15.8 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 5 4}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{5 4 2}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{3 1 2}$ |  |  |

Table 4.39: Number and rate of leavers within the professional services population
The leavers' gender profile is proportional to the population of the grade, with the exception of Grade 10 where the numbers are small, Table 4.40. (Table redacted)

Fable-4.40: Proportion of institution leavers 2016-2019 by grade and gender compared to population as of 2016

The majority of leavers do so through resignation with FTC end accounting for a high number of leavers within Grades 1-7, Table 4.38.

This is a contributory factor to the overall increase in female leaving rate, Table 4.35, given the increasing number of women on FTC over the same period from 149 to 178 (and decrease in men on FTC from 81 to 69) Table 4.33. Of the 100 Grade 1-7 leavers due to FTC ending, 73 were women.

|  | Leavers | Resignation | End of <br> FTC | Voluntary <br> Redundancy | Other |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 1-6 | 641 | 411 | 79 | 60 | 91 |
| Grade 7 | 141 | 80 | 21 | 20 | 20 |
| Grade 8 | 47 | 22 | 4 | 8 | 13 |
| Grade 9 | 12 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| Grade 10 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| M\&P | 6 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 2}$ |

Table 4.41: Leaving processes for professional services, 2016-2019
Of 520 resignations, $62.5 \%$ (325) were women which is proportionate to the $62.4 \%$ female PS population as of 2016.

Actions 8, 9 and 10 aim to better understand the underlying reasons for resignations.
Voluntary redundancy accounts for leavers only in 2018/19 whereby 92 of the 95 were via a voluntary severance scheme run as part of a wider financial management
programme. Of those leaving, $67.4 \%$ (62) were female in line with the $63.7 \%$ female profile of the PS population at the start of 2018, Table 4.25 .

Word count: 4372

## 5. SUPPORTING AND ADVANCING WOMEN'S CAREERS

Recommended word count: Bronze: 5000 words | Silver: 6000 words

### 5.1. Key career transition points: academic staff

Break down data by gender and grade for applications, long- and shortlisted candidates, offer and acceptance rates. Comment on how recruitment processes ensure that women (and men in underrepresented disciplines) are encouraged to apply.
(i) Recruitment

## IMPACT

Since 2016:

- proportion of female applications for academic roles increased from $37.6 \%$ to 46.2\%
- and for STEMM roles increased from 22.7\% to 43.9\%

A new recruitment system was implemented in 2019.5.1.1 facilitating process improvements and improved data analysis:

- new webpages displaying our AS, Stonewall and Disability Confident accreditations ${ }^{5.1 .4}$
- hiring managers automatically prompted to consider positive action statements ${ }^{5 \cdot 1.5}$
- PS roles blind-shortlisted by default ${ }^{5.1 .9}$
- on-line, evidence-based shortlisting
- includes direct hires, previously not visible to the recruitment team
- reporting system designed according to AS requirements ${ }^{3.7}$

Initial data on the use of positive action statements shows a 17.7\% uptake (112 of 632 adverts). They are being used in a recruitment campaign for the Student Services department to encourage BAME applicants given the low representation of BAME staff (11.4\%) compared to students (28.6\%). The impact of positive action statements will be tracked (Action 11).

In parallel, an over-arching recruitment policy was launched to ensure inclusive processes. It is now mandated that at least 1 panellist has completed both unconscious bias and recruitment training within the previous 5 years ${ }^{5.1 .8}$. Compliance is monitored via system alerts and actioned by the recruitment team.

Recruitment training is now provided as an on-line module with content on unconscious bias and EDI considerations, followed-up by a classroom workshop ${ }^{5 \cdot 1.6}$.

There are still applicants not declaring their gender at application but we have seen a decrease from an average of $6.7 \%$ of applicants in the old system to $2.9 \%$.

Recruitment data is shown in Table 5.1. (Data redacted)

| 2019/20 Applied | Shortlisted | Offered |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| (partial) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Role | Total | F | M | \%F | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{L} \\ \text { rate } \end{gathered}$ | F | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{F} \\ \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{L} \\ \text { rate } \end{gathered}$ | M | $\begin{gathered} M \\ \mathrm{M} / \mathrm{L} \\ \text { rate } \end{gathered}$ | Total | Total Offer rate | F |  | M |  |
| Researcher |  |  |  | 49.2\% |  |  |  | 31.7\% | 56 | 33.1\% |  | 50.9\% |  | 46.2\% |  | 55.4\% |
| Lecturer |  |  |  | 40.6\% |  |  |  | 45.2\% | 28 | 26.2\% |  | 37.7\% |  | 45.5\% |  | 28.6\% |
| Senior Lecturer |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | - | 0 | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Reader |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | - | 0 | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Professor |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | - | 0 | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total | 513 | 237 | 276 | 46.2\% | 169 | 32.9\% | 85 | 35.9\% | 84 | 30.4\% | 78 | 46.2\% | 39 | 45.9\% | 39 | 46.4\% |

NEW SYSTEM 01Oct 2019-31Mar 2020

| 2018/19 | Applied |  |  |  | Shortlisted |  |  |  |  |  | Offered |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Role | Total | F | M | \%F | Total | Total S/L rate | F | F $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{L}$ <br> rate | M | M S/L rate | Total | Total Offer rate | F |  | M |  |
| Researcher |  |  |  | 61.1\% |  | 30.3\% |  | 28.9\% |  | 32.5\% |  | 43.2\% |  | 41.6\% |  | 45.5\% |
| Lecturer |  |  |  | 37.5\% |  | 19.9\% |  | 25.7\% |  | 16.4\% |  | 39.1\% |  | 41.5\% |  | 36.8\% |
| Senior Lecturer |  |  |  | 34.0\% |  | 37.7\% |  | 44.4\% |  | 34.3\% |  | 40.0\% |  | 62.5\% |  | 25.0\% |
| Reader |  |  |  | 4.5\% |  | 13.6\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 14.3\% |  | 33.3\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 33.3\% |
| Professor |  |  |  | 18.8\% |  | 50.0\% |  | 66.7\% |  | 46.2\% |  | 50.0\% |  | 100.0\% |  | 33.3\% |
| Total | 1,377 | 607 | 770 | 44.1\% | 332 | 24.1\% | 169 | 27.8\% | 163 | 21.2\% | 136 | 41.0\% | 73 | 43.2\% | 63 | 38.7\% |

NEW SYSTEM 01 Apr2019-30Sep 2019

| 2018/19 | Applied |  |  |  | Shortlisted |  |  |  |  |  | Offered |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Role | Total | F | M | \%F | Total | Total S/L rate | F | $\begin{gathered} \hline F \\ S / L \\ \text { rate } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | M | M S/L rate | Total | Total Offer rate | F | F Offer rate | M | M Offer rate |
| Researcher |  |  |  | 46.3\% |  | 24.4\% |  | 24.3\% |  | 24.4\% |  | 32.1\% |  | 27.8\% |  | 35.7\% |
| Lecturer |  |  |  | 33.7\% |  | 20.5\% |  | 26.0\% |  | 17.8\% |  | 26.2\% |  | 26.9\% |  | 25.7\% |
| Senior Lecturer |  |  |  | 18.2\% |  | 20.5\% |  | 50.0\% |  | 13.9\% |  | 44.4\% |  | 25.0\% |  | 60.0\% |
| Reader |  |  |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Professor |  |  |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total | 661 | 256 | 405 | 38.7\% | 148 | 22.4\% | 66 | 25.8\% | 82 | 20.2\% | 45 | 30.4\% | 18 | 27.3\% | 27 | 32.9\% |


| 2017/18 | Applied |  |  |  | Shortlisted |  |  |  |  |  | Offered |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Role | Total | F | M | \%F | Total | Total S/L rate | F | $\begin{gathered} F \\ S / L \\ \text { rate } \end{gathered}$ | M | M S/L rate | Total | Total Offer rate | F | F Offer <br> rate | M | M Offer rate |
| Researcher |  |  |  | 44.9\% |  | 22.4\% |  | 26.7\% |  | 18.9\% |  | 28.8\% |  | 27.6\% |  | 30.2\% |
| Lecturer |  |  |  | 36.0\% |  | 13.8\% |  | 17.0\% |  | 12.1\% |  | 27.2\% |  | 33.8\% |  | 22.0\% |
| Senior Lecturer |  |  |  | 36.6\% |  | 17.1\% |  | 20.0\% |  | 15.4\% |  | 28.6\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 50.0\% |
| Reader |  |  |  | 50.0\% |  | 50.0\% |  | 66.7\% |  | 33.3\% |  | 66.7\% |  | 100.0\% |  | 0.0\% |
| Professor |  |  |  | 29.6\% |  | 9.3\% |  | 18.8\% |  | 5.3\% |  | 40.0\% |  | 66.7\% |  | 0.0\% |
| Total | 2,280 | 918 | 1,362 | 40.3\% | 412 | 18.1\% | 207 | 22.5\% | 205 | 15.1\% | 118 | 28.6\% | 63 | 30.4\% | 55 | 26.8\% |


| Role | Total | F | M | \%F | Total | Total S/L rate | F | F $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{L}$ rate | M | M $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{L}$ rate | Total | Total Offer rate | F |  | M |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Researcher |  |  |  | 41.0\% |  | 22.4\% |  | 28.9\% |  | 19.1\% |  | 33.3\% |  | 31.0\% |  | 35.8\% |
| Lecturer |  |  |  | 36.2\% |  | 13.8\% |  | 17.5\% |  | 9.9\% |  | 29.1\% |  | 28.4\% |  | 29.8\% |
| Senior Lecturer |  |  |  | 28.0\% |  | 17.1\% |  | 28.6\% |  | 22.2\% |  | 16.7\% |  | 50.0\% |  | 0.0\% |
| Reader |  |  |  | - |  | 50.0\% |  | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professor |  |  |  | 16.0\% |  | 9.3\% |  | 25.0\% |  | 33.3\% |  | 37.5\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 42.9\% |
| Total | 2,389 | 899 | 1,490 | 37.6\% | 412 | 18.1\% | 198 | 22.0\% | 200 | 13.4\% | 138 | 31.2\% | 59 | 29.8\% | 65 | 32.5\% |

Table 5.1: Academic recruitment by role and gender. Data excludes those applications offering a mixture of job roles (e.g. both lecturer and senior lecturer within the same advert). Longlisting data is not shown as it is not part of our recruitment process

The total proportion of female applications has risen from $37.6 \%$ (16/17) to $44.1 \%$ (18/19) and $46.2 \%$ (19/20), exceeding the $40 \%$ Objective target.

This is attributable to the 20 School AS SATs having a greater awareness of their recruitment data and taking action to address issues through a combination of new recruitment channels, wording of job descriptions, including AS on their adverts, reviewing the imagery on their webpages, together with use of positive action statements, albeit at a low level currently.

There was also a $30 \%$ target for female STEMM applications by 2020. The proportion of female applicants has been steadily increasing and the target was met in 2018/19, with the latest figure $43.9 \%$, Table 5.2. Our Silver STEMM School, SMSAS, has contributed to this: they have seen that broadening the essential skills and experience criteria generates an increase in female applications.

| STEMM | Applications |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | F | M | \%F |
| $\mathbf{1 9 / 2 0}$ (partial) | 237 | 104 | 133 | $\mathbf{4 3 . 9 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 8 / 1 9}$ | 997 | 306 | 691 | $\mathbf{3 0 . 7 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 7 / 1 8}$ | 932 | 255 | 677 | $\mathbf{2 7 . 4 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 6 / 1 7}$ | 909 | 206 | 703 | $\mathbf{2 2 . 7 \%}$ |

Table 5.2: Proportion of female applicants for all academic roles in STEMM

Overall, shortlisting rates have always been higher for women and since 2018/19 have been more in-line with the total rate, Table 5.1. The acceptance to offer rate is also high and gender balanced, running at an average of $94.1 \%$ for female candidates and $93.3 \%$ for male candidates.

The critical point in the STEMM pipeline is at researcher level where there is a low and decreasing proportion of female researchers, Table 4.5.

The proportion of female STEMM researcher applicants is showing a positive trend over the last 3.5 years but is consistently lower than AHSSBL, Table 5.3.

| Researcher <br> applications | AHSSBL |  |  |  |  | STEMM |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | \%F | Total | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | \%F |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 9 / 2 0}$ (partial) | 117 | 66 | 51 | $\mathbf{5 6 . 4 \%}$ | 216 | 98 | 118 | $\mathbf{4 5 . 4 \%}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 8 / 1 9}$ | 498 | 313 | 185 | $\mathbf{6 2 . 9 \%}$ | 257 | 101 | 156 | $\mathbf{3 9 . 3 \%}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 7 / 1 8}$ | 538 | 340 | 198 | $\mathbf{6 3 . 2 \%}$ | 579 | 162 | 417 | $\mathbf{2 8 . 0 \%}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 6 / 1 7}$ | 442 | 240 | 202 | $\mathbf{5 4 . 3 \%}$ | 401 | 106 | 295 | $\mathbf{2 6 . 4 \%}$ |  |  |
| Average | 1,595 | 959 | 636 | $\mathbf{6 0 . 1 \%}$ | 1,453 | 467 | 986 | $\mathbf{3 2 . 1 \%}$ |  |  |

Table 5.3: Gender profile of applicants for researcher roles in AHSSBL vs STEMM
The average proportion of $32.1 \%$ female applicants for STEMM researcher roles has resulted in $35.0 \%$ female appointments, Table 5.4.

|  | Total | M | F | \% F |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STEMM | 160 | 104 | 56 | $\mathbf{3 5 . 0 \%}$ |
| AHSSBL | 114 | 36 | 78 | $\mathbf{6 8 . 4 \%}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 . 9 \%}$ |

Table 5.4: Proportion of female appointments for researcher roles 2016-2020

2019/20 is showing a lower offer rate for shortlisted female researchers (46.2\%) compared to men (55.4\%) and this is driven by a high offer rate for shortlisted male STEMM researchers of $63 \%$ compared to $44 \%$ for women. Although not a full year's data this is further evidence for the need to focus on the recruitment on female STEMM researchers, Actions 11 and 14-17.

ACTION 21: Assign targets to increase the proportion of female researchers by $\sim 5 \%$ each year with Directors of new STEMM Divisions

ACTION 22: Provide a recruitment report for Directors and Deputy Directors of the new STEMM Division that includes gender balance of applications and appointment rates for researcher roles

ACTION 23: Encourage academic staff to personally seek internal female candidates for STEMM researcher roles

ACTION 24: Encourage female STEMM PGRs to sign up to internal vacancies alerts for researcher positions on the recruitment system at induction and researcher events

Recruitment is the main way lecturers are appointed. Of the latest 1,230 lecturer applicants only $6.3 \%$ (77) were internal. For STEMM positions the figure was $4.9 \%$ and for AHSSBL, 6.9\%.

We need to increase the proportion of internal recruitment to lecturer, acknowledging the cultural and systemic barriers and that this a sector-wide issue: there is an established belief that in order to progress an academic career you need to be mobile with
institutions looking for unique research interests and funding. Staying in one place may prejudice future opportunities.

Research interviews revealed a lack of communication and encouragement around internal lecturer positions. We aim to ensure our own staff are aware of internal opportunities and that we are not defaulting to recruiting externally (Actions 21-24).

On the positive side, we have been told of recent successes.
"I just wanted to let you know that I and another female internal candidate were both offered lectureships within the School. As you can imagine we are over the moon. Thank you for all the support you give for Athena SWAN"

E-mail to Athena SWAN team

Analysis of recruitment to SL roles is the one most affected by the use of mixed job adverts where it is not possible to track which role applicants are targeting and are therefore excluded from Table 5.1. Since the new system, there have been 12 mixed roles, all for lecturer/SL compared to only 2 specifically for SL. The proportion of female applicants for the mixed roles is in line with the figures seen for SL specific roles at $33 \%$ (111/336) with a higher proportion for STEMM roles $38.3 \%$ (23/60) compared to AHSSBL 31.9\% (88/276).

Reader roles have the lowest number of applications and the proportion of female applications varies from $4.5 \%$ to $50 \%$. This is explained by analysing the actual number of job adverts for each role, Table 5.5, where there have only been 3 for reader since 16/17. Even taking into account mixed job adverts there has only been 1 additional role advertised for a reader/professor in 2016/17.

|  | Researcher | Lecturer | Senior <br> Lecturer | Reader | Professor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 / 2 0}$ (partial) | 55 | 20 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{1 8 / 1 9}$ | 89 | 72 | 6 | 1 | 4 |
| $\mathbf{1 7 / 1 8}$ | 70 | 37 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{1 6 / 1 7}$ | 62 | 53 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |

Table 5.5: Number of advertised academic roles since 2016/17

Adverts specifically for reader are rare because it is often possible to justify the funding to recruit a professor instead. Additionally, the role of reader no longer has the unique
identity it once held of being a scholarly title for researchers. Instead it is now considered a necessary career step towards professor and is unfamiliar to academics outside of the UK.

A number of UK universities have adopted the roles of assistant/associate professor instead of reader, and in our 2018 Use of Academic Job Titles policy we permitted the use of these alternative titles by readers in their activities outside of the university. We will increase the awareness of this policy and review the scope if necessary to include the use of alternative titles when recruiting.

ACTION 29: Raise awareness of the Academic Titles policy within the new Divisions and review scope if necessary to include wording on recruitment materials

The KMMS recruitment campaign employed the services of a creative advertising agency briefed to attract more female applicants for academic roles ${ }^{5.1 .2, ~ 5.1 .3}$.


Figure 5.1: Recruitment materials for Kent and Medway Medical School, 2018

Academic recruitment for KMMS shows a female application rate of $28.7 \%$, just short of the $30 \%$ target however the campaign so far has resulted in a gender balanced recruitment: 9 women and 10 men, Table 5.6. (Data redacted)

| Applied |  |  |  | Shortlisted (S/L) |  |  |  |  |  | Offered |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | F | M | \%F | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Total } \\ & \text { S/L } \\ & \text { rate } \end{aligned}$ | F | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{F} \\ \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{L} \\ \text { rate } \end{gathered}$ | M | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{M} \\ \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{L} \\ \text { rate } \end{gathered}$ | Total | Total Offer rate | F | $\begin{gathered} F \\ \text { Offer } \\ \text { rate } \end{gathered}$ | M |  |
|  |  |  | 28.7\% |  | 32.6\% |  | 48.6\% |  | 26.1\% | 19 | 45.2\% | 9 | 50.0\% | 10 | 41.7\% |

Table 5.6 Recruitment figures for the Kent and Medway Medical School to 31 March 2020 across all academic roles

The first phase of implementing a new organisation structure involved the recruitment to new academic Divisional Director roles using an executive search firm. As part of the Equality Analysis, the Women's network raised the risk of not achieving a gender balance across these roles. The Project Board subsequently applied positive action specifically for this campaign whereby any female applicant meeting the essential criteria was guaranteed an interview. Recruitment resulted in 3 of the 6 roles being appointed to women.
(ii) Induction

Describe the induction and support provided to new all staff at all levels. Comment on the uptake of this and how its effectiveness is reviewed.

A manager check-list covers a range of local and central induction activities from prearrival to completion of the first 6 months. New starters attend a central induction event showcasing the staff benefits and offerings and includes a welcome presentation from an EG member.


Figure 5.2: VC Karen Cox welcoming new starters at the central induction event
In 2018 we introduced a 'Working in an inclusive environment' session, supporting Objective 7 - raising awareness of our commitment to EDI and to better support staff to take responsibility for EDI in their everyday lives. This included an unconscious bias activity ${ }^{5 \cdot 1.10}$ as well as an introduction to AS principles, signposting the Bronze application as recommended reading.
"Thank you for the presentation today at the induction event, I found your session most rewarding as I have been doing a lot of work on inclusivity in my role prior to joining Kent. I will particularly remember the exercise to demonstrate unconscious bias"

Attendee at central staff induction event, 2019
Attendznce at the central induction event is now monitored in the HR system and it is also a requirement for ał staff to complete three EDI training courses: Diversity and Inclusion in HE and unconscious bias (both on-line) and a classroom session on Promoting Inclusion as part of their induction.

Monitoring dashboards are being designed to reflect future organisational structures, whereby managers can track KPIs on compliancy.

ACTION 30: Establish and publish monitoring dashboards for induction to include KPIs for attendance at central induction events and completion of mandatory training for new and existing staff

In CROS only $46 \%$ of researcher respondents found their overall induction useful and so a new action is to establish specific researcher induction events to complement central staff and local inductions.

ACTION 31: Establish researcher-specific induction events
(iii) Promotion

Provide data on staff applying for promotion and comment on applications and success rates by gender, grade and full and part-time status. Comment on any evidence of a gender pay gap in promotions at any grade.

Academic promotions are run annually and are overseen by three levels of committee at School (SPC), Faculty (FPC) and University (UPC) level.

FPC decides on SL/researcher promotion and UPC on reader and professors.
Promotion committee members' training records are checked to ensure they have completed unconscious bias training.

UPC monitors the gender and ethnicity profile of applicants and previous success rates for all roles.

The promotion criteria and guidance are reviewed annually by UPC and in addition to the change in policy for references for those on TO contracts (4.3.iii) other changes include:

- removing the need for 'senior' in job title so that anyone with a leadership/citizenship role can sit on School panels
- highlighting that student evaluations are prone to bias and are not to be considered in isolation when assessing evidence
- allowing for more detailed consideration of mitigating circumstances (5.6ii)

At School level, engagement with AS has seen a focus on the promotion process and through research interviews, Table 3.1, we have captured and shared examples of good practice ${ }^{5.1 .13}$ :

- junior lecturers/ECRs observing School panels
- staff member allocated $10 \%$ of their time to support applicants
- visibility of panellists
- process starting earlier to allow time to identify candidates and review draft applications
- HoS contacting staff offering informal conversations
- unconscious bias observer sitting on School panels
- ensuring promotions are covered as part of RPD
"If the Head of School hadn't emailed I would not have gone for it, but she started the conversation which then made me feel more positively"
"you have to start in the summer, talking to people about what an evidence narrative is"
"we have a promotions panel with a lecturer on it....to give them a sense of what promotion's all about so that they could go back and talk to people
(but not about individual cases)"

Promotion good practice gathered through academic interviews

## IMPACT

Success rate of female applicants for professorial promotion higher than men for every year since 2016

Excluding 2017, women have had a higher total promotion success rate and for professorial applications have had a higher success rate every year, Table 5.7, significant for the overall academic pipeline because promotion has a bigger impact on the profile of the professorial pool compared to recruitment (4.1i). (Data redacted)

|  | Pool |  | Applicants |  |  |  | Successful |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2019 |  |  |  |  | Application Rate |  |  |  | Success <br> Rate |  |
| Role applied for | F | M | F | M | F | M | $F$ | M | F | M |
| Senior Lecturer/Researcher |  |  |  |  | 13.3\% | 17.0\% |  |  | 81.3\% | 52.0\% |
| Reader |  |  |  |  | 9.5\% | 10.8\% |  |  | 41.7\% | 77.8\% |
| Professor |  |  |  |  | 22.2\% | 23.5\% |  |  | 62.5\% | 56.3\% |


| Total | 282 | 381 | 36 | 59 | $12.8 \%$ | $15.5 \%$ | 23 | 36 | $63.9 \%$ | $61.0 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  | Pool |  | Applicants |  |  |  | Successful |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  | Application Rate |  |  |  | Success <br> Rate |  |
| Role applied for | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M |
| Senior <br> Lecturer/Researcher |  |  |  |  | 27.7\% | 22.6\% |  |  | 78.8\% | 75.0\% |
| Reader |  |  |  |  | 15.2\% | 15.3\% |  |  | 58.8\% | 56.0\% |
| Professor |  |  |  |  | 22.9\% | 23.1\% |  |  | 87.5\% | 53.3\% |
| Total | 266 | 387 | 58 | 76 | 21.8\% | 19.6\% | 43 | 49 | 74.1\% | 64.5\% |


|  | Pool |  | Applicants |  |  |  | Successful |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  | Application Rate |  |  |  | Success Rate |  |
| Role applied for | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M |
| Senior Lecturer/Researcher |  |  |  |  | 21.8\% | 14.6\% |  |  | 81.5\% | 91.3\% |
| Reader |  |  |  |  | 8.5\% | 6.5\% |  |  | 88.9\% | 100\% |
| Professor |  |  |  |  | 26.5\% | 16.1\% |  |  | 88.9\% | 80.0\% |
| Total | 264 | 374 | 45 | 43 | 17.0\% | 11.5\% | 38 | 39 | 84.4\% | 90.7\% |


|  | Pool |  | Applicants |  |  |  | Successful |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  | Application Rate |  |  |  | Success Rate |  |
| Role applied for | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M |
| Senior Lecturer/Researcher |  |  |  |  | 14.7\% | 21.0\% |  |  | 88.2\% | 66.7\% |
| Reader |  |  |  |  | 7.2\% | 12.8\% |  |  | 71.4\% | 78.9\% |
| Professor |  |  |  |  | 25.6\% | 12.0\% |  |  | 90.0\% | 66.7\% |
| Total | 252 | 355 | 34 | 58 | 13.5\% | 16.3\% | 29 | 41 | 85.3\% | 70.7\% |

Table 5.7: Academic promotion: application and success rates by role and gender. The pool is the number of staff in the role below the level of promotion being sought at the start of the promotion round

At SL level, women have had higher success rates than men for 3 of the 4 promotion rounds, driven by STEMM with 20 out of 23 applications from women successful

Objective 2 was to achieve 40\% female reader promotions, a target based on the proportion of those promoted to reader which over the last 3 promotion rounds has averaged out at $37.7 \%$ (of 61 readers promoted, 23 are women). More informative is the success rate and there is a relative underperformance compared to male reader applicants in 3 of the last 4 years.

Further consideration suggests that the prevailing research environment is impacting promotions at reader level and to different degrees between STEMM and AHSSB ${ }^{5.1 .5}$ Income from research grants is more likely for a STEMM academic whereas in AHSSHBL it is more feasible to carry out research/publish without funding. Given that research
income has always been a key promotion criteria this can have a detrimental effect for AHSSBL: in 2019 all 7 applications for STEMM readers were successful compared to 52.2\% (12 of 23) AHSSBL applications.

The size of the pool for professorial promotions has decreased by $7.7 \%$ for women ( 39 to 36) but has increased by $36 \%$ for men ( 50 to 68 ). For women this is a positive reflection of the successful promotions out of the pool recently but is of concern as we need to maintain a supply of future female professorial applicants.

Research interviews were used to gain a better understanding of promotion barriers, whether they are gendered and why it might take some members of staff longer to apply ${ }^{5 \cdot 1.14}$. They also gave insights into racial impacts on promotion:

- women and BAME staff receive fewer positive affirmations to apply and are therefore more likely to wait
- recent parents more likely to take longer to apply due to perception that parental leave and any reduction in research output will be seen negatively
- a lot of encouragement happens informally and is at risk of unconscious bias positively impacting the in-groups of senior, white males
- support, visibility and panel membership varies between Schools
- lack of women and BAME role models
- teaching perceived as not being valued as much as research
"There is a case to make the School promotions panels more diverse and inclusive"
"I think there's still a widespread perception within the School that promotion beyond senior lecturer is determined by research
"I think for me it is the unspoken rules of the game that are not clear"

Promotion issues gathered through academic interviews

For BAME staff whilst the overall application rates were proportional at $22 \%$, the average success rates were lower than non-BAME colleagues, $50 \%$ compared to $73 \%$. This data became one the key drivers to committing to REC and to continually improving the promotion process for all.

These findings have also informed the content of an Academic Career Map (ACM), a project overseen by a governance board that included the ISAT chair. Building on from

REEP (4.1iii), the ACM is a framework that sets out expectations at each academic career stage with specific consideration of those on TO contracts ${ }^{5.1 .12}$, where we see an overrepresentation of women.

The ACM sets out key criteria on themes of Citizenship and Leadership, Research and Innovation and Education, Scholarship and Professional Practice. Contributing to/leading on AS work can be cited as examples of Citizenship and Leadership.


Figure 5.3: Launch of the Academic Career Map, 2019, supported with specific training for promotion panel members and videos of promotions briefing sessions were made available online ${ }^{5.1 .16}$.

From 2020, the ACM will be used to guide the judgement of promotion committees after which we will be in a position to see the impacts on progression from both a gender and race perspective ${ }^{4.5}$ and identify any areas for further development.

ACTION 32: Analyse the impact of the Academic Career Map on career progression of all contract types from both a gender and race perspective

ACTION 33: Include continual review and development of any proposed changes to the Academic Career Map as part of the annual promotions process review

ACTION 34: - Increase BAME promotion success rates by providing individualised support to our BAME staff with promotion applications to close any gap in achievement
female applicants, Table 5.8. (Table redacted)

Fable 5.8: Analysis of part-time promotion applications and success rates

This is encouraging to those on part-time contracts, currently $17.9 \%$ of academics.

ACTION 35: Brief Divisional Directors to specifically encourage academics on parttime contracts to consider applying for promotion
(iv) Staff submitted to the Research Excellence Framework (REF) by gender

Provide data on staff, by gender, submitted to REF versus those that were eligible. Compare this to the data
for the Research Assessment Exercise 2008. Comment on any gender imbalances identified.

## IMPACT

Proportion of female academics submitting to REF increased from 36.1\% in 2014 to $39.4 \%$ in 2021

We have seen an increasing number and proportion of female academics submitting since the 2008 RAE, Table 5.9. For REF 2021 we will submit $100 \%$ of eligible staff.

|  | RAE 2008 |  |  | REF 2014 |  |  | REF 2021 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | F | M | Total | F | M | Total | F | M |
| Eligible | 612 | 200 | 412 | 745 | 277 | 468 | 743 | 293 | 450 |
| Submitted | 406 | 122 | 284 | 624 | 225 | 399 | 743 | 293 | 450 |
| \% Submitted |  | $30.0 \%$ | $70.0 \%$ |  | $36.1 \%$ | $63.9 \%$ |  | $39.4 \%$ | $60.6 \%$ |

Table 5.9: Gender profile of eligible and submitted staff for the 2008 RAE, 2014 and 2021 REF

This positive trend has been supported by the completion of Bronze actions:

- all staff involved in the selection process received REF-specific EDI training ${ }^{5 \cdot 1.19}$
- collecting the EDI profiles for members of decision making panels ${ }^{5.1 .18}$
- EDI profiles of eligible staff across each of the Units of Assessment reviewed ${ }^{5.1 .17}$
- female professor served on external REF sub-panel ${ }^{5.1 .20}$


### 5.2 KEY CAREER TRANSITION POINTS: PROFESSIONAL SERVICES STAFF

(i) Induction

Describe the induction and support provided to new all staff at all levels. Comment on the uptake of this and how its effectiveness is reviewed.

Given the year-round recruitment of PS staff, induction events are held every 2-3 months and attendance is a condition of probation. Compliance will also be monitored as part of the new organisational compliance dashboards (Action 23).

In a survey of recent induction attendees $88.2 \%(15 / 17)$ answered positively to 'overall I feel satisfied with my induction' however the comments pointed towards there being too much information on the day. This feedback is shaping a new induction programme that will include a dedicated induction webpage, an on-line introduction as well as the group event.

ACTION 36: Develop and launch a new induction programme including new on-line content

## (ii) Promotion

Provide data on staff applying for promotion and comment on applications and success rates by gender, grade and full- and part-time status. Comment on any evidence of a gender pay gap in promotions at any grade.

Promotion requires PS staff to be successful in securing a new, higher grade role.
We see a higher proportion of internal applicants for externally-advertised PS roles: compared to the $6.3 \%$ of internal applicants for lecturer posts (5.1i), there were $15.7 \%$ (99/630) for equivalently-graded PS roles in the same time period. Also, 35\% of the PS roles were advertised internally (266/745).

IMPACT
Athena SWAN engagement event with professional services generated feedback on barriers to progression resulting in the removal of 'degree essential' as a default criteria in job descriptions.

The 'Progressing Together' event for PS staff, Table 3.1, discussed promotion and it emerged that not having a degree was seen as a barrier since it was usual for roles from Grade 6 to cite a degree as essential criteria.

At the time, $54 \%$ PS at Grade 5 did not hold a degree, $72 \%$ of which were women and this feedback was used to influence the content of a new 2019 recruitment policy. As a result
we now see PS roles at Grade 6 and 7 being advertised without a degree as essential criteria and therefore open to a wider applicant pool. We will track and report on the impact on the gender profile of internal applicants (Action 19).


Figure 5.4: 'Progressing Together' Athena SWAN event for professional services, 2018 attended by VC, Karen Cox.

Given that internal movement around the university is a feature of PS progression, a career development programme 'Pathways' was launched in 2019 ( 5.4 i ) which focuses on identifying transferable skills and supporting colleagues to apply for roles that they may not have previously considered.

### 5.3 Career development: academic staff

(i) Training

Describe the training available to staff at all levels. Provide details of uptake by gender and how existing staff are kept up to date with training. How is its effectiveness monitored and developed in response to
levels of uptake and evaluation?

Career development programmes are provided by L\&OD, Table 5.10. (Data redacted)
EDI and Unconscious Bias training are considered in section 5.6i.

| Course | Description | Target academic audience | Attendees |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | F |


|  | Women's Leadership <br> Development from <br> Advance HE <br> since 16/17 | Women in posts up to <br> senior lecturer level |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Insights <br> since 2018 | How to manage and <br> plan your career and <br> overcome barriers | Women already in <br> leadership roles wishing <br> to progress further | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |
| Horizons <br> since 2019 | Understanding the role <br> of the manager and key <br> skills | Staff who would like a <br> develop into a managerial <br> role | 6 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Foundations of <br> Management <br> since 2018 | Providing practical tools <br> for good management | Managers/supervisors <br> new to management | 1 | 0 |
| New Senior Leaders <br> since 2019 | Understanding and <br> adapting leadership <br> techniques | Heads/Deputy Heads of <br> Schools, Faculty Directors | 0 | 2 |
| Leaders of Areas of <br> Significant <br> Responsibility <br> since 2018 | Organisational <br> leadership and making <br> shange happen | Staff in senior leadership <br> roles | 2 | 2 |

Table 5.10: Provision and uptake of career development training for academic staff from Learning and Organisational Development


Figure 5.5: All staff are kept up to date with training offerings via a brochure available both online and in printed form


Figure 5.6: Deputy Vice-Chancellor April McMahon opening the first session of the Leaders of Areas of Significant Responsibility programme

We have engaged with Aurora since $2014^{5.3 .1}$ and in 2018 launched an internal 'Insights' women-only programme. A colleague inspired by their Insights training obtained funding for an international EDI conference.


Figure 5.7: Impact of 'Insights', women's leadership programme, 2019.

5 Aurora and 4 Insights attendees have subsequently increased their grade ${ }^{5 \cdot 3 \cdot 7,5 \cdot 6 \cdot 7}$.

The staff survey asked 'I am encouraged to access relevant development opportunities to help me progress my career': 70.7\% of female and $68 \%$ of male academic respondents agreed.

The CROS showed a lower level of awareness (53\%) and uptake (19\%) of development activities from respondents. We will promote the programmes suitable for ECRs along with looking at guaranteeing places on these courses for those on fixed term contracts (Action 12) and raising awareness of the Vitae Researcher Development Framework.

ACTION 37: Promote the Horizons and Foundations of Management career development programmes with early career researchers

ACTION 38: Include information on Vitae resources, including the Researcher Development Framework as part of Reflect, Plan Develop (RPD) discussions

A 'Top Team' coaching programme for EG has been commissioned by the VC to facilitate the implementation of the new organisation, together with investments in leadership training for new senior academic Division role holders ${ }^{5 \cdot 1.7}$.
(ii) Appraisal/development review

Describe current appraisal/development review for academic staff at all levels across the whole institution
Provide details of any appraisal/development review training offered and the uptake of this, as well as staff
feedback about the process.

RPDs are held annually since 2019 are mandatory for all staff ${ }^{5.3 .8}$. They include a reflection on successes and any challenges such as maintaining a good work-life balance.

## IMPACT

Development reviews are now embedded in the academic promotion process: the application process checks that an RPD discussion has taken place

There is now a declaration on the 2020 academic promotion forms to say whether one has been held.

Compliance will be monitored through reporting dashboards at Divisional level ${ }^{5 \cdot 3.10}$ and it has been proposed that this data becomes an organisational KPI reported to Council.

ACTION 39: Design management dashboards on Reflect, Plan, Develop (RPD) compliance to reflect the new organisational structures and report figures to Council

51\% of respondents in CROS 2019 had had a recent appraisal, before RPDs became mandatory, up from $45 \%$ in $2017^{5.3 .9}$ with $61 \%$ finding it useful. It remains a target to improve this further and develop management resources signposting the support available ${ }^{5.3 .11}$.

ACTION 40: Develop career development advice and signposting resources for research managers for Reflect, Plan, Develop (RPD) discussion

Staff feedback on the RPD process will be captured as part of an overarching employee survey strategy (5.6i).

Work is underway on RPD Phase 2: for discussions to provide information on succession planning and training needs in support of a wider Talent Strategy (5.3.iii).

ACTION 41: Implement Phase 2 of Reflect, Plan, and Develop (RPD) to include information for wider talent management

Comment and reflect on support given to academic staff including postdoctoral researchers to assist in
their career progression.
In 2018 the Graduate School commissioned an external consultant to review ECR support, concluding that organisationally, ECRs were at risk of being isolated and somewhat hidden. As a result, an ECR network was launched in December 2018 and in the future organisation a new Graduate and Researcher College (GRC) will lead on recommended actions to provide targeted career development support information through communication, initiatives and events specifically for ECRs (Actions 34-37). Awareness of the promotion process is a key area given that $52 \%$ of CROS respondents were not aware of information on promotion and 53\% not clear of opportunities for progression.


Figure 5.8: VC Karen Cox at the launch of the Early Career Researcher network, December 2018

ACTION 42: Develop a code of practice for the management and career development of early career researchers ${ }^{5.3 .2}$

ACTION 43: Deliver a programme of talks and workshops to support researcher career development

ACTION 44: Develop an on-line career development resource library for early career researchers

ACTION 45: Communicate researcher career pathways and promotion procedures

2020 saw the university sign up to the Principles of the Researcher Development Concordat and retain our HR Excellence in Research award.

Providing mentoring opportunities for academics continues to be a priority to improve on the positive response to the staff survey question ' $I$ have access to informal, constructively critical and supportive coaching/mentoring' and reduce the gap between female and male positive responses: 63.8\% of female academics, $68 \%$ male.

Mentoring is being reviewed by a working group ${ }^{5.3 .12}$ and the scope includes providing ECRS with mentors who are not their academic leads/PIs. A new mentoring framework will support the requirements of probation and progression via the $A C M^{5.13,5.14}$.

ACTION 46: Deliver Phase 1 of the mentoring framework, providing a range of mentoring opportunities with the scope including early career researchers

ACTION 47: Provide a targeted, individual mentoring approach in Phase 2 of the mentoring framework including making mentors available for early career researchers who are not their academic leads or PIs

ACTION 48: Provide targets for Division Directors for ensuring a minimum number of active mentors with a diverse range of specialisms and experience

## IMPACT

Creation of a Summer Vacation Research Competition helping postdoctoral research assistants establish independent research projects

A Summer Vacation Research Competition was created in 2019 giving PDRAs the opportunity to apply for research funding up to $£ 2,100$ to employ an UG on an independent research project. Of the 10 PDRA winners in 2019, 6 were women. 85 of the 100 UG applications were WP students and 8 of the 10 winners were women. Ongoing funding has now been secured and the aim is to broaden the competition to include PS. An academic paper on the competition is being prepared.


### 5.4 CAREER DEVELOPMENT: PROFESSIONAL SERVICES STAFF

(i) Training

Describe the training available for staff at all levels. Provide details of uptake and how existing staff are
kept up to date with training. How is its effectiveness monitored and developed in response to levels of
uptake and evaluation

> "Thanks to you and the Pathways course I have accepted an offer of employment at the University for a Higher Grade Position.
> The course enabled me to look at things differently"
> E-mail from Pathways attendee to L\&OD, 2020

In response to the staff survey question 'I am encouraged to access relevant development opportunities to help me progress my career' $69.1 \%$ of female and $63.3 \%$ of male PS agreed. We look to improve on this through 'Pathways' a PS-specific career development programme designed in the light of the promotion process for PS staff which involves moving between roles within the organisation (5.2.ii) to focus on transferable skills and identifying new opportunities. As with Aurora, Pathways provides a mentor for participants and these are taken from the cohort of New Senior Leaders course attendees. Already we have seen 3 PS colleagues secure new roles, crediting Pathways for their success.

## IMPACT

'Pathways' a career development programme specifically for professional services was launched in 2019 and already 3 colleagues have been successful in securing new roles in the organisation as a result

Table 5.11 provides an overview of the PS career development training offering and

| Course | Description | Target professional services audience | Attendees |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | F | M |
| Aurora since 16/17 | Women's Leadership Development from Advance HE | Women in posts Grade 7 and above |  |  |
| Insights since 2018 | How to manage and plan your career and overcome barriers | Women in leadership roles wishing to progress |  |  |
| Horizons since 2019 | Understanding the role of the manager and key skills | Staff who would like a develop into a managerial role |  |  |
| Foundations of Management since 2018 | Providing practical tools for good management | Managers/supervisors new to management |  |  |
| New Senior Leaders since 2019 | Understanding and adapting leadership techniques | Directors of professional services directorates |  |  |
| Leaders of Areas of Significant Responsibility since 2018 | Organisational leadership and making change happen | Staff in senior leadership roles |  |  |
| Pathways since 2019 | Career development programme | Staff in professional services roles |  |  |

uptake. (Data redacted)

A Talent Strategy will be developed that will deliver tailored learning and development support for specific groups of both academic and PS colleagues. Phase 2 of the RPD process (Action 33) will also identify the training needs of specific groups of staff.

ACTION 50: Develop a Talent Strategy that secures the support of the Executive Group and that includes the development, attraction and retention of different groups of staff with different needs

[^0]Since 2019, RPD discussions are mandatory for PS staff. RPD guidance and training is provided on-line and through classroom training.

RPD compliance will be monitored once new organisational structures are in place (Action 31). We look to capture staff feedback on the current and future (Phase 2) processes and this will be covered as part of the design of a wider employee engagement survey approach (Action 53).
(iii) Career progression

Comment and reflect on support given to professional services staff to assist in their career progression.
In the staff survey $62 \%$ of PS women agreed that 'I have access to informal, constructively critical and supportive coaching/mentoring' compared to 66\% of PS men.

The Mentoring Working Group will advance the provision for PS (Actions 38, 39) and Aurora and Pathways will continue to provide mentoring for participants.


A staff Fee Remission Scheme covers half the home tuition fees for part-time study and we are invested in the Apprenticeship Scheme.

We will review both these schemes to better understand the gender balance of take-up and the impact on career development. Early signs are good with a 2020 Technician apprenticeship attracting 63 applicants from external HE/FEs of which 32 were female.

ACTION 51: Undertake a review and impact by gender of the Fee Remission Scheme

ACTION 52: Undertake a review and impact by gender of the Apprenticeship Scheme

In 2019 we signed up to the Technician Commitment which includes taking action to improve career development, supported in the new structure by a Head of Technical Services.

ACTION 53: Review the career pathways and grading structure for technicians
ACTION 54: Establish and promote the training opportunities and funding available to technicians centrally and across Schools

ACTION 55: Review and promote the professional qualifications available to technicians

### 5.5 FLEXIBLE WORKING AND MANAGING CAREER BREAKS

Note: Present professional services and academic staff data separately
Several AS events, Table 3.1, covered the topics of being a parent at Kent ${ }^{5.5 .3}$ and return-to-work experiences ${ }^{5.5 .8}$.

## IMPACT

Consultations with parents led to sector-leading changes to our family friendly offering including additional paid leave for parents of premature babies


Figure 5.9: International Women's Day audience and panel discuss parenting, chaired by the Athena SWAN lead and attended by VC Karen Cox.
Together with a review of Silver AS institutions, these consultations shaped a proposal to improve our family friendly offerings, approved by EG in 2020:

- additional paid leave for parents of premature babies, up to 6 weeks
- anyone leaving within 3 months of their maternity leave ending, the university will no longer reclaim all/part of any contractual maternity pay
- paid leave to attend fertility treatment as a day 1 benefit rather than requiring 12 months service
"I just wanted to say how happy I am with the recent changes made. As a parent to a premature baby myself at the time I wasn't able to get extra leave for the 12 weeks we spent in hospital, but now that other parents in this awful situation can receive this I am over the moon"

E-mail to the AS team, 2020

This is a pragmatic selection of all the ideas and further proposals addressing those outstanding will be prepared e.g. extending partner leave from 2 weeks, reducing the qualifying period for partner leave from 26 weeks and contractual maternity pay from 12 months.

ACTION 56: Prepare a business case proposal for the Executive Group to further enhance the family friendly offering

A gap between the parental entitlements for PGR students on Kent scholarships and offerings for full-time staff was identified and has now been aligned.

The Staff Guide has been updated to better signpost the parental support available ${ }^{5.5 \cdot 1,5.6 .4}$.


Figure 5.10: New signposting of parental offerings and support within the on-line Staff Guide

A 'Parents Pack' has been developed to pull together all the key information for staff and managers, including checklists and sharing of best practice around managing workload on return to work and will be launched in 2020 5.5.2,5.5.7.


Figure 5.11: The Athena SWAN Parents Pack
(i) Cover and support for maternity and adoption leave: during leave

Explain what support the institution offers to staff before they go on maternity and adoption leave.
Policies explain the maternity/adoption leave and pay options and the process for informing line managers and HR. The health services available on campus are also signposted: a medical centre and 24 hour nursing services on the Canterbury campus.

Line managers must submit a risk assessment to Occupational Health who then advise on any adjustments.

In 2019 all requests for recruitment had to be approved by a Strategic Review Board. The AS team had an exemption granted whereby approval was not needed when recruiting for maternity cover.
(ii) Cover and support for maternity and adoption leave: during leave

Explain what support the institution offers to staff during maternity and adoption leave.
KIT days are available to staff on maternity/adoption leave, up to 10 days and shared parental leave, up to 20 days. A survey of those who had taken parental leave was run in 2017 and 20195.5.3, Table 3.1, to capture their experience of KIT days.

The majority of respondents who had taken KIT days found them useful (75.8\% in 2017, $75.9 \%$ in 2020) those who did not cited that if the days had been better organised and
focussed on transitioning back to work (rather than being given tasks to do) they would have been more beneficial.
"When away for maternity leave I did feel that I had lost part of my professional identity and a lot of confidence. Coming back into my workplace, even though it was only for a couple of days made it feel less daunting"

KIT Day Survey respondent
$64.1 \%$ in 2020 agreed that the pay implications of KIT days were communicated effectively, down from 66.7\% in 2017.

Insights from the survey have been shared with SAT leads and informed the Parents Pack.
(iii) Cover and support for maternity and adoption leave: returning to work

Explain what support the institution offers to staff on return from maternity or adoption leave. Comment on any funding provided to support returning staff.

Support given to returners, particularly academics is variable as it is led by local budgets and practices. Different approaches are presented within the Parents Pack ${ }^{5.5 .7}$ including:

- reducing administrative duties
- having an agreed period of time before expecting teaching to resume
- ensuring teaching modules are the same as before leave
- adjusting teaching and research workload targets
- giving additional research funding allowance for returners (e.g. to employ research assistants)

Managers are encouraged to meet their maternity returners at the end of the first day, week and month to discuss how the return is going but feedback from the ASWG suggests that this is variable.

There is an opportunity to make return to work approaches more consistent across the new structures (Action 3) and to investigate the feasibility of Divisional/Directorate funding to support all staff returning from parental leave.

ACTION 57: Prepare a business case proposal for the Executive Group to create a Divisional/Directorate parental leave returners fund drawing on examples from other HE institutions

We have established parenting rooms at Canterbury and Medway ${ }^{5.5 .4}$.


New pregnancy and maternity support facilities at Canterbury and Medway

## 27th June 2019

Figure 5.12: News items on Athena SWAN webpage communicating opening of parenting rooms

An issue outside of the action plan concerned the travel policy prohibiting claims for expenses of partners attending conferences to assist in childcare. In 2020 ISAT led a change in policy to allow such claims to be approved at the discretion of the Head of School/Department.

Research revealed a reluctance, particularly amongst men to discuss parenting. So as part of IWD 2019, we launched a 'Paint your Parent at Work' competition.


Figure 5.13: Entrant to the 'Paint your Parent at Work' competition

Those attending an event to celebrate ERC funding success were encouraged to bring their children.


Figure 5.14: Family celebrations of ERC projects, 2019

ACTION 58: Put on a university-wide Parents' Day celebration event

Pharmacy arranged a Medway event to celebrate Parents' Day on $1^{\text {st }}$ June which was well received and we will add this day to the calendar of events.
(iv) Maternity return rate

Provide data and comment on the maternity return rate in the institution. Data and commentary on staff whose contracts are not renewed while on maternity leave should be included in this section.

Provide data and comment on the proportion of staff remaining in post 6, 12 and 18 months after return from maternity leave.

Our maternity return rate is very high, Table 5.12. The majority of those taking maternity leave, 66.2\% (96/145), are PS staff. (Data redacted)

|  | Total | PS | Academics |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Taken maternity leave |  |  |  |
| Returners |  |  |  |
| Non-returners |  |  |  |
| Return Rate | $\mathbf{9 6 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 . 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 . 0 \%}$ |

Table 5.12: Maternity return rates for those taking maternity leave from 01 July 2016.
Table 5.13 shows how many of the 145 maternity leave takers are/were still in post at various timepoints after returning to work and the leaving process. (Data redacted)

Of the 140 returners, a further 27 left within 18 months of their maternity leave ending, 11 of those within 6 months.

PS staff made up the majority of non-returners/leavers, $75 \%$ (24/32) with the main process being resignation $62.5 \%(15 / 24)$ compared to end of FTC, also $62.5 \%(5 / 8)$ for the academic non-returners/leavers.

|  |  | Left within |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | N/Rs | $\mathbf{6}$ <br> months | $\mathbf{1 2}$ <br> months | $\mathbf{1 8}$ <br> months |
| Professional Services |  |  |  |  |  |
| End of fixed term contract |  |  |  |  |  |
| Voluntary redundancy |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resignation |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compulsory redundancy |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. of leavers |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. remaining in post |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% remaining in post |  | $95.8 \%$ | $86.5 \%$ | $79.2 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ |
| Academics |  |  |  |  |  |
| End of fixed term contract |  |  |  |  |  |
| Voluntary redundancy |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resignation |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compulsory redundancy |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. of leavers |  | $98.0 \%$ | $93.9 \%$ | $89.8 \%$ | $83.7 \%$ |
| Remaining in post | $\mathbf{3 2}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| \% remaining in post |  | $\mathbf{1 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 3}$ |
| Total no. of leavers |  | $\mathbf{9 6 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 9 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 2 . 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 . 9 \%}$ |
| Total no. remaining in post |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total \% remaining in post |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.13: Analysis of staff leaving the university following maternity leave. $N / R=$ non-returner from maternity leave.
(v) Paternity, shared parental, adoption, and parental leave uptake

Provide data and comment on the uptake of these types of leave by gender and grade for the whole institution. Provide details on the institution's paternity package and arrangements.

## Partner Leave

We use the term 'partner leave' instead of 'paternity leave'. In the last 3 years partner leave has been taken 106 times, Table $5.14,105$ by men and 1 by a woman. (Table redacted)

Partners are entitled to 2 weeks paid leave if they have 26 weeks of service - a candidate for future enhancement (Action 48) and in the case of premature birth are now also entitled to up to 6 weeks of paid leave.

## Parental Leave

18 weeks unpaid parental leave is available to all parents once they have 1 year of continuous service. 13 women and 6 men have taken this leave over 29 occasions in the last 3 years. These have been mostly PS staff (15) and senior academics (4)

## Adoption Leave

Adoption leave and pay is the same as for maternity and partner leave and pay as are all the other family friendly offerings. There has been 1 adoption leave taken in the last 3 years.

## SPL

SPL has been taken by 18 colleagues in the last 3 years: 5 of these in conjunction with maternity leave and 13 as partners ( 12 male, 1 female). The numbers are too small to analyse by grade but this leave has been taken by PS staff and academics in roles from researcher to reader.

In order to help increase SPL uptake, the policy has been enhanced whereby shared parental pay entitlements for both parents are now based on contractual rather than statutory pay.


Figure 5.15: Communicating the enhancement to shared parental leave
(vi) Flexible working

Provide information on the flexible working arrangements available
A flexible working policy has been in place since 2013 and the latest revision supports academics with caring responsibilities to request a change to their teaching hours.

Previously these changes have been managed via an annual 'teaching constraints' process. Using flexible working means that requests do not have to be reviewed every year, and is consistent for academics and PS.

In the 9 months following the introduction of the updated policy ${ }^{5.5 .10}$ there have been 40 requests granted for academics compared to 10 in the 10 months prior to the policy change. This total of 50 academic requests exceeds the 41 captured for PS over the same period.

The staff survey asked 'the university takes adequate and suitable steps to ensure my health and wellbeing' with 64\% female and 66\% male respondents agreeing. To improve on this and address the changes to ways of working seen in 2020, a 'COPE' framework has been developed to assist staff and their line managers to discuss and take action to improve wellbeing.


Guidance and resources to support staff wellbeing and reduce unnecessary pressure from workload

Figure 5.16: The staff COPE framework launched in July 2020

A survey is being developed to capture feedback and ideas on the specific topic of future ways of working.

ACTION 59: Run a 'Future Ways of Working' staff survey
(vii) Transition from part-time back to full-time work after career breaks

Outline what policy and practice exists to support and enable staff who work part-time to transition back to full-time roles when childcare/dependent or caring responsibilities reduce.

Staff may request to work part time on a temporary basis before returning to full time, a practice called 'V working'. We have raised awareness of this through the network of SAT leads, the Parents Pack and also through the COPE framework ${ }^{5.5 .11}$.
(viii) Childcare

Describe the institution's childcare provision and how the support available is communicated to staff.
Comment on uptake and how any shortfalls in provision will be addressed.
Canterbury's Oaks nursery opened in 1969. It offers 90 places for children of staff and students. Provision is year round for staff and is available on a term-time only basis for students.

Staff are eligible to join a salary exchange scheme whereby nursery fees are deducted from gross pay.

The nursery is well known and has the challenge of managing a waiting list.
Opening times are from 8am to 6 pm with 1 pm the handover time between morning and afternoon sessions. This has been raised with our SAT leads network as a consideration for scheduling of meetings.

In 2018 Oaks ran a customer survey identifying a lack of communication between registering and arriving on the first day. This has now been addressed and those newly registered are now communicated with more regularly.



Figure 5.17: Oaks nursery in 1969 and 2020 and celebrating 50 years

Colleagues at Medway have access to an externally-run nursery.
In our Bronze application it was noted that parents often struggled to park on the Canterbury campus after dropping their children off at school/nursery. The transport team launched a trial whereby a number of parking spaces were freed up for staff arriving after 09.30 and this has now been made permanent ${ }^{\text {5.5.12 }}$.


Figure 5.18: Communicating the Canterbury campus parking trial

## (ix) Caring responsibilities

Describe the policies and practice in place to support staff with caring responsibilities and how the support available is proactively communicated to all staff.

Colleagues with caring responsibilities have access to the full range of flexible working offerings and can also be granted unpaid leave to deal with emergency caring situations.

We aimed to create a specific website for carers ${ }^{5.5 .13}$. Given that the range of caring situations is broad the support and information needs will be different so there is work to do first in better understanding specific requirements. We intend to do this via an initial survey followed by focus groups as this is an approach that has worked well in capturing insights to parenting.

ACTION 60: Run a 'Carers at Kent' survey and follow-up focus group/discussion

### 5.6 ORGANISATION AND CULTURE

Demonstrate how the institution actively considers gender equality and inclusivity. Provide details of how the charter principles have been, and will continue to be, embedded into the culture and workings of the institution and how good practice is identified and shared across the institution.

## (i) Culture

The staff survey contained 3 EDI questions and with the exception of 'Kent is a good place to work' attracting $80 \%$ positive scores, had the highest scores of all the questions, Table 5.15. BAME positive responses were also high but lower than white colleagues, further evidence for a focus on REC.

| Question | Total | Gender |  | Race |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | F | M | BAME | White |
| Meetings and work-related social <br> activities in my school/department <br> take place at times when those with <br> caring responsibilities can attend | $79 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $81 \%$ |
| My school/department uses <br> women as well as men as visible <br> role models | $92 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $87 \%$ | $96 \%$ |
| The university policies on equality <br> are respected and promoted in my <br> school/department | $78 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $90 \%$ |

Table 5.15: Proportion of positive responses to EDI questions in the staff survey

Objective 6 was to support staff to take responsibility for EDI and this has shaped our approach to embedding inclusivity in our systems, processes and behaviours:

- the 'Working in an inclusive environment' induction session and Foundations in

Management training focuses on being an inclusive colleague ${ }^{5.3 .5}$

- there is a suite of mandatory EDI training: Unconscious Bias ${ }^{5.3 .4}$, Diversity and Inclusion in HE (both on-line) and classroom session Promoting Inclusion (Action 23 will monitor compliance)
- Advance HE delivered EDI refresher training for our EDI rep network and L\&OD team
- HR and recruitment systems have inbuilt reporting on protected characteristics
- additional unconscious bias classroom training delivered and a Psychology lecturer is funded to deliver tailored sessions ${ }^{5.3 .6}$
- an unconscious bias text for chairs of recruitment or funding panels to use in their opening introductions:
"It is our intention to ensure that the panel's deliberations today are fair and equitable and we will achieve this through paying close attention to the scoring criteria and definitions, and by challenging any imprecise language used by panel members which might allow unconscious biases to creep into the panel's discussions"

Capturing the male voice in conversations about gender equality is challenging and we addressed this by running a survey on IMD 2018 followed up by a panel-led discussion on the key themes emerging from the survey:

- are gender equality initiatives targetted at women?
- is there a macho culture preventing an inclusive one?
- being a dad at the university


Comments captured in the IMD Survey 2018


Men have their say on International Men's Day

20th November 2019

Figure 5.19: Panel discussion on International Men's Day 2019

The Equality Matters blog has facilitated sharing the male voice.


Figure 5.20: Communicating an International Men's Day blog post 2020

## IMPACT

In response to feedback from professional services colleagues, the VC personally championed their inclusion in processing at graduation

We have challenged some long-held practices in response to PS feedback:

- dropping the term 'non-academic'
- in 2020 Senate approval was granted for PS to process alongside academics at graduation - an idea raised by SMSAS colleagues at the ASWG

The approach to all-staff surveys will be reviewed to include focused surveys such as the Future Working Survey (Action 51) and also short, frequent 'pulse' surveys.

ACTION 61: Develop an overarching staff engagement survey strategy to include annual surveys, context-specific surveys (e.g. Future Working) and 'pulse' surveys
(ii) HR policies

Describe how the institution monitors the consistency in application of its HR policies for equality, dignity at work, bullying, harassment, grievance and disciplinary processes. Describe actions taken to address any identified differences between policy and practice. Include a description of the steps taken to ensure staff with management responsibilities are up to date with their HR knowledge.

Equality policies are seen to be respected and promoted, Table 15. EDI networks are consulted on HR new policies.

At induction we highlight the EDI and Dignity at Work policies and the process for reporting unacceptable behaviour. Our network of harassment contacts are supported by a specific L\&OD training programme ${ }^{6.3}$ and since 2019 an external EAP is available.

The HR EDI plan includes actions to strengthen our harassment processes.

ACTION 62: Launch a new reporting system for staff to report incidents of harassment/bullying

ACTION 63: Deliver an Inclusive Leadership programme to include best practice in holding conversations about harassment

In recognition of the increased risk of inappropriate behaviour occurring off campus (e.g. remote field trips), the SAT lead for Anthropology and Conversation authored a guide for 'Preventing and dealing with behavioural misconduct during academic activities in nonuniversity settings', now adopted as university-wide policy.

## IMPACT

Feedback to the Athena SWAN team around individual circumstances that may impact performance evaluation have led to the creation of a new Mitigating Circumstances policy

Issues raised directly with the AS team have led to action on:

- Mitigating Circumstances: whilst we have good processes for students, there is a need to strengthen these for staff when making performance evaluations. A new policy is undergoing consultation.
- Domestic Abuse: awareness training will inform policy development

ACTION 64: Provide Domestic Abuse awareness training

Managers are supported by a dedicated HR Business Partner to advise on any issues arising around harassment, grievances or disciplinary procedures.
(iii) Proportion of heads of school/faculty/department by gender

Comment on the main concerns and achievements across the whole institution and any differences
between STEMM and AHSSBL departments.

## IMPACT

Between 2016 and 2019 the proportion of female Heads of School increased from 19.0\% to 31.8\%

The low number of female HoS in 2016 and the complete lack in STEMM was a concern. This was addressed by changing the appointment process such that the roles were openly advertised, subject to panel interview with a job description informed by the AS lead who had previously held a HoS position for 5 years.

There are now two female STEMM heads and an overall increase in representation from $19.0 \%(4 / 21)$ to $31.8 \%$ (7/22), Table 5.16, meeting our Objective 7 target of $30 \%$.

|  | Head of School |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Faculty of Science (STEMM) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ |
| Biosciences | M | M | M | M |
| Computing | M | M | M | M |
| Engineering and Digital Arts | M | M | M | M |
| Maths, Statistics and Actuarial Science | M | M | M | M |
| Physical Sciences | M | M | M | M |
| Sport and Exercise Sciences | M | M | F | F |
| Pharmacy | M | M | M | F |
| Medical School | - | - | - | M |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Faculty of Humanities (AHSSBL) |  |  |  |  |
| Architecture | M | M | M | M |
| Arts | M | M | M | F |
| English | M | F | M | F |
| European Culture and Languages | M | M | M | M |
| History | F | F | F | F |
| Music and Audio Technology | M | M | M | M |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Faculty of Social Science (AHSSBL) |  |  |  |  |
| Kent Business School | M | M | M | M |
| Kent Law School | F | F | F | F |
| Anthropology and Conservation | M | M | M | F |
| Economics | M | M | M | M |
| Politics and International Relations | F | M | M | M |
| Psychology | F | F | F | M |
| Social Policy, Sociology and Social Research | M | M | M | M |
| Journalism | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| Total Schools | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 2}$ |
| Total Heads - Female | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ |  |
| Total Heads - Male |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.16: Gender profile of Heads of School 2016-2019
(iv) Representation of men and women on senior management committees

Provide data by gender, staff type and grade and comment on what the institution is doing to address any gender imbalance.

Women are well represented at EG and Council level, Table 5.17, the latter as a result of action taken by Council to increase diversity of the lay membership as nominated by the Lay Nominations Committee.

|  | 2019/20 Membership |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Senior Management Committees | F | $\mathbf{M}$ | \%F |
| Executive Group | 4 | 2 | $66.7 \%$ |
| Council | 16 | 20 | $44.4 \%$ |
| Senate | 17 | 30 | $36.2 \%$ |

Table 5.17: Gender profile of senior management committees 2019/20

The larger Senate committee has a lower proportion of women but we anticipate an improvement with the introduction of the new Divisional structure.


Figure 5.21: Executive Group 19/20


Figure 5.22: Members of Council 19/20
(v) Representation of men and women on influential institution committees

Provide data by committee, gender, staff type and grade and comment on how committee members are identified, whether any consideration is given to gender equality in the selection of representatives and what the institution is doing to address any gender imbalances.

Senate and Council are a combination of ex-officio members, lay members (for Council) and staff representatives elected by peer group ballot, Table 5.18.

|  | 2019/20 Membership |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | F | M | \%F |
| Senate - academics | 10 | 5 | 5 | $50.0 \%$ |
| Council- academics | 2 | 0 | 2 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Council- professional services | 2 | 1 | 1 | $50.0 \%$ |

Table 5.18: Gender profile of elected representatives for Senate, Council 2019/20

Two further influential committees are UPC and REF Steering Group. Members of UPC are appointed by the VC and is gender balanced with 7 women and 7 men compared to 4 women and 10 men in 2016.

The REF steering group was convened by the DVC for Research and Innovation. As part of our Code of Practice we captured the EDI profiles of members and as a result took action to change the group's composition so that it was more racially diverse and gender balanced ( 12 women, 12 men).
(vi) Committee workload

Comment on how the issue of 'committee overload' is addressed where there are small numbers of men or women and how role rotation is considered

The ACM has been designed to recognise the Citizenship and Leadership contributions of committee work and makes explicit reference to AS ${ }^{5.6 .9,5.6 .14}$. The Law School allows academics in roles lower than professor to sit on School promotion panels and this has been taken up by the largest School, SSPSSR ${ }^{5.6 .8}$.

School Director of Research and Director of Education roles sit on several committees and traditionally these would have been non-advertised appointments filled informally, normally by senior (likely to be male) colleagues. Now they are published as vacancies and go through a panel process ${ }^{5.6 .8}$, making them more visible and accessible to middle career academics ${ }^{5 \cdot 6 \cdot 5,5 \cdot 6.6}$.
(vii) Institutional policies, practices and procedures

Describe how gender equality is considered in development, implementation and review. How is positive and/or negative impact of existing and future policies determined and acted upon?

Equality Analysis (EA) is embedded in processes of implementing policy/process change. EG now expects that changes put to them are supported by an EA. During implementation any equality risks raised are addressed by the process owners.

Completed EAs are published on-line together with guidance documentation.
Campaigns encourage staff to complete their EDI profiles ${ }^{6.1}$ and the proportion of 'no data' is decreasing, Table 5.19, although action is required to increase the capture of gender identity (Action 59).


Figure 5.23: Dashboard on HR System alerts staff on their completion of EDI data

|  | Proportion of 'no data' |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Self-declared protected characteristic | EA1 | EA2 |
| Disability | $22.4 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ |
| Sexual Orientation | $22.0 \%$ | $17.9 \%$ |
| Religion | $15.0 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ |
| Gender Identity | $79.1 \%$ | $69.4 \%$ |
| Ethnicity | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Table 5.19: Comparison of the proportion of 'no data' for self-declared protected characteristics available for Equality Analysis carried out in 2019 (EA1) and 2020 (EA2)
(viii) Workload model

Describe any workload allocation model in place and what it includes. Comment on whether the model is monitored for gender bias and whether it is taken into account at appraisal/development review and in promotion criteria. Comment on the rotation of responsibilities and if staff consider the model to be transparent and fair.

Workload models are managed at School level with a mixture of approaches. Schools capture feedback on the perception of workload allocation through their AS culture surveys ${ }^{5.6 .13}$ and share experiences through the SAT leads network.

The Divisional structure will facilitate sharing of best practice, gender monitoring and increased consistency of models because workload allocation falls under the EDI remit of the Deputy Director roles ${ }^{5.6 .11}$.

During interviews for these roles, candidates were asked about the principles of workload allocation with the expectation that 'fairness' was about achieving equal outcomes rather than just giving everyone the same allocations ${ }^{5 \cdot 6.12}$.
(ix) Timing of institution meetings and social gatherings

Describe the consideration given to those with caring responsibilities and part-time staff around the timing of meetings and social gatherings.

Schools take their own approach and we do not have a university-wide core hour policy however ISAT, ASWG, SAT leads network and other AS consultations, Table 3.1, are held outside of half-term and across the 2 campuses.

Overall 79\% of staff survey respondents agreed that 'meetings and work-related social activities take place at times when those with caring responsibilities can attend', Table 5.15. Breaking this down shows the highest level of agreement from female PS (82.2\%) and lowest from female academics (71.4\%), Table 5.20. We will investigate this further (Action 52).

| 'Meetings and work-related social events take place at times <br> when those with caring responsibilities can attend' | Agree | F | M |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Professional services | $82 \%$ | $71.4 \%$ | $81.3 \%$ |
| Academics | $77 \%$ | $82.2 \%$ | $82.0 \%$ |

Table 5.20: Analysis of staff survey question on timings of meetings and social events
(x) Visibility of role models

Describe how the institution builds gender equality into organisation of events. Comment on the gender balance of speakers and chairpersons in seminars, workshops and other relevant activities. Comment on publicity materials, including the institution's website and images used.

With AS well established, there is an increased awareness on the importance of gender balanced speakers for research seminars, lecture series, delivering EDI events and celebrating success.


Figure 5.24: Selection of role-modelling women across Schools

KIE launched an Inspire Challenge Excel programme in 2017 aimed at supporting women to succeed in business and have published a wealth of profiles, interviews and research pieces.


Figure 5.25 Publications on the Inspire Challenge Excel programme blog designed by the Kent Innovation and Enterprise department

As a result, $92 \%$ of staff survey respondents agreed that women role models are used locally, Table 5.15.

At institutional level, the gender balance of prestigious lecturer series are monitored as are honorary graduate nominations, Table 5.21, and the design of webpages.

| 2019 Honorary Graduates |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ann Marie Furedi | Anthony Van Laast |
| Es Devlin | Harry Christophers |
| Joanna Roper | Raymond Nye |
| Mavis Nye Bcah | Carl Jones |
| Akaliza Keza Ntwari | John J Hern Jr |
| Libby Jackson | John Gallagher |
| Ellie Goulding | Mark Brookes |
| Stephanie Flanders | Antony Anghie |
| Patience Agbabi |  |

Table 5.21: 2019 Honorary graduates featured 9 women and 8 men


Figure 5.26: Gender balance is considered in the design on university webpages such as the recently launched Technical Services website

We also used IWD 2020 to invite colleagues to share their role models.


Figure 5.27: Sharing inspirational women role models on IWD 2020
(xi) Outreach activities

Provide data on the staff involved in outreach and engagement activities by gender and grade. How is staff contribution to outreach and engagement activities formally recognised? Comment on the participant uptake of these activities by school type and gender.

Involvement in outreach activities, run locally or by the central outreach team are recognised as examples of Citizenship and Leadership criteria for promotion in the ACM.


Figure 5.28: Da Vinci Day at the Medway campus run by the central outreach team

The Technician Commitment sets out actions to support outreach programmes and encourages technicians to get involved and recognise this contribution in RPDs.

Soapbox Science was hosted for the first time in Canterbury in 2018 and again in 2019 both times organised by colleagues from Anthropology and Conservation.


Figure 5.29: Soapbox Science event in Canterbury, 2019
To share the organisational effort and widen the participation of speakers we will look to make Soapbox Science a joint activity across Divisions.

ACTION 65: Establish Soapbox Science as a joint activity across Divisions
(xii) Leadership

Describe the steps that will be taken by the institution to encourage departments to apply for the Athena SWAN awards.

The institutional EDI role has been established to embed AS in a new wider EDI structure and will sit on both EG and ISAT. Deputy Directors of Divisions will also have AS in their remit (Action 3).

Schools will continue to be supported through the provision of data ${ }^{5.6 .18}$, guidance documentation ${ }^{5.6 .15}$, the SAT leads network and mock panels, according to the future timeline, Table 5.22, with contribution on AS recognised for promotion through the ACM.

|  | Current Award | Timeline for future applications |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |
| Division of Arts and Humanities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Architecture |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Arts | Bronze |  |  |  |  |  |
| English |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Centre for Music and Audio Technology* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| European Culture and Languages | Bronze |  |  |  |  |  |
| History | Bronze |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Division of Natural Sciences |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Biosciences | Bronze |  |  |  |  |  |
| Physical Sciences |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sport and Exercise Sciences |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pharmacy | Bronze |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kent and Medway Medical School |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Division of Computing, Engineering and Mathematical Sciences |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Engineering and Digital Arts | Bronze |  |  |  |  |  |
| Computing | Bronze |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mathematics, Statistics and Actuarial Science | Silver |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Division of Human and Social Sciences |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Anthropology and Conservation | Bronze |  |  |  |  |  |
| Economics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Politics and International Relations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Psychology | Bronze |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Division of Study of Law, Society and Social Justice |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Law | Bronze |  |  |  |  |  |
| Social Policy, Sociology and Social Research | Bronze |  |  |  |  |  |
| Centre for Journalism* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kent Business School | Bronze |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.22: Timeline for future Athena SWAN award submissions.

1: denotes first submission, others will be re-submissions, renewals or applications at a higher grade. *submissions for the 2 Centres may be combined with another School in the new Division. Timings for Kent and Medway Medical School and Physical Sciences t.b.c.

We look forward to submitting applications from our PS departments who have long wanted to have their commitment to gender equality recognised. In particular our Information Services team who already have an established, active EDI Group.

Word count: 6442

## 6 SUPPORTING TRANS PEOPLE

Recommended word count: Bronze: 500 words | Silver: 500 words
(i) Current policy and practice

Provide details of the policies and practices in place to ensure that staff are not discriminated
against on the basis of being trans, including tackling inappropriate and/or negative attitudes.
(ii) Monitoring

Provide details of how the institution monitors the positive and/or negative impact of these policies and procedures, and acts on any findings.
(iii) Further work

Provide details of further initiatives that have been identified as necessary to ensure trans people
do not experience unfair treatment at the institution.
(i) Current policy and practice

Our EDI policy commits to the Equality Act preventing discrimination against all protected characteristics and we have published guidance on supporting gender reassignment in the workplace.

Being trans-inclusive is more though than supporting gender reassignment and in general, understanding of gender/transgender identity tends to be lower compared to other characteristics.

We worked with Stonewall to deliver 3 transgender awareness sessions in 2018. Feedback showed that confidence in the topic was $44.8 \%$ beforehand and $93.1 \%$ afterwards with the key learning points around terminology and use of pronouns ${ }^{6.2}$. This informed the content of an on-line transgender awareness resource, developed with the LGBT+ network, which also sets out examples of everyday behaviours inclusive of gender/transgender identities such as including preferred pronouns in e-mail signatures.

Feedback will be monitored and if helpful we will document an over-arching gender identity policy to cover areas beyond gender reassignment including use of titles and pronouns.

ACTION 66: Review the need for a gender identity policy

In 2019 two informal sessions on gender identity and being a LGBT+ ally were held at Canterbury and Medway.

EDI at Kent Uni @DiversityKent


Figure 6.1 Attendees at the LGBT+-ally and non-binary session, 2019

Since 2017 the LGBT+ Staff Network have hosted a Researching the Rainbow Conference showcasing LGBT+ research. In 2019 the keynote speaker was trans-activist Munroe Bergdorf.

The conference runs during LGBT History Month at the same time that LGBT flags are visible on our website and campus buildings.


Figure 6.2: Trans flag on the Canterbury campus
In 2020 we signed up to Stonewall's 'Trans Rights and Human Rights' commitment, to show our support for trans colleagues and communicated this to all staff.


Figure 6.3: Staff newsletter item on Trans Rights are Human Rights commitment together with a selection of other signatories
(ii) Monitoring

All surveys and training evaluations include a standard set of gender identity options: Female/Male/Non-binary/Prefer to use another term, which can be entered as free text. In the staff survey 7 respondents identified as non-binary and 6 agreed that 'policies on equality are respected and promoted' and 'Kent is a good place to work'.

Self-declaration of gender identity on the HR system is much lower than other characteristics, Table 5.19, and this will be specifically addressed.

ACTION 67: Highlight the feature to capture gender-identity on the HR system as part of the campaign to encourage staff to complete their EDI information

## (iii) Further work

In addition to raising awareness of gender identity (Actions 58, 59), reducing the risk of 'dead-naming' individuals through new systems or ways of working is a priority e.g. the recent move to MS Teams called for a mitigating process to be put in place to ensure that students' preferred names were displayed.

EG members will receive a transgender training session in Spring term 2021.

## 7 FURTHER INFORMATION

Recommended word count: Bronze: 500 words | Silver: 500 words
Please comment here on any other elements that are relevant to the application; for example, other gender-specific initiatives that may not have been covered in the previous sections.

Following interviews and focus groups with PDRAs ${ }^{5.3 .15}$, Table 3.1, it became clear that they often felt unsupported and 'invisible'. As a result AS collaborated with the Graduate School to launch a Women's Researcher Network in 2020.


Figure 7.1: The newly launched Women's Researcher Network (WREN) website

EG members are designated champions for different characteristics and support their EDI networks and activities, captured in our annual EDI report ${ }^{5.6 .2,5.563}$.


Figure 7.2: VC Karen Cox supporting the launch of LGBT role models in Medway, 2019

The AS team put on a well-supported Menopause Café in 2020.


Figure 7.3: The inaugural Menopause Café, February 2020


The AS lead chaired the T\&F Group, including several members of the ISAT, to propose a future EDI landscape in the new organisation that will advance both our AS and REC work.

Research into the nature of senior academic EDI posts across the sector, Table 3.1, was carried out to inform the report's recommendations which included new EDI governance, allowing closer working between student and staff initiatives and further embedding of EDI into our culture.

In November 2020, Senate approved the future EDI proposal which we now look forward to building.

## Athena SWAN and intersectionality at Kent

We have enhanced the HR Athena SWAN data report to include age and ethnicity to facilitate intersectional analysis. In future collaboration between the Athena SWAN and the REC teams we will work to improve both our quantitative and qualitative data on the intersections between gender and race across all areas of concern: recruitment and selection, contract types, training and development, experience of working at Kent, career progression and promotion.

Word count: 234
8.1 BRONZE ACTION PLAN WITH RED AMBER GREEN STATUS

Key:

| $R$ | Not done |
| :--- | :--- |
| A | Begun but work is on-going to complete the action |
| G1 | Action completed |
| GBAU | Action embedded as business as usual |
|  | Changed significantly or removed |

The Action plan has been simplified by removing the owners and timescales. Actions are grouped into overarching Objectives and progress against the Objective-level targets is shown.

Where actions are mentioned in the narrative the action references are shown as a superscript text (e.g. ${ }^{3.6}$ ).

| Page | Action <br> Reference |  | Measurable Target of Objective | Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Objective (general): To improve our capacity to progress our institutional AS agenda and support for schools | n/a |  |
| p66 | 3.7 | Continue to work with HR colleagues to ensure systems are developed with AS reporting requirements in mind and build capacity within the AS team to service institutional and School data needs |  | GBAU |
| p121 | 5.6.18 | Provide bespoke support for School SATs, including data collection and analysis |  | GBAU |
| p24 | 5.6.19 | Increase the number of staff, including all School SAT leads, who undertake ECU assessor training for AS and who sit both on external panels and internal mock panels |  | G1 |
| p114 | 5.6.14 | Ensure that appropriate WAM points are given for AS committee work and activity |  | A |
| p25 | 3.3 | SAT team to meet termly for the life of the action plan to receive progress reports and amend the plan as required. |  | GBAU |
| p21 | 3.2 | Keep ISAT/ASWG's name and Terms of Reference under regular review to ensure they remain fit for purpose |  | GBAU |
| p26 | 3.5 | Raise awareness of AS activities across the university via AS events and a range of communications channels |  | GBAU |
| p121 | 5.6.15 | Refresh and expand the current AS Sharepoint site with toolkits and other supporting documentation for School submissions |  | GBAU |


| p25 | 5.6.17 | Develop a School SAT leads network for peer-to-peer <br> networking and support and the sharing of good practice | GBAU |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| p17 | 3.6 | 5.6 .16 | Review the success of the investment in the AS team and its <br> integration into wider EDI activities | G1 |
| p25 | 3.4 | SAT Chair to give a formal progress report to Council on an <br> annual basis and keep ASWG updated on developments |  | GBAU |
| p21,25 | 3.1 | Refresh membership of the ISAT.ASWG annually and encourage <br> more male participation to improve the gender balance of both <br> ISAT and its sub-group ASWG | GBAU |  |
| p87 | 5.3 .11 | Objective 1: To improve career development support for <br> researchers and increase the proportion of female lecturers | 45\% female lecturers by <br> 2020 TARGET MET 48.5\% | A |
| effectively, including discussions on career development |  |  |  |  |


| p87 | 5.3.9 | Increase the proportion of researchers having access to appraisal |  | BAU |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| p74 | 5.1.11 | Improve the promotion of induction processes and events to researchers via the Early Career Researcher Network |  | BAU |
|  |  | Objective 2: To increase the proportion of women securing promotion from senior lecturer to reader | $40 \%$ of successful reader applications are from women. $\mathbf{3}$ year average = 37.7\% |  |
| p89 | 5.3.14 | Increase visibility and take up of training on mentoring |  | A |
| p127 | 5.3.15 | Continue to support and develop learning and teaching networks and undertake research to establish that existing networks remain fit for purpose |  | BAU |
| p81 | 5.1.17 | Analyse the characteristics of those being considered for submission to REF 2021 during the planning stages and take remedial action where any inequalities emerge |  | G1 |
| p81 | 5.1.18 | Expand the pool of female decision makers for the REF 2021 submission, including REF Coordinators in Schools |  | G1 |
| p114 | 5.6.9 | Analyse the level and impact of women's committee workload and ensure it is recognised for promotion purposes |  | G1 |
| p89 | 5.3.12 | Review and strengthen academic mentoring arrangements, seeking to identify and disseminate examples of good practice across Schools, with the aim of giving everyone access to a post-probation mentor if they wish |  | A |
| p89 | 5.3.13 | Require Schools to have a transparent framework of mentoring provision and maintain records of mentoring activity |  | A |


| p78 | 5.1.15 | Analyse unsuccessful promotions applications for reader (which <br> include written feedback for applicants) to understand the <br> reasons for rejection with the aim of improving the quality of <br> future applications and success rates | G1 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| p87 | 5.3 .10 | Analyse appraiser training uptake and encourage Heads of <br> Schools with low participation rates to take remedial action | A |  |
| p87 | 5.3 .8 | Complete the planned review of RPD in 2018 and develop an <br> action plan for strengthening its role, with enhanced support <br> where necessary | G1 |  |
| p78 | 5.1 .14 | Undertake additional qualitative research designed to <br> understand the reasons that some staff may take longer to <br> apply for promotion and ascertain whether the self-application <br> process may be leading to gendered outcomes | G1 |  |
| p79 | 5.1.16 | Update the Preparing for Promotions briefings as required <br> based on any feedback from the Women's Network | G1 |  |
| p43 | 4.4 | Analyse the gender profile of staff on teaching and scholarship <br> contracts over time | GBAU |  |
| p44,79 | 5.1.12 | Complete the REEP consultation process (and accompanying <br> data analysis) and make recommendations to improve the <br> promotions success rates of applications based primarily on the <br> strength of the candidate's teaching practice | G1 |  |
| p75 | 5.1.13 | Review the operation of School Promotions Panels with a view <br> to identifying and sharing examples of good practice, improving <br> panel guidance and protocols to enhance consistency of <br> practice across Schools | GBAU |  |


| p80 | 4.5 | Analyse the impact of REEP on career progression from a gender perspective |  | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Objective 3: To increase the proportion of applications from women in STEM | 40\% of all academic applications from women and $30 \%$ of STEMM <br> Targets met: 46.2\% all and 43.9\% STEMM |  |
| p66 | 5.1.4 | Review our website, adverts and other recruitment-related material to ensure that they promote our commitment to AS and EDI |  | BAU |
| p66 | 5.1.1 | Commission and implement a new e-recruitment system |  | G1 |
| p67 | 5.1.6 | Review and refresh the current Recruitment and Selection online training provision and enhance the EDI element of our Recruitment and Selection face-to-face training, including unconscious bias |  | G1 |
| p66 | 5.1.5 | Encourage Schools to use Positive Action Statements and evaluate their use and impact |  | BAU |
| p86 | 5.1.7 | Design and provide bespoke Executive Recruitment and Selection training for Executive Group members and Deans |  | A |
| p66 | 5.1.8 | Panel Chairs to record panel membership and training they have undertaken on the interview outcome form to permit future monitoring |  | BAU |
| p72 | 5.1.3 | Analyse a selection of our job advertisements and conduct focus groups to improve our understanding of what may be attractive/off-putting to women |  | G1 |


| p35,72 | 5.1.2 | Commission the university's new creative advertising agency to explore options to reach more potential female applicants, particularly in STEMM |  | G1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| p66 | 5.1.9 | Explore the introduction of automated blind shortlisting with the launch of the new e-recruitment system to ensure consistency and transparency of the shortlisting process against essential criteria |  | G1 |
|  |  | Objective 4: To better understand the impact of part-time working and/or career breaks | n/a |  |
| p96 | 5.5.2 | Ensure that Heads of School and other line managers are aware of the family friendly checklist |  | A |
| p95 | 5.5.8 | Develop case studies of staff who have had a successful return to work following a career break |  | GBAU |
| p38 | 4.3 | Undertake qualitative research to understand the consequences of part-time working on the career progression of female academic and research staff and make recommendations for action as required |  | G1 |
| p96 | 5.5.1 | Improve the signposting to online information resources for staff about to take maternity or paternity leave |  | G1 |
| - | 5.5.5 | Explore the degree of interest in creating a parent buddy system or network and how it could best work |  | G1 |
| p96,97 | 5.5.7 | Identify examples of good practice in return-to-work procedures, e.g. reduced teaching load, and seek opportunities to share these across Schools |  | G1 |


| - | 5.5.6 | Analyse the uptake of sabbatical leave by staff returning from a career break and subsequent career progression and make recommendations for change as required | G1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| p103 | 5.5.10 | Raise awareness outside existing EDI networks of our flexible working policy, possible working patterns and the right of all staff to request flexible working | GBAU |
| p104 | 5.5.11 | Raise awareness of the potential for ' $V$ ' working and disseminate examples of good practice for academic staff making the transition from part time back to full-time work following a career break | GBAU |
| p95,97 | 5.5.3 | Undertake focus groups with staff returning from maternity leave and repeat survey to improve our understanding of how Keeping in Touch (KIT) Days are currently used and identify areas for improvement | G1 |
| - | 5.5.9 | Analyse the uptake of job shares and flexible working arrangements to ensure that our policies are being applied consistently and fairly and address any issues arising |  |
| p98 | 5.5.4 | Undertake an audit of facilities across the two campuses to identify suitable spaces for breastfeeding and investigate whether providing such facilities can be included in minimum requirements for new buildings | G1 |
| p105 | 5.5.12 | Explore the feasibility of introducing reserved parking for staff who arrive after 9am due to childcare or caring commitments | G1 |
| p106 | 5.5.13 | Create a specific web page for carer's information, signposting them to relevant policies and other useful information | R1 |


|  |  | Objective 5: To understand whether current pay and reward procedures are having a gendered outcome |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| p52 | 4.6 | Require Chairs of appointment panels to review gender-based institutional salary data (and, where appropriate, market data) and undertaken a comparability check with existing staff to inform and justify starting salary decisions |  | GBAU |
| p53 | 4.7 | Analyse the starting salaries of staff at different grades to understand if there are any gender inequalities and make recommendations for change as required |  | G1 |
| p52 | 4.8 | Analyse the rate of within-grade progression of a sample of staff from starting salary to the top discretionary point of their grade to identify whether there are any gender differences (and, if so, undertake follow-up research to understand the extent to which the requirement to self-apply for discretionary pay points may act as a perceived barrier) |  | G1 |
| p52 | 4.9 | Assess the desirability from an equal pay perspective of segmenting the Managerial and Professorial pay scale according to specific criteria to facilitate more consistent and justifiable pay decisions |  | G1 |
| p52 | 4.10 | Develop reward policies to clarify procedures and expectations and increase transparency on pay and reward |  | G1 |
|  |  | Objective 6: To raise awareness of our commitment to EDI and better support staff to take responsibility for EDI in their everyday work | n/a |  |
| p81 | 5.1.19 | All REF decision makers at Kent to receive tailor-made EDI training, including on unconscious bias |  | G1 |


| p74 | 5.1.10 | Incorporate an unconscious bias element into the EDI section of the university's induction events | G1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| p107 | 5.3.5 | Embed EDI considerations across the full range of development opportunities wherever viable to do so | GBAU |
| - | 5.6.1 | Implement phase two of the Valuing Everyone programme on Cross-cultural Communications to help embed Kent values and the importance of EDI |  |
| p96 | 5.6.4 | Review and restructure HR's web presence to improve accessibility and transparency of key policies and information | GBAU |
| p107 | 5.3.6 | Explore the potential for ring-fencing some of the central training budget for EDI-related programmes | G1 |
| p107 | 5.3.4 | Explore options for providing an online Unconscious Bias module and adding/incorporating this to the EDI module for all new staff | G1 |
| p115 | 5.6.12 | Review School commitment to governance principles with respect to WAMs | A |
| p115 | 5.6 .13 | Continue to assess WAM transparency and fairness through university and School staff surveys | A |
| p127 | 5.6.3 | Document the expectations of EDI Champion roles for Executive Group members and publish annual progress reports in each area | GBAU |
| p127 | 5.6.2 | Work with staff networks to ensure that they are as selfsufficient as possible while provided with necessary organisational support | GBAU |


| p115 | 5.6.11 | Fully implement School governance principles to ensure transparent, equitable and effective management practice across Schools that is broadly consistent |  | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| p18 | 5.6.10 | Confirm that the Terms of Reference of influential committees include AS as a standing item |  | G1 |
|  |  | Objective 7: To increase the pipeline of leadership-ready women and the proportion of female Heads of School | $30 \%$ of Heads of School are female and at least one post-holder in STEMM <br> Targets met: 31.8\% 2020 and 2 female STEMM Heads of School |  |
| p81 | 5.1.20 | Encourage female staff to seek nomination to external REF panels |  | G1 |
| p86 | 5.3.7 | Analyse the perceived value and impact of, academic leadership schemes - particularly those designed for women by tracking the career progress of participants |  | GBAU |
| p114 | 5.6.5 | Analyse the gender balance across School Positions of Responsibility with a view to ensuring that women or men are not concentrated in particular roles |  | G1 |
| p85 | 5.3.1 | Increase the number of Aurora participants from STEMM Schools |  | G1 |
| p114 | 5.6.8 | Review the various methods by which staff are invited, nominated or put themselves forward for senior committee roles and undertake qualitative research to understand the |  | G1 |


|  |  | extent to which these may be gendered and recommend <br> change as required |  | G1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| - | 5.6 .6 | Consider role sharing or shadowing for School Positions of <br> Responsibility with historically lower female representation | G1 |  |
| p86 | 5.6 .7 | Review the effectiveness of the Women's Development <br> Module by means of focus group(s) and analyse the career <br> progression of participants |  | GBAU |
| p110 | 6.3 | Ensure that channels for reporting, and support for, <br> harassment are trans inclusive and clearly communicated | G1 |  |
| p114 | 6.1 | Include gender identity in EDI reporting on the Staff Connect <br> HR system and incorporate in future monitoring | GBAU |  |
| p123 | 6.2 | Analyse the uptake and perceived value of the online <br> Transgender Awareness programme |  |  |

### 8.2 SILVER ACTION PLAN

Due to the significant organisational restructure to be implemented in 2020/21, many professional services roles will be changed and for central functions, may be devolved to new Divisional teams (the timescales for devolution will be Summer 2021). For that reason the action owners in this plan are indicative and reflect current accountabilities unless future roles are already known e.g. Devolved HR specialists, Talent and Organisational Development (replacing Learning and Organisation Development). Action owners will be updated once the new structures are in place.

This action plan has been co-created with other strategic institutional plans and overlapping actions are referenced accordingly:
GPG: Gender Pay Gap action plan
HR EDI: Strategic HR EDI plan
HRER: HR Excellence in Reward action plan
TECH COMM: Technician Commitment action plan

## Glossary:

AD: Assistant Director of HR
CROS: Vitae's Careers in Research On-line Survey
CEDARS: Culture Employment and Development in Academic Research Survey (Vitae's replacement for CROS)
Talent and Organisational Development: previously Learning and Organisational Development
Devolved HR specialist: new HR roles in the new organisation
Divisional Deputy Directors: new Divisional roles in the organisation, contain EDI within their remit
DVC ASP\&P and EDI lead: Deputy Vice-Chancellor Academic Strategy, Planning and Performance and EDI lead on Executive Group

Actions have been grouped into 7 overarching Objectives and so do not all appear in numerical order. The page numbers where actions are mentioned in the narrative are provided.

|  | OBJECTIVE <br> 1 | To establish Athena SWAN representation in new organisational and EDI structures <br> Rationale: The university re-organisation of academic Divisions, professional services and EDI operational and governance structures will take effect in 2020/21 when accountabilities for EDI in the new structure will also be confirmed. Athena SWAN will need to be embedded in these university-wide structures in order to maintain momentum on the progress and commitment to gender equality. |  |  | SUCCESS MEASURES <br> Athena SWAN represented within new EDI governance and operational structures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PAGE | ACTION <br> NUMBER | ACTION | OWNER | TIMESCALE <br> Start date unless otherwise stated |  |
| p26 | 1 | Review membership of the institutional selfassessment team in light of new organisational structures | Athena SWAN lead | Spring 2021 <br> Reviewed yearly | Handover of ISAT chair completed and ISAT membership aligned to new organisational structures |
| p26 | 2 | Ensure Athena SWAN representation within new university EDI governance and operational structures | DVC ASP\&P <br> and EDI <br> lead | Spring 2021 | New EDI governance and operational structures kept informed on Athena SWAN actions and progress |
| p26 | 3 | Brief the new network of Divisional Deputy Directors on gender equality issues and initiatives and opportunities to share best practice across their constituent Schools | Athena <br> SWAN lead | Autumn 2020 | Divisional Deputy Directors aware of gender equality initiatives and their roles in supporting them |
| p26 | 4 | Support and advise on the creation of the Race Equality Charter self-assessment team and its integration into wider university EDI structures and networks | Athena SWAN lead | Spring 2021 | Informed and facilitated creation of the Race Equality Charter self-assessment team |


|  | OBJECTIVE 2 | To increase the proportion of female STEMM researchers <br> Rationale: The proportion of female STEMM researchers has decreased since 01 Oct 2016 from 41.1\% to $36.0 \%$ on 01 Oct 2019. <br> Recruitment is the key process for impacting the gender balance of the researcher pool and over the last 3.5 years an average of only $32.1 \%$ of applications for STEMM researcher roles were from women resulting in $35 \%$ of appointments. <br> We need to increase the proportion of female applications and subsequent appointments for STEMM researcher roles in order to help address the gender imbalance in the STEMM academic career pipeline. |  |  | SUCCESS MEASURES <br> Proportion of female STEMM <br> researchers year increased <br> from baseline of $36 \%$ by ~5\% <br> each year to a target of $45 \%$ in <br> 2025: <br> 01OCT 2021: 37\% <br> 01 OCT 2022: 39\% <br> 01 OCT 2023: 41\% <br> 01 OCT 2024: 43\% <br> 01 OCT 2025: 45\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PAGE | ACTION NUMBER | ACTION | OWNER | TIMESCALE |  |
| P70 | 21 | Assign targets for increasing the proportion of female researchers by $\sim 5 \%$ each year with Directors of new STEMM Divisions | DVC ASP\&P and EDI lead | Autumn 2020 <br> Updated annually | Directors of STEMM Divisions aware of the objective to increase the proportion of |
| P70 | 22 | Provide a recruitment report for Directors and Deputy Directors of the new STEMM Division that includes gender balance of applications and appointment rates for researcher roles | Devolved HR specialist | Autumn 2021 <br> Run twiceyearly | and their progress towards achieving target |


| P70 | 23 | Encourage academic staff to personally seek internal female candidates for STEMM researcher roles | STEMM Deputy <br> Divisional <br> Directors | Spring 2021 | Proportion of female applicants and appointments for STEMM research roles increased from |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P70 | 24 | Encourage female STEMM PGRs to sign up to internal vacancy alerts for researcher positions on the recruitment system at induction and researcher events | Dean of Graduate and Researcher College | Summer 2021 | average baseline of 32.1\%: <br> 35.0\% (applications: <br> appointments) by $\sim 5 \%$ each <br> year to a target of 43\%: 45\% by <br> 2024/5 academic year: <br> 2020/1: 35\%: 37\% <br> 2021/2: 37\%: 39\% <br> 2022/3: 39\%:41\% <br> 2023/4: 41\%:43\% <br> 2024/5: 43\%:45\% |


|  | OBJECTIVE 3 | To better support our researchers in developing an academic career at Kent <br> Rationale: The proportion of internal applicants for lecturer positions relative to external applications is very low: $5.2 \%$, as measured since the implementation of the new recruitment system in 2019. <br> We see a leak in the 2019 AHSSBL academic pipeline whereby there is a 20.2 percentage point drop from the proportion of female researchers (71.7\%) to female lecturers (51.5\%). Better supporting those female AHSSBL researchers wishing to develop an academic career at Kent will reduce this gap. <br> Responses to the 2019 Careers in Research on-line survey (CROS) showed several areas where improvements can be made in terms of supporting researcher development. |  |  | SUCCESS MEASURES <br> The proportion of internal applicants for lecturer roles across STEMM and AHSSBL increases from baseline of $5.2 \%$ by $\sim 10 \%$ each year to a target of 7.5\% in 2024/5: <br> 2020/1: 5.5\% <br> 2021/2: 6\% <br> 2022/3: 6.5\% <br> 2023/4: 7\% <br> 2024/5: 7.5\% <br> Difference between the proportion of AHSSBL female researchers and lecturers from baseline of 20.2 percentage points to 15 percentage points by 01 Oct 2025 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PAGE | ACTION NUMBER | ACTION | OWNER | TIMESCALE |  |
| p71 | 25 | Assign targets to Directors of Divisions for increasing the proportion of internal applicants for lecturer posts | DVC ASP\&P and EDI lead | Autumn 2020 <br> Updated annually | Directors of Divisions aware of the objective to increase the proportion internal |


| p71 | 26 | Provide a recruitment report that includes the proportion of internal and external applications for roles by gender | Devolved HR specialists | Autumn 2021 <br> Run twice- <br> yearly | applicants for lecturer roles and their progress towards achieving target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P71 | 27 <br> HRER 20 | Run communications to principal investigators tasking them to support and encourage their researchers in applying for academic posts at Kent | Dean of Graduate Researcher College | Summer 2021 <br> Run annually |  |
| p71 | 28 | Encourage researchers to sign up to internal vacancies alerts for lecturer positions on the recruitment system at induction and researcher events | Dean of Graduate Researcher College | Summer 2021 <br> Autumn 2022 <br> (induction events) | Progress against objectivelevel success measures above |
| p75 | 31 <br> HRER 2c | Establish researcher-specific induction events | Dean of Graduate Researcher College | Autumn 2022 | CEDARS: increase in baseline of $46 \%$ satisfaction with induction events. Target: 85\% - gender balancedsatisfaction by 2025 |
| p85 | 37 | Promote the Horizons and Foundations of Management programmes with early career researchers at induction and researcher events | AD Talent and Organisational Development | Autumn 2021 | Increasing numbers of early career researchers attending Horizons and Foundations of Management programmes |
| p85 | 38 <br> HRER 2d, 4g | Include information on Vitae resources, including the Researcher Development Framework as part of Reflect, Plan, Develop (RPD) discussions | Dean of Graduate Researcher College | Autumn 2021 | CEDARS: increase in baseline of $50 \%$ awareness of the RDF. Target of $80 \%$ - gender balanced- awareness by 2025 |


| p86 | 40 | HRER 5b |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| P88 | $\mathbf{4 7}$ | Provide a targeted, individual mentoring approach <br> in Phase 2 of the mentoring framework including <br> making mentors available for early career <br> researchers who are not their academic leads or PIs | AD Talent and <br> Organisational <br> Development | Summer 2024 | constructively critical and <br> supportive coaching and <br> mentoring. Target of - <br> gender balanced- 80\% by <br> 2025. Responses from early <br> career researchers in line <br> with overall responses <br> including by gender |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| p88 | $\mathbf{4 8}$ |  | Provide targets for Directors of Division for <br> ensuring a minimum number of active mentors <br> with a diverse range of specialisms and experience | EDI lead |  |


|  | OBJECTIVE <br> 4 | To better understand motivations of staff leaving or planning to leave the university to allow better workforce planning and talent retention <br> Rationale: Our institutional knowledge as to why staff may wish to leave or actually do leave the university can be enhanced. <br> The level of awareness and take-up of the exit interviews and questionnaire is low (only $10 \%$ of recent leavers have completed the questionnaire). Also the design can be improved to allow for more meaningful analysis of results and alignment to the new organisational structure. <br> Of the 19 resignations at Senior Lecturer level between 2016 and 2019, 14 were from women. This is a particular issue for the AHSSBL academic career pipeline where the proportion of female senior lecturers has dropped from 47.1\% in 2016 to 44.9\% in 2019. |  |  | SUCCESS MEASURES <br> Information available on motivations for leaving the organisation to inform talent retention and long term workforce planning approaches. <br> Identification of any thematic issues that can be furthered analysed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PAGE | ACTION NUMBER | ACTION | OWNER | TIMESCALE |  |
| p50 | 10 | Capture the views of our female senior lecturer population on the high proportion of resignations via targeted focus group discussion | Athena SWAN lead | Summer 2021 | Better understanding of the reasons that may lead to |
| p50 | 11 | Review staff survey questions to capture reasons behind any leaving intentions | AD Talent and Organisational Development | Autumn 2021 | staff leaving the university |
| p52 | 12 | Review the design of the exit questionnaire and align with new organisational structure | AD Talent and Organisational Development | Autumn 2021 | More detailed analysis of the Exit Questionnaire responses available and in line with new organisational structure |


| p52 | 13 | Create and execute a communications plan for <br> launch of re-designed exit questionnaire | AD Talent and <br> Organisational <br> Development | Autumn 2021 | Increased awareness in <br> Schools of the exit <br> questionnaire and interview <br> offerings. Uptake increased <br> from <br> and $50 \%$ to $25 \%$ by 2023 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| p52 | $\mathbf{1 4}$ |  | Retain the ability to give the option of the exit <br> interview to be run by someone not linked to their <br> School/Department once new organisational <br> structures are in place | Devolved HR <br> specialist | Autumn 2021 | | Leavers have the option to |
| :--- |
| hold an exit interview with |
| an HR contact outside their |
| Division/Department |


|  | OBJECTIVE <br> 5 | To maintain efforts to provide sector-leading family friendly offerings and support a culture where everyone feels comfortable to talk about their caring responsibilities <br> Rationale: There are still ideas outstanding arising from research into improving the family friendly offering including: <br> - reviewing current qualifying period for contractual maternity pay and partner leave and pay <br> - increasing the partner leave entitlement from 2 weeks <br> These would have a higher implementation cost and need to be considered at a suitable time. <br> There is a reluctance amongst male colleagues who are parents to raise their parenting experiences and any support needs in the workplace. <br> The different requirements of those with caring responsibilities, other than childcare, are not well understood. |  |  | SUCCESS MEASURES <br> Colleagues regard Kent as committed to supporting those with parental and caring responsibilities as measured by staff surveys with gender balanced responses |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PAGE | ACTION NUMBER | ACTION | OWNER | TIMESCALE |  |
| p93 | 56 | Prepare a business case proposal for the Executive Group to further advance the family friendly offering | Athena SWAN lead | Autumn 2023 | Costed proposals created and considered by Executive |
| p95 | 57 | Prepare a business case proposal for the Executive Group to create a Divisional/Directorate parental leave returners fund drawing on examples from other HE institutions | Athena SWAN lead | Autumn 2023 | Group |


| p96 | 58 | Put on a university-wide Parents' Day celebration <br> event | Athena SWAN <br> lead | Summer 2021 | Celebration of Parents' Day <br> (June 1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ ) established as a <br> regular event |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| p100 | $\mathbf{5 9}$ | Run a 'Future Ways of Working' staff survey | AD Talent and <br> Organisational <br> Development | Spring 2021 | Staff engaged in generating <br> ideas for future ways of <br> working. Will represent a <br> pilot of a new survey <br> approach to feed into long <br> term survey strategy (Action <br> $53)$ |
| p103 | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | Run a 'Carers at Kent' survey and follow-up focus <br> group/discussion | Athena SWAN <br> lead | Autumn 2022 | To better understand the <br> experiences and needs of <br> colleagues with different <br> caring responsibilities <br> Set of recommendations for <br> improving support for carers |


|  | OBJECTIVE <br> 6 | To establish a new talent strategy to continue strengthening the career development <br> support available to academics, professional services and technicians | SUCCESS MEASURES <br> Staff survey: improvement <br> on $66 \%$ agreement with |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  |  | Rationale: There are different approaches required to provide targeted career support for professional services and technicians and these need specific development/reviewing. <br> For academics, the impact of the Academic Career Map that took effect during the 2020 academic promotion round needs to be evaluated. <br> There is also an opportunity to encourage academics on part-time contracts to apply for promotion: $10.5 \%$ of applications over the last four years were from those on part-time contracts against a current population of $17.9 \%$ part-time academics. |  |  | being 'encouraged to access relevant development opportunities to help me progress my career'. Target 80\% - gender balanced- by 2025 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PAGE | ACTION NUMBER | ACTION | OWNER | TIMESCALE |  |
| p38 | 6 | Consult with BAME staff network and learn from their survey to pinpoint BAME staff experience of academic career progression and feed these understandings into the new University EDI committee and promotion processes at all levels. | AD Talent and Organisational Development | Spring 2022 | Increase the proportion of BAME female professors from 4 to 8 (3.5\% to 7\%) |
| p38 | 7 | Undertake research on BAME staff recruitment to elicit views from existing staff about the decision to apply and accept positions at Kent and review recruitment and selection processes in the light of this | AD Resourcing and Employee Communications | Spring 2022 | Increase in the proportion of BAME applications for roles SL and higher |
| p38 | 8 | University Promotions Committee to continue collecting data on the gender, ethnicity and age profiles of applicants and success rates and take action to address identified disadvantaged groups. | AD Talent and Organisational Development | Spring 2022 | Better BAME representation in senior academic roles |


| p61 | $\mathbf{1 7}$ |  | Consult with BAME staff network and learn from <br> their survey to pinpoint BAME staff experience of <br> professional services career progression and feed <br> these understandings into the new University EDI <br> committee and development processes at all <br> levels. | AD Talent and <br> Organisational <br> Development | From Summer <br> 2021 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| p61 | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | Investigate using Apprentice Levy to help increase <br> the proportion of BAME staff at all levels (both <br> internal and external <br> impact of the review and <br> actions regarding different <br> approaches required to <br> provide targeted career <br> support for BAME <br> professional services |  |  |  |
| p65 | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | AD Talent and <br> Organisational <br> Development | Spring 2022 | By 2025 to have evidence of <br> impact of the review and <br> actions regarding different <br> approaches required to <br> provide targeted career <br> support for BAME <br> professional services |  |
| p65 | Investigate options to ensure development <br> opportunities are available to staff on fixed term <br> contracts e.g. guaranteeing a place on the <br> Pathways career development programme for <br> professional services | AD Talent and <br> Organisational <br> Development | Summer 2021 |  |  |


| P79 | 32 |  | Analyse the impact of the Academic Career Map <br> on career progression of all contract types from <br> both a gender and race perspective | Athena SWAN <br> lead | Start 2020 <br> Review <br> annually |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| P79 | $\mathbf{3 3}$ | Include continual review and development of any <br> proposed changes to the Academic Career Map as <br> part of the annual promotions process review | University <br> impact of the Academic <br> Career Map on application <br> and success rates by race <br> and gender from launch in <br> Committee |  |  |
| P79 | $\mathbf{3 4}$ |  | Brief Divisional Directors to specifically encourage <br> academics on part-time contracts to consider <br> applying for promotion | University <br> Promotions <br> Committee/HR | Annually |


|  |  |  | College, Careers and Employability |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| p90 | 50 | Develop a Talent Strategy that secures the support of the Executive Group and that includes the development, attraction and retention of different groups of staff with different needs | AD Talent and Organisational Development | Spring 2022 | Targeted career development support for different groups of staff at different stages of their careers |
| p91 | 51 | Undertake a review and impact by gender of the Fee Remission Scheme | Athena SWAN lead | Summer 2022 | Better understanding of the take-up of the fee remission scheme |
| p91 | 52 | Undertake a review and impact by gender of the Apprenticeship Scheme | AD Talent and Organisational Development | Summer 2021 | Evidence of impact of the Apprenticeship scheme |
| p92 | 53 <br> TECH COMM | Review the career pathways and grading structure for technicians | Head of Technical Services | Spring 2022 | Recognition of specialist technical skills as part of the grading structure |
| p92 | 54 <br> TECH COMM | Establish and promote the training opportunities and funding available to technicians centrally and across Schools | Head of Technical Services | Autumn 2021 | Staff survey: technician responses to career development support are in line with overall responses |
| p92 | 55 <br> TECH COMM | Review and promote the professional qualifications available to technicians | Head of Technical Services | Autumn 2021 | and are gender balanced |


|  | OBJECTIVE $7$ | To continue embedding EDI principles into everyday behaviours and work <br> Rationale: The need to continue facilitating and supporting people to take individual responsibility and role modelling of EDI in their everyday work <br> The need to build awareness and trust in our processes for reporting inappropriate behaviours <br> Staff have suggested raising awareness of domestic violence |  |  | SUCCESS MEASURES <br> Staff report presence of positive EDI behaviours and role models and feel comfortable to challenge inappropriate behaviour <br> Staff report positive awareness and trust of harassment reporting processes <br> Both measured by staff surveys and responses are gender balanced |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PAGE | ACTION NUMBER | ACTION | OWNER | TIMESCALE |  |
| p41 | 9 | Publicise senior role models and male parents working part-time to share their experiences through Athena SWAN events and communication channels such as the Equality Matters blog | Athena SWAN lead | Spring 2022 | Increased visibility of male parents/senior members of staff who work part-time |
| p56 | 15 | Track the impact of positive action statements on all roles and blind shortlisting on the gender balance of professional services roles | Devolved HR specialists | Summer 2021 | Evidence of the impact of both positive action statements and professional services blind shortlisting |


| p61 | 16 | Undertake research on BAME staff recruitment to elicit views from existing staff about the decision to apply and accept professional services positions at Kent and review recruitment and selection processes in the light of this | AD Resourcing and Employee Communications | Summer 2021 | Increase in the proportion of BAME applications for Professional Services roles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| p75 | 30 | Establish and publish monitoring dashboards for induction to include KPIs for attendance at central induction events and completion of mandatory training for new and existing staff | AD Talent and Organisational Development | Summer 2021 | Senior managers have KPIs available for tracking compliance with mandatory EDI activities |
| p81 | 36 | Develop and launch a new induction programme including new on-line content | AD Talent and Organisational Development | Autumn 2021 | Target of 85\% of attendees agreeing that the induction is effectively designed |
| p86 | 39 | Design management dashboards on RPD compliance to reflect the new organisational structures and report figures to council | AD Talent and Organisational Development | Summer 2021 | Council have KPIs available for uptake of RPD compliance |
| p105 | 61 | Develop an overarching staff engagement survey strategy to include annual surveys, context-specific surveys (e.g. Future Working) and 'pulse' surveys to assess wellbeing | AD Talent and Organisational Development | Spring 2022 | New survey approaches to capture staff feedback/input on new initiatives as they happening and ability to quickly track and check wellbeing status |
| p106 | 62 | Launch a new reporting system for staff to report incidents of harassment/bullying | AD Talent and Organisational Development | Spring 2021 | Staff survey: staff report awareness and trust in new harassment reporting processes and this is gender balanced. Improved management reporting for |


|  |  |  |  |  | incident investigation and follow-up. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| p106 | 63 <br> HR EDI | Deliver an Inclusive Leadership programme to include best practice in holding conversations about harassment | AD Talent and Organisational Development | Spring 2021 | Line managers more confident talking about harassment |
| P107 | 64 | Provide Domestic Abuse awareness training | AD Talent and Organisational Development | Spring 2021 | Initial domestic violence awareness training identifies improvements to general EDI guidance/policies |
| p115 | 65 | Establish Soapbox Science as a joint activity across the Divisions | Athena SWAN lead | Summer 2022 | All STEMM schools involved in showcasing female researchers to the public |
| p118 | 66 | Review the need for a gender identity policy | Athena SWAN lead | Summer 2021 | If required, produce a policy that goes beyond the scope of the current guidance: supporting gender reassignment |
| p120 | 67 | Highlight the feature to capture gender-identity on the HR system as part of the campaign to encourage staff to complete their EDI information | AD Talent and Organisational Development | Summer 2021 | Increase in baseline of 69.4\% of 'no data' on staff gender identity. Target 20\% by 2025 |


[^0]:    this, as well as staff feedback about the process

